

Harmonic Theory on Riemann Surfaces

Enya Hsiao

May 25, 2020

Definition

Let X be a compact Riemann surface. The **harmonic m -forms**

$$\text{Harm}^m(X) := \ker[\Delta : H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^m) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^m)], \quad 0 \leq m \leq 2$$

is the kernel of the Laplace operator $\Delta := d \circ \delta + \delta \circ d$, where

$$\delta : \mathcal{E}^{j+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^j := (-1) \cdot (*d*)$$

is the formal adjoint of d with respect to the Hermitian scalar product

$$(-, -) := H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^m) \times H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^m) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \int_X \alpha \wedge *\beta.$$

- We are interested in the case $m = 1$.

We will prove the following results for compact Riemann surface X :

Theorem 1 (de Rham-Hodge)

$$H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) \cong Rh^1(X) \cong Harm^1(X).$$

Theorem 2 (de Rham-Hodge-Dolbeault decomposition)

$$H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=1} H^q(X, \Omega^p).$$

As an immediate corollary to Theorem 2 by Serre duality,

$$b_1(X) = \dim H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) = \dim H^1(X, \mathcal{O}) + \dim H^0(X, \Omega^1) = 2g(X).$$

Definition

Let X be a complex manifold with Hermitian metric h . For each $x \in X$, the **$*$ -operator** is a \mathbb{C} -antilinear isomorphism

$$* : A^{p,q} \rightarrow A^{n-p,n-q}, \quad \beta \mapsto *\beta,$$

defined via the characteristic equation

$$\alpha \wedge *\beta = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_h \operatorname{dvol}_g \quad \forall \alpha \in A^{p,q},$$

where $g = \operatorname{Re} h$ is the induced Riemannian metric on the underlying real tangent bundle $T_{\mathbb{R}}X_{\text{smooth}}$.

- The $*$ -operators on cotangent spaces glue to a \mathbb{C} -antilinear **$*$ -operator of sheaves**

$$* : \mathcal{E}^{p,q} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{n-p,n-q}.$$

Computations: Types $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$

Lemma

Let X a Riemann surface. For $\eta(x) = \eta_1(x) + \eta_2(x) \in A^{1,0} \oplus A^{0,1} = A^1$,

$$*(\eta_1(x) + \eta_2(x)) = i \cdot (\bar{\eta}_1(x) - \bar{\eta}_2(x)).$$

In particular, the $*$ -operator on $A^{1,0}$ and $A^{0,1}$ is independent of the metric h .

Proof: For Riemann surfaces, the normalized volume form $d\text{vol}_g$ has local expression

$$d\text{vol}_g = \frac{dx \wedge dy}{\|dx \wedge dy\|_g} = i \cdot \frac{dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{\|dz \wedge d\bar{z}\|_h}, \quad \|\omega\|_h = \sqrt{\langle \omega, \omega \rangle_h}.$$

By the characteristic equation

$$dz \wedge *dz = \langle dz, dz \rangle_h \cdot i \frac{dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{\|dz \wedge d\bar{z}\|_h} = idz \wedge d\bar{z}.$$

Hence $*$: $A^{1,0} \rightarrow A^{0,1}$, $*dz = i \cdot d\bar{z}$. Similarly, $*d\bar{z} = -i \cdot dz$. □

Theorem

On a compact Riemann surface X :

(i) The following conjugate linear map for $0 \leq p, q \leq 1$ is a well-defined Hermitian scalar product.

$$(-, -) : H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^{p,q}) \times H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^{p,q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \int_X \alpha \wedge * \beta$$

(ii) $Harm^1(X) = H^0(X, \Omega^1) \oplus H^0(X, \bar{\Omega}^1)$.

(iii) $H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^1)$ decomposes as orthogonal direct sum

$$(*) \quad H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^1) = Harm^1(X) \overset{\perp}{\oplus} dH^0(X, \mathcal{E}) \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \delta H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^2).$$

Proof of (ii): For any 1-form $\eta \in H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^1)$,

$$\Delta \eta = 0 \iff d\eta = 0, \delta\eta = 0 \iff d'\eta = d''\eta = 0 \iff (ii).$$

$$\text{Harm}^1(X) = H^0(X, \Omega^1) \oplus H^0(X, \bar{\Omega}^1)$$

First equivalence:

$$0 = (\Delta \eta, \eta) = ((\delta \circ d + d \circ \delta)\eta, \eta) = (d\eta, d\eta) + (\delta\eta, \delta\eta)$$

Second equivalence: Split η into its components

$$\eta = \eta_1 + \eta_2 \in H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^{1,0}) \oplus H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^{0,1}).$$

By our previous computation of the $*$ -operator:

$$\delta\eta = 0 \iff d * \eta = d(i\bar{\eta}_1 - i\bar{\eta}_2) = i \cdot (d'\bar{\eta}_1 - d''\bar{\eta}_2) = 0.$$

Conjugation of the right hand side gives the equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} d\eta = 0, \delta\eta = 0 &\iff d''\eta_1 + d'\eta_2 = 0, d''\eta_1 - d'\eta_2 = 0 \\ &\iff d'\eta = d'\eta_1 = 0, d''\eta = d''\eta_2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Hodge decomposition of 1-forms

Proof of (iii): (i) *Claim:*

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^{0,1}) = d'' H^0(X, \mathcal{E}) \oplus H^0(X, \bar{\Omega}^1).$$

By an application of Stokes' Theorem

$$d'' H^0(X, \mathcal{E}) \cap H^0(X, \bar{\Omega}^1) = 0.$$

Meanwhile Dolbeault's Theorem

$$H^0(X, \Omega^1) = \frac{H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^{0,1})}{\text{im}[H^0(X, \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{d''} H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^{0,1})]}$$

imply the dimension formula

$$\dim H^0(X, \bar{\Omega}^1) = \dim H^0(X, \Omega^1) = \dim \frac{H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^{0,1})}{d'' H^0(X, \mathcal{E})},$$

i.e.

$$\dim H^0(X, \bar{\Omega}^1) + \dim d'' H^0(X, \mathcal{E}) = \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^{0,1}),$$

which concludes the claim.

Hodge decomposition of 1-forms

(ii) Now taking the complex conjugate of part (i),

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^{0,1}) = d'' H^0(X, \mathcal{E}) \oplus H^0(X, \overline{\Omega}^1),$$

and the decomposition of smooth 1-forms into its components,

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^1) &= H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^{1,0}) \oplus H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^{0,1}) \\ &= d' H^0(X, \mathcal{E}) \oplus d'' H^0(X, \mathcal{E}) \oplus H^0(X, \Omega^1) \oplus H^0(X, \overline{\Omega}^1). \end{aligned}$$

The claim then follows from

$$\text{Harm}^1(X) = H^0(X, \Omega^1) \oplus H^0(X, \overline{\Omega}^1)$$

and the isomorphism

$$d\mathcal{E}(X) \oplus \delta\mathcal{E}^2(X) \cong d\mathcal{E}(X) \oplus *d\mathcal{E}(X) \rightarrow d'\mathcal{E}(X) \oplus d''\mathcal{E}(X)$$

defined by

$$(df, *dg) = (d'f + d''f, i(d''\bar{g} - d'\bar{g})) \mapsto (d'(f - i\bar{g}), d''(f + i\bar{g})).$$

□

Theorem 1

On a compact Riemann surface X ,

$$H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) \cong Rh^1(X) \cong Harm^1(X).$$

Proof: (i) We first show that

$$\ker[H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^1) \xrightarrow{d} H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^2)] = dH^0(X, \mathcal{E}^0) \oplus Harm^1(X).$$

- One inclusion is clear, from $d \circ d = 0$ and $\ker \Delta \subset \ker d$.
- For the opposite inclusion, we show

$$\ker[H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^1) \xrightarrow{d} H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^2)] \perp \delta H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^2).$$

Consider $\eta \in H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^1)$ with $d\eta = 0$. For any $\xi \in H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^2)$,

$$(\eta, \delta\xi) = (d\eta, \xi) = 0.$$

De Rham-Hodge Theorem

Then by the Hodge decomposition

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^1) \stackrel{*}{=} Harm^1(X) \oplus^{\perp} dH^0(X, \mathcal{E}) \oplus^{\perp} \delta H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^2),$$

we have the result

$$\ker[H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^1) \xrightarrow{d} H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^2)] = dH^0(X, \mathcal{E}) \oplus Harm^1(X).$$

(ii) Now the de Rham Theorem states

$$H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) \cong Rh^1(X) = \frac{\ker[H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^1) \xrightarrow{d} H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^2)]}{\operatorname{im}[H^0(X, \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{d} H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^1)]}.$$

By part (i), the right hand side is

$$\frac{dH^0(X, \mathcal{E}) \oplus Harm^1(X)}{\operatorname{im}[H^0(X, \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{d} H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^1)]} = Harm^1(X).$$

□

Definition

The **harmonic p, q -forms**

$$\text{Harm}^{p,q}(X) := \ker[\square : H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^{p,q}) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^{p,q})], \quad 0 \leq p, q \leq 1$$

is the kernel of the Laplace-Beltrami operator $\square := d'' \circ \delta'' + \delta'' \circ d''$, where

$$\delta'' : \mathcal{E}^{p,q+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{p,q} := (-1) \cdot (* d'' *)$$

is the formal adjoint of d'' with respect to $(-, -)$ on $H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^{p,q})$.

Theorem (Proportionality of Δ and \square)

On a compact Riemann surface X , the Laplace and Laplace-Beltrami operators satisfy: For $\eta = \eta_1 + \eta_2 \in \mathcal{E}^{1,0}(X) \oplus \mathcal{E}^{0,1}(X)$,

$$\Delta \eta = 2 \cdot \square \eta_1 + 2 \cdot \square \eta_2.$$

Relating Δ and \square on Riemann Surfaces

Proof: Direct computation.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^1) & \xrightarrow{d} & H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^2) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & \delta & & \Delta & \delta \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 H^0(X, \mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{d} & H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^1) & &
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^{0,1}) & & H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^{1,0}) & \xrightarrow{d''} & H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^2) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & \delta'' & & \square & \square & & \delta'' \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 H^0(X, \mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{d''} & H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^{0,1}) & & H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^{1,0}) & &
 \end{array}$$

Corollary

On a compact Riemann surface X ,

$$\text{Harm}^m(X) = \bigoplus_{p+q=m} \text{Harm}^{p,q}(X), \quad m = 0, 1, 2.$$

Proof: The canonical map by projection into (p, q) -components

$$\text{Harm}^m(X) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=m} \text{Harm}^{p,q}(X), \quad \eta \mapsto \sum_{p+q=m} \eta^{p,q}$$

is well defined, since $\Delta \eta = 0$ implies $\square \eta = 0$.

Injectivity is clear, while surjectivity follows since $\eta^{p,q}$ is an m -form and

$$\square \eta^{p,q} \Rightarrow \Delta \eta^{p,q} = 0.$$

□

Theorem 2

On a compact Riemann surface X ,

$$H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=1} H^q(X, \Omega^p).$$

Proof: From Theorem 1 and the decomposition of harmonic forms

$$H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) = \text{Harm}^1(X) = \text{Harm}^{1,0}(X) \oplus \text{Harm}^{0,1}(X).$$

Recall the decomposition of 1-forms

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^1) = \text{Harm}^1(X) \oplus d''H^0(X, \mathcal{E}) \oplus \delta''H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^2).$$

where we have employed the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} d'H^0(X, \mathcal{E}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \delta''H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^2) \\ i \cdot d'f &\mapsto \delta''(f \cdot \text{dvol}_g) \end{aligned}$$

De Rham-Dolbeault-Hodge Decomposition

Separating the $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$ forms

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^{1,0}) = Harm^{1,0}(X) \oplus \delta'' H^0(X, \mathcal{E})$$

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^{0,1}) = Harm^{0,1}(X) \oplus \delta'' H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^2).$$

By Dolbeault's Theorem

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{O}) \cong \frac{H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^{0,1})}{\text{im}[H^0(X, \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{d''} H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^{0,1})]} = Harm^{0,1}(X).$$

On the other hand, Dolbeault's Theorem show

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(X, \Omega^1) &\cong \ker[H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^{1,0}) \xrightarrow{d''} H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^2)] \\ &= \ker[H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^{1,0}) \xrightarrow{\square} H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^2)] = Harm^{1,0}(X). \end{aligned}$$

We therefore have our claim

$$H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) = Harm^{1,0}(X) \oplus Harm^{0,1}(X) = H^0(X, \Omega^1) \oplus H^1(X, \mathcal{O}).$$

Generalization to higher dimension

- *Remark 1:* The de Rham-Hodge Theorem generalizes to higher dimension for all smooth manifolds.
- *Remark 2:* In contrast, the de Rham-Hodge-Dolbeault decomposition generalizes to higher dimensions only for **Kähler manifolds**. (Crux: Kähler identities.)
- On compact Kähler manifolds X the **Betti numbers** and **Hodge numbers** are finite:

$$b^m := \dim H^m(X, \mathbb{C}), h^{p,q} := \dim H^q(X, \Omega^p) < \infty$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & h^{0,0} = b^0 = 1 & \\ & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \\ h^{0,1} = g(X) & + & h^{1,0} = g(X) \quad (= b^1) \\ & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \\ & h^{1,1} = b^2 = 1 & \end{array}$$