

Let $\zeta \neq 0$. There exists j : $\zeta \in \Gamma_j'$. Then

$$\widehat{\phi u}(\zeta) = \overbrace{(\pi \phi_{\frac{\zeta}{|\zeta|}}) \phi^u(\zeta)}^{\text{indep. of } j, \zeta} = \overbrace{(\pi \phi_{\frac{\zeta}{|\zeta|}})}^{(k+j) \text{ s.t.}} * \widehat{\phi^u}_{\frac{\zeta}{|\zeta|}}(\zeta).$$

Now, the lemma below gives that

$$\forall N, |\widehat{\phi u}(\zeta)| \leq D_N \zeta^{-N}.$$

Thus $\phi u \in C_0^\infty$ and $z_0 \notin \text{sing supp } u$. \square

Lemma: Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let P, P' open comes in $\mathbb{R}^{d, 0}$
 s.t. $P' \subset \overline{P} \subset P$. Let $\psi, \varphi: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall N, \exists c_N : \forall \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{d, 0}, |\psi(\zeta)| \leq c_N \zeta^{-N} \\ \forall \zeta \in P, |\psi(\zeta)| \leq c_N \zeta^{-N}. \\ \forall \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{d, 0}, |\psi(\zeta)| \leq C \zeta^k. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\forall N, \exists c'_N, \forall \zeta \in P', |\psi * \varphi(\zeta)| \leq c'_N \zeta^{-N}.$$

(c'_N depends on k, P, P' and then C_P)

Back to page 9

Proof of the lemma:

$$(\zeta)^N (\psi * \varphi)(\zeta) = \int (\zeta - \zeta')^N \psi(\zeta - \zeta') \varphi(\zeta') d\zeta' + \int (\zeta - \zeta')^N \psi(\zeta - \zeta') \varphi(\zeta') d\zeta'$$

$|\zeta - \zeta'| \leq c_{P, P'}$

here $|\zeta| \leq c_{P, P'} |\zeta - \zeta'|$

$(\zeta - \zeta')^{N+k+d+1} |\varphi(\zeta')| \leq c_{N+k+d+1}$

$\zeta \in P$

$(\zeta)^{d+N} |\varphi(\zeta')| \leq c_{N+d+1}$

Main result:

Th.: Let $P = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_\alpha^{(\alpha)} D_\alpha^\alpha$. Then, for all $u \in \mathcal{D}'$,

$$WF(u) \subset \text{char}(P) \cup WF(Pu).$$

here $\text{char } P = \left\{ (\xi; \vec{\xi}_{\neq 0}) : \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_\alpha^{(\alpha)} \vec{\xi}^\alpha = 0 \right\}$,
characteristic set of P .

Rk.: * P is elliptic if its characteristic set is empty. If P is elliptic and f smooth then any sol. u of $Pu=f$ is also smooth.

* In general, we say that P is elliptic away from $\text{char}(P)$. The result is called elliptic regularity.

* One can prove this theorem without using the pseudo-differential calculus but, to understand the idea behind it, it is useful to apply this calculus.

Example: * $\Delta u = f$. $\Delta = \sum_{|\alpha|=2} D_\alpha^\alpha$.
 $\sum_{|\alpha|=2} \vec{\xi}^\alpha = |\vec{\xi}|^2 > 0$ if $\vec{\xi} \neq 0$. So Δ is elliptic
and $WF(u) \subset WF(\Delta u)$.

$$\star P = \alpha \cdot \nabla. P = \sum_{|\alpha|=1} i \alpha^\alpha D^\alpha.$$

$$\text{char } P = \left\{ (\xi; \vec{\xi}_{\neq 0}) : \langle \alpha, \vec{\xi} \rangle = 0 \right\}.$$

$$Pu_1 = 0. \quad \phi \in C_0^\infty$$

$$2x_i \cdot \nabla u_1(\phi) = -2u_1(\nabla \cdot x\phi) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dx_1 (\nabla \cdot x\phi) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} du (\nabla \cdot x\phi)$$
$$= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dx_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{x_1}(x_i \phi) dx_2 - \sum_{j>1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dx_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{x_j}(x_i \phi) dx_j + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dx_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{x_i}(x_i \phi) dx_2 + 0$$

$$\partial_{x_i} \cdot \nabla u_1(\phi) = - \int dx' [x_i \phi]_+^{\infty} + \int dx' [x_i \phi]_-^{\infty} = 0 \quad (11)$$

Result gives: $WF(u_1) \subset \text{char } P \cup \underbrace{WF(Pu_1)}_{\phi}$

$$WF(u_1) \subset \{(x; \vec{z}_0) ; \langle x, \vec{z} \rangle = 0\}.$$

This is quite unprecise. Indeed, since $\partial_x u_1 = \delta_m$ with

$\Omega = \{u_1 = 0\}$, the same result applied to ∂_{x_1} gives

$$WF(u_1) \subset \{(x; \vec{z}_0) ; x_1 = 0 \text{ and } (\vec{z}_1 = 0 \text{ or } \vec{z}' = 0)\}.$$

One can check that $WF(u_1) = \{(x; \vec{z}_0) ; x_1 = 0 \text{ and } \vec{z}' = 0\}$.

Oscillatory integrals:

Motivations:

$$\begin{aligned} * \text{ we saw } (2\pi)^d \delta_{(-\theta)}(\phi) &= \int e^{i\langle \theta, z \rangle} \phi(z) dz \\ &= \int e^{i\langle \theta, z \rangle} \left(\int e^{-i\langle x, z \rangle} \phi(x) dx \right) dz \\ &\stackrel{?}{=} \int \left(\int e^{i\langle \theta - x, z \rangle} dz \right) \phi(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} * P(x, D)u(x) &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha(x) D^\alpha u(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha(x) \int e^{i\langle x, z \rangle} z^\alpha \tilde{u}(z) dz \\ u \in C_0^\infty \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int e^{i\langle x, z \rangle} P(x, z) \tilde{u}(z) dz \stackrel{?}{=} \int e^{i\langle x, z \rangle} P(x, z) \int e^{-i\langle y, z \rangle} u(y) dy dz$$

$$= \int e \left(\int e^{i\langle x-y, z \rangle} P(x, z) \frac{dz}{(2\pi)^d} \right) u(y) dy$$

Classical oscillatory integrals

H2

* $\int_1^{+\infty} e^{it^2} dt$ is semi-convergent.

$$\int_1^T e^{it^2} dt = \int_2^T \frac{1}{2ti} 2t i e^{it^2} dt = \left[\frac{e^{it^2}}{2ti} \right]_2^T - \int_2^T \frac{e^{it^2}}{2i} \frac{-1}{t^2} dt$$

cr. as $T \rightarrow \infty$

* $\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{e^{it}}{t} dt$ is semi-convergent.

$$\int_1^T \frac{e^{it}}{t} = \left[\frac{e^{it}}{it} \right]_1^T - \int_1^T \frac{e^{it}}{it} \frac{-1}{t^2} dt$$

cr. as $T \rightarrow \infty$

Generalization:

We want to give a meaning as distribution to integrals of the form

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{i\phi(x, \theta)} a(x, \theta) d\theta, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

where ϕ is real.

Def.: Let Γ a open cone in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$

$$(x, \theta) \in \Gamma \Rightarrow (x, t\theta) \in \Gamma, \forall t > 0$$

A phase function ϕ in Γ is a C^∞ function on Γ

$$\text{soft. } \forall (x, \theta) \in \Gamma, \forall t > 0, \phi(x, t\theta) = t\phi(x, \theta)$$

$$\forall (x, \theta) \in \Gamma, d\phi(x, \theta) \neq 0.$$

Ex.: $d=N$ and

$$\phi(x, \theta) = \langle x, \theta \rangle.$$

Def.: A symbol a is a function in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^N)$

$$\text{a.t. } \exists m \in \mathbb{R}; \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d+N}, \forall K \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}^N, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}^N, \forall k, \theta \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

$$\langle \theta \rangle = (1 + |\theta|^2)^{1/2}, \text{ where } \theta \in S^m.$$

Th.: Let F a closed cone $\subset \mathbb{R}^d \cup (\mathbb{R}^d \times \{0\})$.

Let $a \in S^m$ with $m < -N$. Then

$$C_0^\infty \ni u \xrightarrow{I_{\phi,a}} I_\phi(au) := \int e^{i\phi(x,\theta)} a(x,\theta) u(x) dx d\theta \quad) \text{ oscillatory int.}$$

is well defined (abs. conv. int.) as a distribution.

It can be extended uniquely to $a \in \bigcup_m S^m$

with $\text{supp } a \subset F$. We have:

$$\rightarrow I_{\phi,a} \in \mathcal{D}' \text{ with } l \leq k \text{ if } a \in S^m \text{ with } m-k < -N.$$

\rightarrow For fixed $u \in C_0^\infty$, $a \mapsto I_\phi(au)$ is cont. on S^m

(equipped with semi-norms).

$$\rightarrow x \in C_0^\infty, x(0)=1.$$

For $a \in S^m$ and $u \in C_0^\infty$,

$$I_{\phi,a} = \lim_{\substack{\leftarrow \\ \text{in } \mathcal{D}'}} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int e^{i\phi(x,\theta)} x(\varepsilon\theta) a(x,\theta) d\theta$$

$$(I_\phi(au) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int e^{i\phi(x,\theta)} x(\varepsilon\theta) a(x,\theta) u(x) dx d\theta)$$

This gives a meaning to $\int e^{i\phi(x,\theta)} a(x,\theta) d\theta$ as a distribution. (oscillatory integral)

Idea of the proof. in the case: $d=N$ and $\phi(x,\theta) = \langle x, \theta \rangle$.

$$I_\varepsilon = \int e^{i\langle x, \theta \rangle} x(\varepsilon\theta) a(x, \theta) u(x) dx d\theta = \int L(e^{i\langle x, \theta \rangle}) x(\varepsilon\theta) a(x, \theta) u(x) dx d\theta$$

$$\text{where } L = \langle \theta \rangle^{-2} (1 + \langle \theta, D_x \rangle), \quad (L = \langle \theta \rangle^{-2} (1 - \langle \theta, D_x \rangle))$$

By inter. by parts,

$$I_\varepsilon = \int e^{i\langle x, \theta \rangle} \frac{d}{dx} \left(a(x, \theta) u(x) \right) dx d\theta.$$

In general, D_x^α can vanish for $\alpha > N$ and needs to integrate.

$|a(x, \theta)| \leq C \langle \theta \rangle^{-N}$, $|L(a(x, \theta) u(x))| \leq C' \langle \theta \rangle^{-N-1}$ integrable.