

(ii) Let $U_n := \text{span}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \neq X$ be the closed subspace (36) of the vectors constructed before. Again, by Riesz' Lemma, there exists $x_{n+1} \in X \setminus U_n$ s.t. $\|x_{n+1}\|=1$ and $\text{dist}(x_{n+1}, U_n) \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

By assumption, $\dim X = \infty$, hence this procedure does not stop.

We get a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \overline{B_1(0)}$ s.t. $\|x_n - x_m\| \geq \frac{1}{2} \forall n \neq m$.

Clearly, $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has no convergent subsequence - contradicting the (seq-) compactness of $\overline{B_1(0)}$ ∇ $\square$

2.3 Linear operators

(Definition 2.22) Let X, Y be vector spaces (over the same field K), $X_0 \subseteq X$ a (linear) subspace, and $T: X_0 \rightarrow Y$.

(i) T is a (linear) operator $\Leftrightarrow$

$$T(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha T(x) + \beta T(y) \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in K, \forall x, y \in X_0$$

(ii) $\text{dom}(T) := D(T) := X_0$ is the domain of T .

(iii) $\text{ran}(T) := R(T) := T(X_0)$ is the range of T .

(iv) $\ker(T) := N(T) := \{x \in X_0 \mid Tx = 0\}$ is the kernel (or nullspace) of T .

(v) $U \subseteq \text{dom}(T)$ a subspace,

$$T|_U: \begin{matrix} U \rightarrow Y \\ x \mapsto Tx \end{matrix} \quad \text{is the } \underline{\text{restriction of } T \text{ to } U}$$

(vi) $W \supseteq \text{dom}(T)$ a vector space, $\tilde{T}: W \rightarrow Y$ linear with $\tilde{T}|_{\text{dom}(T)} = T$ is called a (!) linear extension of T to W .

(Examples 2.23) Some linear operators:

(a) Identity operator on vector space X :

$$\mathbb{I} := \mathbb{I}_X: \begin{matrix} X \rightarrow X \\ x \mapsto x \end{matrix}$$

(b) $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y} = C([0, 1])$

(37)

(i) Differentiation operator ($\mathcal{X}_0 = C^1([0, 1])$):

$$\frac{d}{dx} : C^1([0, 1]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])$$

$$f \mapsto f'$$

(ii) Anti-derivative operator ($\mathcal{X}_0 = \mathcal{X}$):

$$T : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])$$

$$f \mapsto Tf$$

with $(Tf)(x) := \int_0^x f(t) dt \quad \forall x \in [0, 1]$

(iii) Multiplication operator by argument: As above (in (ii)),
with $(Tf)(x) := x f(x) \quad \forall x \in [0, 1]$

Lemma 2.24 Let $T : \mathcal{X} \supseteq \text{dom}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a linear operator. Then

(a) $\text{ran}(T)$ and $\ker(T)$ are vector subspaces (linear subspaces)

(b) $\dim \text{ran}(T) \leq \dim \text{dom}(T)$

(c) $\ker(T) = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow T$ injective

$\Leftrightarrow \exists$ inverse T^{-1} of T s.t. $T^{-1} : \text{ran}(T) \rightarrow \text{dom}(T)$,

$$T^{-1}T = \mathbb{I}|_{\text{dom}(T)} \quad , \quad TT^{-1} = \mathbb{I}|_{\text{ran}(T)}$$

Pf: Copy from Linear Algebra

Remark 2.25 (a) If T^{-1} exists, it is linear.

Pf: $\alpha x = T^{-1}T(\alpha x) = T^{-1}(\alpha Tx) \quad \forall x \in \text{dom}(T), \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{K}$. Hence,
 $\alpha T^{-1}y = T^{-1}(\alpha y) \quad \forall y \in \text{ran}(T)$. Similarly for addition.

(b) Even if $T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ with $\ker(T) = \{0\}$, then not necessarily
 $\text{ran}(T) = \mathcal{X}$, nor $TT^{-1} = \mathbb{I}$ (unlike in the case $\dim \mathcal{X} < \infty$!)
but only $TT^{-1} = \mathbb{I}|_{\text{ran}(T)}$.

Example 2.26 (Illustrating Remark 2.25)

Let $\mathcal{X} = \ell^\infty$.

Right-shift operator: $R: \ell^\infty \rightarrow \ell^\infty$
 $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \mapsto (0, x_1, x_2, \dots)$

Clearly: $\ker(R) = \{0\}$, but $\text{ran}(R) \subsetneq \ell^\infty$.

Left-shift operator: $L: \ell^\infty \rightarrow \ell^\infty$
 $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \mapsto (x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots)$

Then $R^{-1} = L|_{\text{ran}(R)}$ satisfies

$$R^{-1}R = \mathbb{I} \quad \text{and} \quad RR^{-1} = \mathbb{I}|_{\text{ran}(R)}.$$

Definition 2.27 Let X, Y be normed spaces, $T: X \supseteq \text{dom}(T) \rightarrow Y$.

T is bounded (operator): $\Leftrightarrow$ its operator norm is finite, i.e.,

$$\|T\|_{\text{dom}(T) \rightarrow Y} := \|T\| := \sup_{\substack{x \in \text{dom}(T) \\ x \neq 0}} \frac{\|Tx\|_Y}{\|x\|_X} = \sup_{\substack{x \in \text{dom}(T) \\ \|x\|=1}} \|Tx\| < \infty.$$

Examples 2.28 (Recall Ex. 2.23)

(a) $\mathbb{I}: (X, \|\cdot\|) \rightarrow (X, \|\cdot\|)$, $x \mapsto x$, is bounded with $\|\mathbb{I}\| = 1$.

(b) Let $(X, \|\cdot\|) = (C([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$.

(i) Differentiation $\frac{d}{dx}: C^1([0, 1]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])$
 $f \mapsto f'$

is unbounded (i.e., not bounded); see exercise.

(ii) Anti-derivative $T: C([0, 1]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])$:

$$\|Tf\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} \left| \int_0^x f(t) dt \right| \leq \|f\|_\infty \quad \forall f \in C([0, 1])$$

Because of $\frac{\|Tf\|}{\|f\|} \leq 1$ ($f \neq 0$), we have $\|T\| \leq 1$.

Also,

$$\|T1\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} \int_0^x 1 dt = \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} x = 1,$$

and, since $\|1\|_\infty = 1$, we obtain $\|T\| = 1$.

(iii) Multiplication operator $T: C([0,1]) \rightarrow C([0,1])$ by argument has $\|T\| = 1$, because

(39)

$$\|Tf\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |xf(x)| \leq \|f\|_\infty, \text{ with equality for } f \equiv 1.$$

Theorem 2.29 Let X, Y be normed spaces, $T: X \supseteq \text{dom}(T) \rightarrow Y$ a linear operator. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) T is continuous

(ii) T is continuous at some $x_0 \in \text{dom}(T)$.

(iii) There exists $C \in (0, \infty)$ s.t.

$$\|Tx\| \leq C\|x\| \quad \forall x \in \text{dom}(T).$$

(iv) T is bounded

Pf: (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) obvious.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Step 1: Prove T is continuous at $x=0$.

For this, let $(x_n)_n \subseteq \text{dom}(T)$ be a sequence s.t. $x_n \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$.

Then (by 2.10(b)), $(x_n + x_0)_n \rightarrow x_0, n \rightarrow \infty$, and by linearity and continuity at x_0 , we get

$$Tx_n + Tx_0 = T(x_n + x_0) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_0, \text{ so } Tx_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \text{ (again, by 2.10(b)).}$$

Step 2: From Step 1 we get (ε - δ criterion, with $\varepsilon=1$)

$$\exists \delta > 0: \forall x \in \text{dom}(T) \text{ with } \|x\| < \delta: \|Tx\| < 1 \quad (*)$$

Hence, for all $0 \neq x \in \text{dom}(T)$, by linearity:

$$\|Tx\| = \frac{2\|x\|}{\delta} \left\| T\left(\frac{\delta}{2\|x\|}x\right) \right\| \stackrel{(*)}{<} \frac{2}{\delta} \|x\|.$$

has norm = $\frac{\delta}{2} < \delta$

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv): We know that $\|Tx\| \leq C\|x\|$, so

$$\sup_{\substack{x \in \text{dom}(T) \\ x \neq 0}} \frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|} \leq C < \infty.$$

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Let $(x_n)_n \subseteq \text{dom}(T)$ with $x_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} x$, then

$$\|Tx_n - Tx\| = \|T(x_n - x)\| \leq \|T\| \cdot \|x_n - x\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

□

Lemma 2.30 Let T be linear and $\dim \operatorname{dom}(T) < \infty$.
Then T is bounded.

Pf: T is continuous: Fix (finite!) basis of $\operatorname{dom}(T)$, expand $x \in \operatorname{dom}(T)$ w.r. this basis, and use linearity to deduce (sequential) continuity. The claim follows from Thm. 2.29. $\square$

Theorem 2.31 (Bounded linear extension) Let X be a normed space, and Y a Banach (!) space. Let $T: X \rightarrow Y$ be a bounded linear operator. Let $\tilde{X}$ be the completion of X . Then there exists a bounded linear extension $\tilde{T}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y$ of T , which is unique if X is identified with a (dense) subspace of its completion $\tilde{X}$, i.e. $X = \mathcal{U}$ in Theorem 2.13. Moreover, we have $\|\tilde{T}\| = \|T\|$.

Corollary 2.32 Let X be a normed space and Y a Banach space. Let $T: X \supseteq \operatorname{dom}(T) \rightarrow Y$ be a bounded linear operator with $\operatorname{dom}(T) \subseteq X$ a dense linear subspace. Then there exists a unique bounded linear extension $\hat{T}: X \rightarrow Y$ of T . Moreover, we have $\|\hat{T}\| = \|T\|$.

Pf (of 2.32): Because of denseness, the completion $\tilde{Z}$ of $\operatorname{dom}(T)$ and $\tilde{X}$ of X are isometrically isomorphic (check!). Wlog, we identify $\tilde{Z} = \tilde{X}$. Also identify X with a dense linear subspace of $\tilde{X}$, so that $\operatorname{dom}(T) \subseteq X \subseteq \tilde{X}$. The claim follows from Theorem 2.31 with $\hat{T} := \tilde{T}|_X$. $\square$

Pf (of Thm. 2.31): We identify X with a dense subspace of $\tilde{X}$. Let $x \in \tilde{X}$. Then there exists a sequence $(x_n)_n \subseteq X$ with $x_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} x$ in $\tilde{X}$. Since $(x_n)_n$ is a Cauchy sequence, $(Tx_n)_n$ is a Cauchy sequence in Y because

$$\|Tx_n - Tx_m\| = \|T(x_n - x_m)\| \leq \|T\| \cdot \|x_n - x_m\|.$$

Using that Y is a Banach space, there exists $y \in Y$ s.t. $Tx_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} y$ in Y . Define $\tilde{T}x := y$ (then $\tilde{T}|_{\tilde{X}} = T$: take constant sequences!). We have to check several things:

(i) Well-definedness, i.e., independence of approximating seq.:

Let $x'_m \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} x$ in $\tilde{X}$. As above, $\exists y' \in Y$ s.t. $Tx'_m \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} y'$.

Then we have

$$\|Tx_n - Tx'_m\| \leq \|T\| \cdot \|x_n - x'_m\|.$$

In the limit $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ we get $\|y - y'\| = 0$, so $y = y'$.

(ii) Linearity: Let $x_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} x$, $x'_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} x'$. Then (by 2.10 (b) & (c)), $\alpha x_n + \alpha' x'_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha x + \alpha' x'$ $\forall \alpha, \alpha' \in \mathbb{K}$, and so (by def. of $\tilde{T}$)

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}(\alpha x + \alpha' x') &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(\alpha x_n + \alpha' x'_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha Tx_n + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha' Tx'_n \\ &= \alpha \tilde{T}x + \alpha' \tilde{T}x'. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Norm: As $\tilde{T}$ is an extension, we have $\|\tilde{T}\| \geq \|T\|$, since

$$\|\tilde{T}\| = \sup_{\substack{x \in \tilde{X} \\ x \neq 0}} \frac{\|\tilde{T}x\|}{\|x\|} \stackrel{\tilde{X} \supseteq X}{\geq} \sup_{\substack{x \in X \\ x \neq 0}} \frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|} = \sup_{\substack{x \in X \\ x \neq 0}} \frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|} = \|T\|.$$

On the other hand, since $\|\cdot\|$ is continuous (Thm. 2.10 (a)),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{T}x\| &= \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ x_n \in X}} \|\tilde{T}x_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_n\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T\| \cdot \|x_n\| \\ &= \|T\| \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| = \|T\| \cdot \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

So, $\frac{\|\tilde{T}x\|}{\|x\|} \leq \|T\| \quad \forall x \in \tilde{X}$, hence $\|\tilde{T}\| \leq \|T\|$, so $\|\tilde{T}\| = \|T\|$.

(iv) Uniqueness: The fact that we defined

$$\tilde{T}x := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n$$

is necessary to ensure continuity at x . □

Definition 2.33 Let X, Y be normed spaces. Define

$$BL(X, Y) := \{T: X \rightarrow Y \mid T \text{ is linear and bounded}\}$$

and set $BL(X) := BL(X, X)$.

Warning: Notation varies - other choices: $B(X, Y), L(X, Y), \dots$

Theorem 2.34 $(BL(X, Y), \|\cdot\|_{X \rightarrow Y})$ is a normed space.

If Y is complete, then so is $BL(X, Y)$.

Pf. (i) First of all, $BL(X, Y)$ is a $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space with zero element $0 := 0: X \rightarrow Y$
 $x \mapsto 0$

For $T_1, T_2 \in BL(X, Y)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$, define $T_1 + T_2$ and αT_1 by

$$(T_1 + T_2)x := T_1x + T_2x \quad \forall x \in X.$$

$$(\alpha T_1)x := \alpha T_1x$$

Then clearly $T_1 + T_2, \alpha T_1: X \rightarrow Y$ are linear.

(ii) $\|\cdot\|_{X \rightarrow Y}$ is a norm on $BL(X, Y)$:

(a) $\|T\|_{X \rightarrow Y} \geq 0$ ✓ and if $\|T\|_{X \rightarrow Y} = 0$, then $\|Tx\| = 0 \quad \forall x \in X$,
 so $Tx = 0 \quad \forall x \in X$, and so $T = 0 (= 0)$.

(b) We have

$$\|\alpha T\|_{X \rightarrow Y} = \sup_{0 \neq x \in X} \frac{\|(\alpha T)x\|}{\|x\|} = \sup_{0 \neq x \in X} \frac{\|\alpha Tx\|}{\|x\|}$$

$$= \sup_{0 \neq x \in X} |\alpha| \cdot \frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|} = |\alpha| \cdot \|T\|$$

Also, $\|(T_1 + T_2)x\| = \|T_1x + T_2x\| \leq \|T_1x\| + \|T_2x\|$, so

$$\|T_1 + T_2\| \leq \sup_{0 \neq x \in X} \left(\frac{\|T_1x\|}{\|x\|} + \frac{\|T_2x\|}{\|x\|} \right) \leq \|T_1\| + \|T_2\|.$$

Hence, if $T_1, T_2 \in BL(X, Y)$, then αT_1 and $T_1 + T_2$ are bounded, so $T_1 + T_2, \alpha T_1 \in BL(X, Y)$

(vector space!), and $\|\cdot\|_{X \rightarrow Y}$ is a norm.

(iii) Assume Y is complete. We prove completeness of $BL(X, Y)$. Let $(T_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq BL(X, Y)$ be a Cauchy sequence (wrt. $\|\cdot\|_{X \rightarrow Y}$). (43)

For every $x \in X$, and $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\|T_k x - T_l x\| \leq \|T_k - T_l\| \cdot \|x\|$.

So,

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \forall k, l \geq N \forall x \in X: \|T_k x - T_l x\| \leq \varepsilon \|x\| \quad (*)$$

This implies that $(T_k x)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq Y$ is a Cauchy seq. (in Y)

for every $x \in X$, and, since Y is complete, there is some limit

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T_k x =: T x \in Y.$$

This defines a map $T: X \rightarrow Y$
 $x \mapsto T x := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T_k x$

(a) T is linear, since: Let $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathbb{K}$, $x, x' \in X$, then

$$\begin{aligned} T(\alpha x + \alpha' x') &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T_k(\alpha x + \alpha' x') = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\alpha T_k x + \alpha' T_k x') \\ &= \alpha T x + \alpha' T x' \end{aligned}$$

(b) T is bounded, and the norm limit of the T_k 's:

Taking the limit $l \rightarrow \infty$ in $(*)$ above gives

$$\|T_k x - T x\| \leq \varepsilon \|x\| \text{ and so}$$

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \forall k \geq N: \|T_k - T\| \leq \varepsilon \quad (**)$$

This gives two things:

(1) T is bounded: $T_k \in BL(X, Y)$, $T_k - T \in BL(X, Y)$ by $(**)$

and $BL(X, Y)$ is a vector space, so

$$T = T_k - (T_k - T) \in BL(X, Y).$$

(2) $T_k \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} T$ in $\|\cdot\|_{X \rightarrow Y}$ □

Note: Compare also with the proofs of Thm 1.31 (A exercise!) and Thm. 1.20 (for $p = \infty$).