

Example 4.14 Let  $X = Y = C_0(\mathbb{R})$  with supremum norm (Banach!) (93)

Consider  $T := \frac{d}{dx} : \text{dom}(T) \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R})$   
 $f \mapsto f'$

with  $\text{dom}(T) = \{f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}) \mid f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } f' \in C_0(\mathbb{R})\} \subseteq C_0(\mathbb{R})$ .

Claim:  $T$  is closed (a closed operator).

Pf: Let  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \text{dom}(T)$  be a sequence such that:

$$(i) \exists g \in C_0(\mathbb{R}) : \|f_n - g\|_\infty \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

$$(ii) \exists h \in C_0(\mathbb{R}) : \|f_n' - h\|_\infty \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

i.e.,  $(f_n, f_n')_n \in G(T)$ ,  $(f_n, f_n')_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} (g, h)$  in  $X \times Y$ ; we need to prove that  $(g, h) \in G(T)$ , equivalently,  $g \in \text{dom}(T)$  and  $h = g'$ .

By uniform convergence, we can exchange limits, so,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\int_0^x h(t) dt = \int_0^x \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n'(t) dt = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{\int_0^x f_n'(t) dt}_{f_n(x) - f_n(0)} = g(x) - g(0)$$

(since  $f_n \rightarrow g$  pointwise, by (i)).

$$\text{Hence, } g(x) = g(0) + \int_0^x h(t) dt \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$$

So, by the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus (HDI):  $g \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  with  $g' = h \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ . Since  $g \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ , we have  $g \in \text{dom}(T)$ , and so  $(g, h) = (g, g') \in G(T)$ . So  $T$  is closed.

Also: Since  $T$  is unbounded (cf. 2.28)  $\xRightarrow{\text{Thm. 4.13}}$   $\text{dom}(T)$  is not a closed subspace of  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  w.r.  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ .

### 4.3 (Bi-) Dual spaces and weak topologies

Theorem 4.15 Let  $X$  be a normed space. Then, for every  $x \in X$ ,

$$\|x\| = \sup_{0 \neq f \in X^*} \frac{|f(x)|}{\|f\|_*}$$

Pf: See exercise.

(Definition 4.16) Let  $X$  be a normed space. We call

(94)

$X^{**} := (X^*)^*$  the bidual space of  $X$  (always Banach!)

More generally, introduce the  $n$ -fold dual space

$$X^{\overbrace{**}^n} := (X^{\overbrace{**}^{n-1}})^* \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ recursively.}$$

(Theorem (& definition) 4.17) Let  $X$  be a normed space.

The canonical embedding  $J: X \rightarrow X^{**}$  with  $Jx: X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$   
 $x \mapsto Jx$   $f \mapsto f(x)$

is well-defined, linear, and isometric.

If  $J$  is surjective, we call  $X$  reflexive.

(Remarks 4.18) (a) Hilbert spaces are reflexive (Riesz!)

(b) Every finite dimensional normed space is reflexive (use the dual basis)

(c)  $\ell^p, L^p$  are reflexive for  $p \in (1, \infty)$ ;  $c_0$  &  $\ell^1$  are not refl.

(d) Milman-Pettis Thm:  $X$  uniformly convex & Banach  $\Rightarrow X$  reflexive

(e)  $X$  reflexive  $\Rightarrow X$  complete.

Pf (4.17):  $J$  is well-defined (i.e.  $Jx \in X^{**} \forall x \in X$ ):

Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$ ,  $f, g \in X^*$ , then

$$(Jx)(\alpha f + \beta g) = (\alpha f + \beta g)(x) = \alpha f(x) + \beta g(x) = \alpha (Jx)(f) + \beta (Jx)(g)$$

So,  $Jx$  is linear. Also,  $Jx$  is bounded, since

$$\|Jx\|_{**} = \sup_{0 \neq f \in X^*} \frac{|(Jx)(f)|}{\|f\|_*} = \sup_{0 \neq f \in X^*} \frac{|f(x)|}{\|f\|_*} \stackrel{\text{Thm. 4.15}}{=} \|x\| < \infty$$

Hence,  $Jx \in X^{**}$ , so  $J$  is well-defined - and, an isometry.

Also,  $J$  is linear: Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$ ,  $x, y \in X$ , then, for all  $f \in X^*$ ,

$$(J(\alpha x + \beta y))(f) = f(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha f(x) + \beta f(y) = \alpha (Jx)(f) + \beta (Jy)(f),$$

$$\text{and so } J(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha J(x) + \beta J(y) \quad \square$$



Theorem 4.19 | Let  $X$  be a Banach space. Then

$$\underline{X \text{ reflexive}} \Leftrightarrow \underline{X^* \text{ reflexive}}$$

Pf. " $\Rightarrow$ ":  $(X^*)^{**} = ((X^*)^*)^* = (X^{**})^* = X^*$

" $\Leftarrow$ ": See f.ex. Werner, "Funktionalanalysis", 8. Aufl., Kap. III 3.4.

Theorem 4.20 | Let  $X$  be a normed space. Then

$$X^* \text{ separable} \Rightarrow X \text{ separable.}$$

Remark 4.21 |  $X = \ell^1$  shows that " $\Leftarrow$ " does not hold, since  $\ell^\infty \simeq (\ell^1)^*$ , which is not separable.

Pf (4.20): Let  $A := \{f_n \in X^* \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be dense (exists by hyp.).

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  choose  $x_n \in X$  s.t.  $\|x_n\| = 1$  &  $|f_n(x_n)| \geq \frac{1}{2} \|f_n\|_*$ .

Let  $D := \text{span}_{\mathbb{K}} \{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq X$ .

Claim:  $D$  is dense in  $X$  (note:  $D$  is not countable, but a  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear subspace).

Pf (claim): Assume (for contradiction)  $D$  not dense.

Then there exists  $z \in X$  s.t.  $\text{dist}(z, D) > 0$ . By Cor. 4.7 (H-B!)  $\exists f \in X^* : f|_D = 0, f(z) > 0$ . Now:

$\exists f \in X^* : f|_D = 0, f(z) > 0$ . Now:

(i)  $A$  dense in  $X^*$  implies:  $\exists \text{ seq. } (f_{n_k})_k \subseteq A :$

$$\|f_{n_k} - f\|_* \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad (\text{A})$$

(ii) We have

$$\|f_{n_k} - f\|_* \geq |f_{n_k}(x_{n_k}) - f(x_{n_k})| \stackrel{f|_D=0}{=} |f_{n_k}(x_{n_k})| \geq \frac{1}{2} \|f_{n_k}\|_*$$

Then  $\|f_{n_k}\|_* \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$ , so  $f_{n_k} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$  in  $X^*$ , hence  $f = 0$  by (A)  $\nabla$ .

So,  $D$  is dense.

Define  $\tilde{\mathbb{K}} := \mathbb{Q}$  if  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ , resp.  $\tilde{\mathbb{K}} := \mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q}$  if  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ , and set

$\tilde{D} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{K}} \{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then  $\tilde{D}$  is countable & dense in  $\tilde{X}$  (96)

Definition 4.22 Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space, let  $\{p_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  be a family of seminorms on  $X$

(a)  $\{p_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  is separating :  $\Leftrightarrow \forall 0 \neq x \in X : \exists \alpha \in I : p_\alpha(x) > 0$

(b) For given  $\alpha \in I, r > 0$ , let

$$U_{\alpha,r} := \{y \in X \mid p_\alpha(y) < r\} \ni 0.$$

Also, for  $x \in X$ , let

$$U_{\alpha,r}(x) := x + U_{\alpha,r} = \{y \in X \mid p_\alpha(y-x) < r\} \ni x.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{N}_x := \left\{ \bigcap_{j=1}^n U_{\alpha_j, r_j}(x) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_j \in I, r_j > 0 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n \right\}$$

(family of finite intersections of  $U_{\alpha,r}(x)$ 's)

Define the Locally convex topology (l.c.t.) on  $X$  induced by  $\{p_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} Y \subseteq X \text{ open in the l.c.t.} &\Leftrightarrow \forall x \in Y \exists V_x \in \mathcal{N}_x : V_x \subseteq Y \\ &\Leftrightarrow \forall x \in Y \exists V_x \in \mathcal{N}_x : Y = \bigcup_{x \in Y} V_x \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.23 (a)  $U_{\alpha,r}(x)$  is open in l.c.t., and  $\mathcal{N}_x$  is a neighbourhood base of the l.c.t. at  $x$ .

(b) If  $\{p_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  is separating, then the l.c.t. is Hausdorff (see exercise).

(c) The elements of the neighbourhood base are convex sets (hence the name): For  $y_1, y_2 \in U_{\alpha,r}$  and  $\lambda \in [0,1]$ , we have  $\lambda y_1 + (1-\lambda)y_2 \in U_{\alpha,r}$ , since:

$$\begin{aligned} p_\alpha(\lambda y_1 + (1-\lambda)y_2) &\leq p_\alpha(\lambda y_1) + p_\alpha((1-\lambda)y_2) \\ &= \underbrace{\lambda p_\alpha(y_1)}_{< r} + \underbrace{(1-\lambda)p_\alpha(y_2)}_{< r} < r. \end{aligned}$$

(d)  $\mathcal{X}$  is a topological vector space wrt. a locally convex topology, i.e., addition of vectors and mult. of a vector by a scalar are continuous wrt. a l.c.t. (97)

This relies on  $U_{\alpha, r}(x) = x \in U_{\alpha, r} \ \& \ \lambda U_{\alpha, r}(x) = U_{\alpha, |\lambda| r}(\lambda x)$   
 $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \lambda \in \mathbb{K}, \alpha \in I, r > 0$ .

Notation: vector space topology :  $\Leftrightarrow$  a topology making  $\mathcal{X}$  into a topological vector space.

The composition of a linear map with a norm gives a seminorm (check!). The following abstract result will be applied several times with different choices of  $S_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{Z}$ :

[Lemma 4.24] Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a vector space,  $(\mathcal{Z}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Z}})$  a normed space, and  $S_\alpha: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  a linear map for every index  $\alpha \in I$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{loc}}$  be the locally convex topology on  $\mathcal{X}$  induced by the family of seminorms  $\{x \mapsto \|S_\alpha x\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\}_{\alpha \in I}$ . Then:

(a)  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{loc}}$  is the coarsest vector space topology on  $\mathcal{X}$  s.t.

For every  $\alpha \in I$  the map  $S_\alpha$  is continuous.

(b)  $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$  converges to  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  wrt.  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{loc}}$   $\Leftrightarrow$

$$\|S_\alpha x_k - S_\alpha x\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in I$$

Pf: See exercise.

A very important example is when  $S_\alpha \in \mathcal{X}^*$  and  $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{K}$ :

[Definition 4.25] Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a normed space. The weak topology on  $\mathcal{X}$  is the locally convex topology (l.c.t.-) on  $\mathcal{X}$  induced by the family of seminorms  $\{x \mapsto |f(x)|\}_{f \in \mathcal{X}^*}$ .

Lemma 4.26 Let  $X$  be a normed space. Then the weak topology on  $X$  is

- (a) Hausdorff.
- (b) the coarsest vector-space topology on  $X$  such that every  $f \in X^*$  is continuous. In particular, the weak topology is coarser than the norm (= strong) topology.
- (c) identical to the norm topology, if  $\dim X < \infty$ ,
- (d) such that  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq X$  converges to  $x$  in the weak topology (or, weakly) iff

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f(x) \quad \forall f \in X^*$$

Notation:  $x_n \xrightarrow{w} x$ , or  $x_n \xrightarrow{w \rightarrow \infty} x$ .

Pf: (a) By Remark 4.23(b), it suffices to check that the family of seminorms is separating. This follows from Corollary 4.6 (H-B!): For every  $0 \neq x \in X \exists f_x \in X^*: f_x(x) = \|x\|$ .

(b) Lemma 4.24(a)    (c) See exercise (d) Lemma 4.24(b).

Remark 4.27 (a) Weak limits are unique by Lemma 4.26(a)

(b) If  $\dim X = \infty$ , then the weak topology is not 1<sup>st</sup> countable (hence not metrisable!) (for pf., see exercise)

(c) Strong convergence  $\xrightarrow{4.26(b)} \Rightarrow$  weak convergence (but in general not vice versa!)

(d) In a Hilbert space  $X$  (by Lem. 4.26(d) & Riesz):

$$x_n \xrightarrow{w} x \iff \langle y, x_n \rangle \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle y, x \rangle \quad \forall y \in X$$

(e) In  $\ell^2$ :  $x_n \xrightarrow{w} x \iff \|x_n - x\|_2 \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$

(Schr, 1921; See also Conway, "A course in FA", 1990, Prop. 5.2)

Example 4.28 Let  $\mathcal{X} = \ell^p$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $e_n := (\delta_{nk})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . (99)

Then (i)  $(e_n)_n$  has no  $\|\cdot\|_p$ -convergent (i.e., strongly conv.) subsequence.

(ii) If  $p = 1$ , then  $(e_n)_n$  is not weakly convergent:

Recall  $(\ell^1)^* \cong \ell^\infty$ , and choose  $f \leftrightarrow (-1, 1, -1, 1, \dots) \in \ell^\infty$  then  $f(e_n) = (-1)^n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  which is not convergent as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (This is consistent with Rmk 4.27(e)).

(iii) If  $1 < p \leq \infty$ , then  $(e_n)_n$  is weakly convergent to 0:

In case  $1 < p < \infty$ , we have  $(\ell^p)^* \cong \ell^q$  (Thm. 2.38) with  $1 < q < \infty$ . Hence, for  $f \leftrightarrow \gamma = (\gamma_k)_k \in \ell^q$ :

$$f(e_n) = \gamma_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad \left( \text{since } \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |\gamma_k|^q < \infty \right).$$

In the case  $p = \infty$ , use also exercises Eb.1 & Eb.3.

Lemma 4.29 Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a normed space,  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , and

$$x_n \xrightarrow{w} x. \text{ Then}$$

$$(a) \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\| < \infty, \quad (b) \|x\| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\|$$

Pf (a): Let  $x_n \xrightarrow{w} x$ , so  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x) \forall f \in \mathcal{X}^*$ , hence

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{X}^* \text{ (fixed)} : \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \underbrace{|f(x_n)|}_{(Jx_n)(f)} < \infty,$$

with the canonical embedding  $J: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{**}$ . Since  $\mathcal{X}^*$  is Banach, the Uniform Boundedness Principle (Thm. 4.9) gives

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|Jx_n\|_{**} < \infty. \quad \checkmark$$

$$= \|x_n\|$$

(b) By Cor. 4.6 (H-B!):

$$\exists f_x \in \mathcal{X}^* : \|f_x\|_* = 1 \text{ and } f_x(x) = \|x\|$$

Then

$$\|x\| = |f_x(x)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f_x(x_n)| = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f_x(x_n)| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{\|f_x\|_*}_{=1} \|x_n\|$$

(100)

Theorem 4.30 | Let  $X$  be a normed space. Then  $x_n \overset{w}{\rightarrow} x$  iff the following two statements hold:

(i)  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\| < \infty$

(ii)  $\exists F \subseteq X^*$  with  $\text{span}(F)$  dense (wrt.  $\|\cdot\|_*$ ) in  $X^*$  s.t.

$$\forall f \in F: \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f(x). \quad (*)$$

Pf: " $\Rightarrow$ ": From Lem. 4.29 and by definition of weak convergence

" $\Leftarrow$ ": Use an  $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ -argument: Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $g \in X^*$ . Let

$$K := \frac{1}{2} (\|x\| + \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\|) < \infty. \text{ Since } \text{span}(F) \text{ is dense in } X^*,$$

$$\text{there exists } f \in \text{span}(F): \|f - g\|_* < \frac{\varepsilon}{3K}. \text{ Also, for}$$

$$f \in \text{span}(F), \exists N \in \mathbb{N}: \forall n \geq N: |f(x_n) - f(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

(Note: (\*) holds also for  $f \in \text{span}(F)$  as finite lin. comb.)

Hence,  $\forall n \geq N$ :

$$|g(x) - g(x_n)| \leq |g(x) - f(x)| + |f(x) - f(x_n)| + |f(x_n) - g(x_n)|$$

$$\leq \underbrace{\|g - f\|_*}_{< \frac{\varepsilon}{3K}} \underbrace{(\|x\| + \|x_n\|)}_{\leq 2K} + \underbrace{|f(x) - f(x_n)|}_{< \frac{\varepsilon}{3}} < \varepsilon$$

□

Theorem 4.31 | (Eberlein - Šmulian) Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $A \subseteq X$ . Then  $A$  weakly compact  $\Leftrightarrow$   $A$  weakly sequentially compact.

Pf. Not here; see Whitley, Math. Ann. 172, 116 - 118 (1967). □

Definition 4.32 | Let  $X$  be a normed space. Then the weak\* topology on  $X^*$  ("weak-star") is the locally convex topology on  $X^*$  induced by the family of seminorms  $\{f \mapsto |f(x)|\}_{x \in X}$

Lemma 4.33 | Let  $X$  be a normed space. Then the weak\* topology (101)

is (a) Hausdorff

(b) The coarsest vector-space topology on  $X^*$  s.t.  $\forall x \in X$ , the map

$$\begin{aligned} X^* &\rightarrow \mathbb{K} \\ f &\mapsto f(x) \end{aligned} \quad \text{is continuous}$$

(c) coarser than the weak topology on  $X^*$ , and the two coincide iff  $X$  is reflexive.

(d) such that  $(f_n)_n \subseteq X^*$  converges to  $f \in X^*$  in the weak\* - top.

$$\text{iff.} \quad f_n(x) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x) \quad \forall x \in X$$

$$\text{Notation: } f_n \xrightarrow{w^*} f.$$

Pf: (a)  $\{f \mapsto |f(x)|\}_{x \in X}$  is a separating family of seminorms:

For  $f \neq 0$  there exists  $x \in X$  with  $f(x) \neq 0$ .

(b) Lemma 4.24(a) with  $Z = \mathbb{K}$  and  $S_x = Jx$  ( $J: X \rightarrow X^{**}$  canonical embedding)

(c)  $J(X) \subseteq X^{**}$  with equality iff  $X$  is reflexive.

(d) Lemma 4.24(b).

The next theorem is the analogue of Lem. 4.29 & Thm. 4.30.

However: Note that here,  $X$  must be complete in order to apply the Uniform Boundedness Principle in (a)(i).

Theorem 4.34 | Let  $X$  be a normed space,  $f \in X^*$ ,  $(f_n)_n \subseteq X^*$

(a) If  $f_n \xrightarrow{w^*} f$ , then

(i) If  $X$  is even a Banach space, then  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_n\|_* < \infty$

$$(ii) \|f\|_* \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n\|_*$$

(b) If (i)  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_n\|_* < \infty$

(ii)  $\exists A \subseteq X$  with  $\text{span}(A)$  dense in  $X$  (w.d.  $\|\cdot\|$ ) s.t.

$$\forall x \in A: f_n(x) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x)$$

then  $f_n \xrightarrow{w^*} f$ . (If  $X$  Banach, the reverse holds by (a)(i))

Theorem 4.35 (Banach-Alaoglu) Let  $X$  be a normed space. Then the closed unit ball in  $X^*$ ,  $\bar{B}_1^* := \{f \in X^* \mid \|f\|_* \leq 1\}$  is compact in the weak\* topology.

Pf. Equip the set of maps  $\{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}\} = \mathbb{K}^X$  with the product topology  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{prod}} := \prod_{x \in X} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{K}}$  of the Euclidean topology  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{K}}$  on  $\mathbb{K}$ . Then:

(i)  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{prod}}$  is the coarsest topology on  $\mathbb{K}^X$  such that the projection/evaluation map  $\pi_x: \mathbb{K}^X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is continuous for all  $x \in X$   
 $f \mapsto f(x)$   
 (see T2.3, Tut Sheet 2)

(ii)  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{prod}}$  is a vector-space topology on  $\mathbb{K}^X$ :

Addition:  $\mathcal{A}: \mathbb{K}^X \times \mathbb{K}^X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^X$ . It suffices to prove openness of  $\mathcal{A}^{-1}(U)$   
 $(f, g) \mapsto f+g$

in  $\mathbb{K}^X \times \mathbb{K}^X$  for  $U$  in a base of  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{prod}}$ , that is, for  $U = \bigcap_{x \in U} U_x$  with  $U_x \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{K}}$ , and  $U_x = \mathbb{K}$  for all but at most finitely many  $x \in X$ . Addition in the field  $\mathbb{K}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}}: \mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ , is continuous  
 $(z, z') \mapsto z+z'$

Hence,  $\mathcal{A}^{-1}(U) = \bigcap_{x \in X} \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{-1}(U_x)$  with

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{-1}(U_x) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{K}} \times \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{K}} \quad \forall x \in X$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{-1}(U_x) = \mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K} \text{ except for at most finitely many } x \in X.$$

Hence,  $\mathcal{A}^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{prod}} \times \mathcal{T}_{\text{prod}}$ , as finite intersection of a union of product sets  $V_1 \times V_2$  with each  $V_j \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{prod}}$ ,  $j=1,2$ , being a product set of factors all of which are equal to  $\mathbb{K}$  except for one.  $\checkmark$  The case of scalar mult. is analogous & simpler.

(iii) Given a linear subspace  $S \subseteq \mathbb{K}^X$ , the subspace topology  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{prod}}|_S := \{U \cap S \mid U \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{prod}}\}$  is a vector space topology on  $S$  w.r. which the restricted eval. maps  $\pi_x|_S$  are continuous  $\forall x \in X$



Now, choose  $S = \Sigma^*$ , and let  $\mathcal{T}_{w*}$  denote the weak\* top. (103)  
 on  $\Sigma^*$ . By 4.33(b),  $\mathcal{T}_{w*} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\text{prod}}|_{\Sigma^*}$ . Therefore, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi: (\Sigma^*, \mathcal{T}_{\text{prod}}|_{\Sigma^*}) &\rightarrow (\Sigma^*, \mathcal{T}_{w*}) \\ f &\mapsto f \end{aligned}$$

is continuous, and the theorem follows if we prove:  $\bar{B}_1^*$  is

$\mathcal{T}_{\text{prod}}$ -compact: We claim: Then it is  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{prod}}|_{\Sigma^*}$ -compact  
 (and hence, by continuity of  $\phi$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_{w*}$ -compact).

Pf Claim: Consider a  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{prod}}|_{\Sigma^*}$ -open cover  $\bigcup_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}$  of  $\bar{B}_1^*$  and  
 use that, for  $V_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{prod}}|_{\Sigma^*}$ , there exists  $U_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{prod}}$  with  
 $V_{\alpha} = U_{\alpha} \cap \Sigma^*$ . The  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{prod}}$ -open cover  $\bigcup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}$  has a finite subcover  
 (by assumption), and intersection with  $\Sigma^*$  gives finite sub-  
 cover of  $\bigcup_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}$ .  $\checkmark$  (claim).

To prove  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{prod}}$ -compactness: Define  $A := \prod_{x \in \Sigma} A_x$ , where

$A_x := \{z \in \mathbb{K} \mid |z| \leq \|x\|\}$  is compact in  $\mathbb{K}$ . By Tychonoff (Thm. 1.28),

$A$  is  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{prod}}$ -compact. Note that:  $f \in A \Leftrightarrow f(x) \in A_x \forall x \in \Sigma$

$\Rightarrow \sup_{0 \neq x \in \Sigma} \frac{|f(x)|}{\|x\|} \leq 1$ . For  $x, y \in \Sigma, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} L_{x,y,\alpha,\beta} &:= \left\{ f \in \mathbb{K}^{\Sigma} \mid \underbrace{f(\alpha x + \beta y) - \alpha f(x) - \beta f(y)}_{= (\pi_{\alpha x + \beta y} - \alpha \pi_x - \beta \pi_y)(f)} = 0 \right\} \\ &= (\pi_{\alpha x + \beta y} - \alpha \pi_x - \beta \pi_y)^{-1}(\{0\}). \end{aligned}$$

But  $\pi_{\alpha x + \beta y} - \alpha \pi_x - \beta \pi_y$  is  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{prod}} - \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{K}}$ -continuous, and  $\{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{K}$   
 is  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{K}}$ -closed, hence  $L_{x,y,\alpha,\beta}$  is  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{prod}}$ -closed in  $\mathbb{K}^{\Sigma}$ , and

so also  $L := \bigcap_{\substack{x,y \in \Sigma \\ \alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{K}}} L_{x,y,\alpha,\beta} = \{f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \text{ is linear}\}$   
 is closed.

Finally,  $\bar{B}_1^* = A \cap L$  is  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{prod}}$ -compact (as closed subset of  
 the compact  $A$ )  $\blacksquare$

Theorem 4.36 (Helly; version 2 of Banach-Alaoglu)

(104)

Let  $X$  be a separable normed space. Then  $\bar{B}_1^*$  is weak\* sequentially compact.

Pf: Let  $\{x_k | k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq X$  be (countable) dense in  $X$ . We need to prove: Any sequence  $(f_n)_n \subseteq \bar{B}_1^*$  has a weak\*-convergent subsequence: Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  arbitrary. Consider the sequence  $(f_n(x_k))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ . This sequence is bounded, since

$$|f_n(x_k)| \leq \underbrace{\|f_n\|_*}_{\leq 1} \|x_k\| \leq \|x_k\| \quad (\text{a.k. fix})$$

Hence, by Bolzano-Weierstraß,  $(f_n(x_k))_n$  has a convergent subsequence (in  $\mathbb{K}$ ).

Claim: There exist a common subsequence  $(n_j)_j \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ :  
 $\forall k \in \mathbb{N} : (f_{n_j}(x_k))_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent.

Pf: Use Cantor's diagonal sequence trick:

There exists  $(n_j^{(1)})_j \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(f_{n_j^{(1)}}(x_1))_j$  converges.

Then there exists  $(n_j^{(2)})_j \subseteq (n_j^{(1)})_j$  s.t.  $(f_{n_j^{(2)}}(x_2))_j$  converges.

Continuing this procedure, there exists  $(n_j^{(k+1)})_j \subseteq (n_j^{(k)})_j$  such that  $(f_{n_j^{(k+1)}}(x_{k+1}))_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges. The claim then holds with  $n_j := n_j^{(j)}$ .

Now, define  $g(x) := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_{n_j}(x) \quad \forall x \in \text{span}\{x_k | k \in \mathbb{N}\} =: \text{dom}(g)$ .

(i)  $\text{dom}(g)$  is a dense subspace of  $X$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$

(ii)  $g: \text{dom}(g) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is linear

(iii)  $g$  is bounded:  $|g(x)| = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{|f_{n_j}(x)|}_{\leq \|f_{n_j}\|_* \|x\|} \leq \|x\|$

Since  $\mathbb{K}$  is complete, we can

apply the Bounded Linear Ext. Thm. 2.31:

There exists  $\tilde{g}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  such that  $\tilde{g}|_{\text{dom}(g)} = g$  and  $\|\tilde{g}\|_X = \|g\|_X \leq 1$

Hence,  $\tilde{g} \in B_1^*$ . Then, by Thm. 4.34(b),  $f_{n_j} \xrightarrow{w^*} \tilde{g}$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  (b5)

Theorem 4.37 (Kakutani, version 3 of Banach-Alaoglu)

Let  $X$  be a Banach space. Then

$X$  reflexive  $\Leftrightarrow \overline{B}_X := \{x \in X \mid \|x\| \leq 1\}$  is weakly compact

Pf: " $\Rightarrow$ ": By Thm 4.19,  $X^* =: Y$  is reflexive. Applying 4.33(c)

to  $Y$ , we get: The weak topology on  $Y^* = (X^*)^* = X$  coincides with the weak\* topology on  $Y^* = X$ , hence the claim

follows from Thm. 4.35 applied to  $Y$ .  $\square$

" $\Leftarrow$ ": See f.ex. Werner, "Funktionalanalysis", 8. Aufl, Satz VIII.3.18.  $\square$

Example 4.38 Compactness of  $\overline{B}_1$  in different spaces.

Here,  $p, q \in (1, \infty)$  are Hölder conjugate

	weak	weak*	seq. weak	seq. weak*
$\ell^1 (\cong c_0^*)$	no	yes	no	yes
$\ell^p (\cong (\ell^q)^*)$	yes	yes	yes	yes
$\ell^\infty (\cong (\ell^1)^*)$	no	yes	no	yes
(*) $L^1$ (not a dual!)	no	—	no	—
$L^p (\cong (L^q)^*)$	yes	yes	yes	yes
$L^\infty (\cong (L^1)^*)$	no	yes	no	yes
Thm. used	4.37	4.35	4.31	4.36

(\*) Cor. to Krein-Milman Theorem, see f.ex.

Werner, Sect. VIII.4.