

Similarly we find that $a(x, D)^* = b(x, D)$

(19)

with: $b = e^{i\langle D_1, D_2 \rangle} \bar{a}(x, z)$.

For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $S^m = \{a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d}), \forall (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}, \sup_{(x, \xi)} |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi)| \langle \xi \rangle^{|\beta| - 2m} < \infty\}$
 Fréchet space.

For $a \in S^m$, we can define $a(x, D)u$ by an oscillatory int. $\int e^{i\langle x-y, \xi \rangle} a(x, \xi) u(y) dy d\xi$
 $a(x, D)$ extends to a conti. map. from S^0 to S^0 (20)

It turns out that: $e^{i\langle D_1, D_2 \rangle} \bar{a}(x, z) \in \mathcal{G}$

* $\mathcal{G} \ni a \mapsto e^{i\langle D_1, D_2 \rangle} \bar{a}(x, z) \in \mathcal{G}$

is conti. for the topo. of S^m .

* $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \ni (a, b) \mapsto \left[e^{i\langle D_1, D_2 \rangle} a(x, z) b(y, z) \right]_{(y, z) = (x, z)} \in \mathcal{G}$

is conti. as $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}$ with topo. of $S^m \times S^{m'}$ to $\mathcal{G}$ with the topo. of $S^{m+m'}$.

By density of $\mathcal{G}$, these operations extend conti. on S^m (resp. from $S^m \times S^{m'}$ to $S^{m+m'}$).

Furthermore we have the expansions:

$$e^{i\langle D_1, D_2 \rangle} \bar{a}(x, z) = \bar{a}(x, z) + b_1(x, z)$$

with $b_1 \in S^{m-1}$

larger expansion possible.
local expressions

$$a \# b(x, z) = \left[e^{i\langle D_1, D_2 \rangle} a(x, y) b(y, z) \right]_{(y, z) = (x, z)} = (ab)(x, z) + b_2(x, z)$$

with $b_2 \in S^{m+m'-1}$.

Elliptic symb.: Let $a \in S^m$ ($m \geq 0$) s.t.

$$\exists C, R > 0, \forall (x, z), |z| > R \Rightarrow |a(x, z)| \geq C |z|^m.$$

We say that a is elliptic.

$$\text{If } a(x, z) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha(x) z^\alpha, \quad a \in \text{ell} \Leftrightarrow \forall (x, z) \neq 0, a(x, z) \neq 0.$$

For a elliptic in S^m ($m \geq 0$), we can

find $X \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ s.t. $X=1$ in a large enough ball

and $b = \frac{1-X}{a} \in S^{-m}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} a \# b &= 1 - X + r_0 \quad \text{with } r_0 \in S^{m-m-1} = S^{-1}. \\ &= 1 + r_1 \quad \text{with } r_1 \in S^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Take $b_1 = b - b \# r_1$. Then, since $\#$ is associative and 1 neutral ele.

$$\begin{aligned} a \# b_1 &= a \# b - (a \# b) \# r_1 = 1 + r_1 - (1 + r_1) \# r_1 \\ &= 1 - \underbrace{r_1 \# r_1}_{\in S^{-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using a truncated Neumann Series, we can get

$$a \# b_N = 1 + r_N \quad \text{with } r_N \in S^{-N}.$$

L^2 -continuity:

Th.: If $a \in S^0$, then $a(x, D)$ is conti. on L^2 and $S^0 \ni a \mapsto a(x, D) \in \mathcal{L}(L^2)$ is conti.

Cor.: If $a \in S^m$, then $a(x, D)$ is conti. from the Sobolev space H^{m+s} to H^s for all s .

Note that, for $m < 0$, $a(x, D)$ improves the regularity.

Proof of Cor.: $\langle D \rangle^\Delta$ isom. from H^0 to L^2 . $\{H^0 = L^2; \exists s \Delta a \in L^2\}$
By comp. $\langle D \rangle^\Delta a(x, D) \langle D \rangle^{-s-m} = b(x, D)$ with $b \in S^0$.
Thus, by Th., $\langle D \rangle^\Delta a(x, D) \langle D \rangle^{-s-m} \in \mathcal{L}(L^2)$.
This means that $a(x, D) \in \mathcal{L}(H^{s+m}, H^s)$. (par 3 par 3 par 3)

Proof of the theorem. (later?)

(21)

Lemma (Schur): Let $K \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ s.t.

$$\exists c > 0; \quad \sup_u \int |K(x, y)| dy \leq c \text{ and } \sup_y \int |K(x, y)| dx \leq c.$$

Then $L^2 \ni u \xrightarrow{K} \int K(x, y) u(y) dy \in L^2$ is well-defined, conti.
with norm $\leq c$.

Proof (Lemma): Let $g. \quad |Ku(x)|^2 = \left| \int K(x, y) u(y) dy \right|^2$
 $\leq \left(\int |K(x, y)| |u(y)| dy \right)^2 \stackrel{C.S.}{\leq} \int (|K(x, y)|^2 |u(y)|^2) dy \cdot \int |K(x, y)|^2 dy$
 $\leq \int |K(x, y)| |u(y)|^2 dy \cdot \underbrace{\int |K(x, y)| dy}_{\leq c}$

Thus $\int |Ku(x)|^2 dx \leq c \int \left(\sup_y \int |K(x, y)| dx \right) |u(y)|^2 dy$
 $\leq c^2 \|u\|^2. \quad \square$

Proof (Th.): Let $a \in S^{-d-1}$. Then $K(x, y) = (2\pi)^{-d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} a(x, \eta) e^{i\eta \cdot (y-x)} d\eta \right)_{1/2 \times 1/2}$
 is continuous and bounded. For $u \in \mathcal{S}$, we want that

$$[x_j, x_j K u] - K x_j u = \int (x_j - y_j) K(x, y) u(y) dy.$$

Thus $(x-y)^k K(x, y)$ is the kernel of the op.

$$[x_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, [x_d^{\alpha_d}, a(x, D)]] = i^{\alpha} \left(\partial_{\Sigma}^{\alpha} a \right)(x, D),$$

direct computation.

Since $\partial_{\Sigma}^{\alpha} a \in S^{-m-1}$ $(x-y)^{\alpha} K$ is also conti. and bounded.

Now take $a \in S^{-\frac{m+1}{2}}$. For $u \in \mathcal{S}$, $\langle a(x, D) u, u \rangle = \langle b(x, D) u, u \rangle$

$$\|a(x, D) u\|^2 = \langle a(x, D)^* a(x, D) u, u \rangle = \langle b(x, D) u, u \rangle$$

with $b \in S^{-m-1}$. Thus

$$\|a(x, D) u\|^2 \leq C \|u\|^2$$

in order of u .

So, by induction, we get the conti. in L^2 for all $a \in S^k$ with $k \leq -1$.

Let now $a \in S^0$. Choose $M > 0$ s.t. $M \geq 2 \sup |a(x, \xi)|$. (22)

Then $c = (M - |a(x, \xi)|^2)^{1/2} \in S^0$. By composition,

$$\begin{aligned} C(x, D)^* C(x, D) &= (C^2)(x, D) + r_1(x, D) \quad \text{with } r_1 \in S^{-1} \\ &= (M - a(x, D)^* a(x, D)) + r_2(x, D) \quad \text{with } r_2 \in S^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $u \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|a(x, D)u\|^2 &= M \|u\|^2 - \|C(x, D)u\|^2 + \|r_2(x, D)u\|^2 \\ &\leq M \|u\|^2 + \|r_2(x, D)u\|^2 \leq M \|u\|^2 + C \|u\|^2 \quad \text{since } r_2 \in S^{-1}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Elliptic regularity:

Def.: An op. $a(x, D)$ with $a \in S^m$ is elliptic if so is a .

Parametrix:

Th.: Let $a \in S^m$ elliptic. Then, there exists $b \in S^{-m}$

s.t. $b(x, D)a(x, D) = I + R$

where R is an integral op. with C^∞ kernel.

Proof (idea): use a Neumann series.

Note that R send all H_{loc}^s to $\bigcap_{r \in \mathbb{R}} H_{loc}^r$.

Th.: Let $a \in S^m$ elliptic. Then, for all $u \in \mathcal{S}$,
 $\text{sing supp } u \subset \text{sing supp } Pu$.

Proof: We have $u \in \mathcal{S}$. or some k , u is of order k .
 Thus $u \in H_{loc}^{-k}(\Omega) \cap C^k(\Omega)$.

But $u = b(x, D)Pu - R_u$
 $R_u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$.

Now, if $Pu \in C^\infty(\Omega)$, $b(x, D)Pu \in C^\infty$ and $u \in C^\infty$.

Microlocalization:

Def.: * Let $a_0 \in S^m$ and $A = a(x, D)$ with $a \in S^m$.
 a_0 is a principal symb. of A if $a_0(x, D) - A = b(x, D)$
with $b \in S^{m-1}$.

* $A = a(x, D)$ with $a \in S^m$. Let a_0 a principal symb. of A .
 A is not characteristic at $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \setminus 0$ if,
for some $b_0 \in S^{-\infty}$, $a_0 b_0 - 1 \in S^{-\infty}$ in a conic neighborhood of (x_0, ξ_0) . We denote by $\text{char } A$ the set of characteristic points.

(def. indep. of choice of a_0).
* properly supported

see p. 23'

One can show that

$a_0 b_0 - 1 \in S^{-\infty}$ in a conic neighborhood Γ of (x_0, ξ_0)

$\Rightarrow \exists c, \exists R, |a(x, \xi)| \geq c |\xi|^m$ in Γ and $|\xi| \geq R$.

If $n = \mathbb{R}^d$, $a_0 b_0 - 1 \in S^{-\infty} \Leftrightarrow \exists b$; $b(x, D) a(x, D) - I = r(x, D)$ with $r \in S^{-\infty}$
Characterization of the wave front set.

If $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

$(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{WF}(u) \Leftrightarrow \exists A = a(x, D)$ with $a \in S^0$, A properly supported, and $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{char } A$ p.t. $Au \in C^\infty$.

$\Rightarrow \exists \phi \in C_0^\infty$; $\phi(x) \neq 0$. $\exists \Gamma$; $|\hat{\phi u}(\xi)| \leq C_\Gamma \langle \xi \rangle^{-N}$ in Γ .

Let $\chi \in S^0$. Let $\psi \in C_0^\infty$; $\psi \phi = \phi$. Let $a(x, D) = \psi(x) \chi(D) \phi(x)$. ($\chi \in S^0$, a has a properly supp. kernel, $a(x, D)u = \psi(x) \chi(D) (\phi u)$, $\in C^\infty$).
 $\hookrightarrow F^{-1}(\chi(\xi) \hat{\phi u}(\xi)) \in C^\infty$ w.rap.