Reading seminar summer 2021 An effective theory for interacting Bose gases

Jinyeop Lee

Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München

16, June, 2021

Theorem 1.5 in [2]

Our system

$$(-\Delta + 4e + \mathcal{V}(x))u(x) = \mathcal{V}(x) + 2e\rho(u * u)(x) , \qquad (1.1)$$

$$e = \frac{\rho}{2} \int (1 - u(x)) \mathcal{V}(x) \, dx$$
 (1.2)

The goal of today

Theorem 1.5 (decay of u **at infinity)** In all dimensions, provided \mathcal{V} is spherically symmetric with $\int |x|^2 \mathcal{V} dx < \infty$ in addition to satisfying the hypotheses imposed in Theorem 1.3, all integrable solutions of (1.1)-(1.2) with $u(x) \leq 1$ for all x satisfy

$$\int |x|u(x)dx = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int |x|^r u(x)dx < \infty \quad \text{for all } 0 < r < 1 .$$
(1.25)

Thus, if $u(x) \sim |x|^{-m}$ for some *m*, the only possibility is m = d + 1. Under stronger assumptions on the potential, this is actually the case. For d = 3, if \mathcal{V} is non-negative, square-integrable, spherically symmetric (that is, $\mathcal{V}(x) = \mathcal{V}(|x|)$), and, for |x| > R,

$$\mathcal{V}(|x|) \leqslant A e^{-B|x|} \tag{1.26}$$

for some A, B > 0 then there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$u(x) \underset{|x| \to \infty}{\sim} \frac{\alpha}{|x|^4}.$$
 (1.27)

4 / 41

Theorem 1.1(Positivity) Suppose that \mathcal{V} is non-negative and integrable and that u is an integrable solution of (1.1)-(1.2) such that $u(x) \leq 1$ for all x. Then $u(x) \geq 0$ for all x, and all such solutions have fairly slow decay at infinity in that they satisfy

$$\int |x|u(x)dx = \infty . \tag{1.5}$$

Thus, any physical solutions of (1.1)-(1.2) must necessarily satisfy the *pair* of inequalities

$$0 \leq u(x) \leq 1$$
 for all x . (1.6)

Theorem 1.3 (existence and uniqueness) Let $\mathcal{V} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $p > \max\{\frac{d}{2}, 1\}$, be non-negative. Then there is a constructively defined continuous function $\rho(e)$ on $(0, \infty)$ such that $\lim_{e\to 0} \rho(e) = 0$ and $\lim_{e\to\infty} \rho(e) = \infty$ and such that for any $e \ge 0$ and $\rho = \rho(e)$, the system (1.1) and (1.2) has a unique integrable solution u(x) satisfying $u(x) \le 1$. Moreover, if $\rho \ne \rho(e)$, the system (1.1) and (1.2) has no integrable solution u(x) satisfying (1.6).

Remark

- We do not assume here that the potential is radially symmetric. However, the uniqueness statement implies that u is radially symmetric whenever V is radially symmetric.
- The function $\rho(e)$ is the *density function*, which specifies the density as a function of the energy. Thus, our system together with (1.6)constrains the parameters e and ρ to be related by a strict functional relation $\rho = \rho(e)$. In most of the early literature on the Bose gas, ρ is taken as the independent parameter, as suggested by (??): One puts N particles in a box of volume N/ρ , and seeks to find the ground state energy per particle, e, as a function of ρ . Our theorem goes in the other direction, with ρ specified as a function of e. We prove that $e \mapsto \rho(e)$ is continuous, and we conjecture that $\rho(e)$ is a strictly monotone increasing function. In that case, the functional relation could be inverted, and we would have a well-defined function $e(\rho)$.
- Since lim_{e→0} ρ(e) = 0 and lim_{e→∞} ρ(e) = ∞, the continuity of e → ρ(e) implies that for each ρ ∈ (0,∞) there is at least one e such that ρ(e) = ρ.

Proof of Theorem 1.5 I

- The first part of (1.25) has already been proved in Theorem 1.1 without the additional assumption on the potential.
- ► For the second part of (1.25), by the first remark after Theorem 1.3, u is also radial, and hence V(1 u) is non-negative and radial. It then follows from the hypotheses on V that
 - $g := 2\rho e Y_{4e} * Y_{4e} * [\mathcal{V}(1-u)]$ satisfies

$$\int |x|^2 g(x) dx < \infty$$
 and $\int x g(x) dx = 0$.

Then, as explained in Section 2, if $f := 2e\rho Y_{4e} * u$, $f - f * f = g \ge 0$, and then by [CJLL20, Theorem 4], the second part of (1.25) follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.5 II

Note that if

$$u(|x|) \sim \frac{\alpha}{|x| \to \infty} \frac{\alpha}{|x|^m}$$

for some $\alpha > 0$, then the only choice of *m* that is consistent with (1.25) is m = d + 1.

It can be seen by the following:

$$\int_{|x|>R} |x|^r \frac{1}{|x|^m} \mathrm{d}x \sim \int_R^\infty \rho^{r-m+d-1} \mathrm{d}\rho < \infty \iff r-m+d-1 < -1.$$

Then, we have r < 1 only when m = d + 1.

Proof of Theorem 1.5 III

We now specialize to d = 3, with the additional assumption on V. Fourier transform of u:

$$\hat{u}(|k|) = \frac{1}{\rho} \left(\frac{k^2}{4e} + 1 - \sqrt{\left(\frac{k^2}{4e} + 1\right)^2 - S(|k|)} \right)$$

where S is defined by

$$S(|k|) := \frac{\rho}{2e} \int e^{ikx} (1 - u(|x|)) \mathcal{V}(|x|) dx.$$

We split

$$\hat{u}(|k|) = \hat{\mathcal{U}}_1(|k|) + \hat{\mathcal{U}}_2(|k|)$$
(5.5)

with

$$\widehat{\mathcal{U}}_1(|k|) := rac{2eS(|k|)}{
ho(4e+k^2)}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.5 IV

so that, taking the large |k| limit in (4.25),

$$\widehat{\mathcal{U}}_2(|k|) = O(|k|^{-6}S^2(|k|))$$
(5.7)

so $\widehat{\mathcal{U}}_2$ is integrable.

Proof of Theorem 1.5 - Decay of \mathcal{U}_1 I

We first show that

$$\mathcal{U}_1(|x|) := rac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int e^{-ikx} \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_1(|k|) \; dk$$

decays exponentially in |x|. We have

$$\mathcal{U}_1(|x|) = (-\Delta + 1)^{-1}(1 - u(|x|))\mathcal{V}(|x|) = Y_1 * ((1 - u)\mathcal{V})(|x|)$$

with

$$Y_1(|x|) := rac{e^{-|x|}}{4\pi |x|}.$$

Therefore, by (1.26),

$$\mathcal{U}_{1}(|x|) \leqslant \frac{A}{4\pi} \int_{|y|>R} \frac{e^{-|x-y|-B|y|}}{|x-y|} \, dy + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{|y|$$

Proof of Theorem 1.5 - Decay of \mathcal{U}_1 II

so, denoting $b := \min(B, 1)$,

$$\mathcal{U}_1(|x|) \leqslant rac{A}{4\pi} \int rac{e^{-b(|x-y|+|y|)}}{|x-y|} \ dy + rac{e^{-(|x|-R)}}{4\pi(|x|-R)} \int \mathcal{V}(|y|) \ dy$$

and since

$$\frac{A}{4\pi} \int \frac{e^{-b(|x-y|+|y|)}}{|x-y|} dy = \frac{A}{4\pi} \int \frac{e^{-b(|y|+|y+x|)}}{|y|} dy$$
$$\leq \frac{A}{4\pi} \int_{y \leq |x|} \frac{e^{-b|x|}}{|y|} dy + \frac{A}{4\pi} \int_{y > |x|} \frac{e^{-b|y|}}{|y|} dy \leq C(b)e^{-b|x|}(|x|^2 + |x| + 1)$$

we have

$$\mathcal{U}_{1}(|x|) \leqslant C(b)e^{-b|x|}(|x|^{2}+|x|+1) + \frac{e^{-(|x|-R)}}{4\pi(|x|-R)}\int \mathcal{V}(|y|) \, dy. \quad (5.14)$$

Proof of Theorem 1.5 - Analyticity of \mathcal{U}_2

We now turn to

$$\mathcal{U}_{2}(|x|) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3}} \int e^{-ikx} \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{2}(|k|) \, dk = \frac{1}{4i\pi^{2}|x|} \sum_{\eta=\pm} \eta \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i\eta\kappa|x|} \kappa \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{2}(\kappa) \, d\kappa.$$
(5.15)
We start by proving some analytic properties of $\widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{2}$, which, we recall

from (4.25) and (5.5), is

$$\widehat{\mathcal{U}}_2(|k|) = rac{1}{
ho} \left(rac{k^2}{4e} + 1 - \sqrt{\left(rac{k^2}{4e} + 1
ight)^2 - S(|k|)} - rac{2eS(|k|)}{4e + k^2}
ight).$$

Proof of Theorem 1.5 - 2-1

First of all, S is analytic in a strip about the real axis:

$$S(\kappa) = 4\pi \int_0^\infty \operatorname{sinc}(\kappa r) r^2 \mathcal{V}(r) (1 - u(r)) \, dr, \quad \operatorname{sinc}(\xi) := \frac{\sin(\xi)}{\xi}$$

so

$$\partial^n S(\kappa) = 4\pi \int_0^\infty \partial^n \operatorname{sinc}(\kappa r) r^{n+2} \mathcal{V}(r) (1-u(r)) \ dr.$$

We will show that if $\mathcal{I}m(\kappa) \leq \frac{B}{2}$, then there exists C > 0 which only depends on A and B such that

$$|\partial^n S(\kappa)| \leqslant n! C^n. \tag{5.19}$$

Because the Taylor series of S at κ converges, S is analytic in a strip. In particular, if we define the strip

$$H_{ au} := \{z: |\mathcal{I}m(z)| \leqslant r^{- au}, \ \mathcal{R}e(z) > 0\} \quad ext{and} \quad r > \left(rac{B}{2}
ight)^{-rac{1}{ au}}$$

with $0 < \tau < 1$. Then S is analytic in H_{τ} .

Proof of Theorem 1.5 - 2-1-1 |

We first treat the case $|\kappa| \leqslant \frac{B}{2}$. We have

$$\operatorname{sinc}(\xi) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^p \xi^{2p}}{(2p+1)!}$$

SO

$$\partial^n \operatorname{sinc}(\xi) = \sum_{p = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^p \xi^{2p-n}}{(2p+1)(2p-n)!}.$$

Therefore

$$|\partial^n \operatorname{sinc}(\xi)| \leq \sum_{p=\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}^{\infty} \frac{|\xi|^{2p-n}}{(2p-n)!} \leq \cosh(|\xi|).$$

Thus,

$$|\partial^n S(\kappa)| \leqslant 4\pi \int_0^\infty \cosh(|\kappa| r) r^{n+2} \mathcal{V}(r) (1-u(r)) dr$$

Proof of Theorem 1.5 - 2-1-1 II

so, by (1.26),

$$|\partial^n S(\kappa)| \leq 4A\pi \int_R^\infty \cosh(|\kappa|r) r^{n+2} e^{-Br} dr + 4\pi \int_0^R \cosh(|\kappa|r) r^{n+2} \mathcal{V}(r) dr$$

and

$$|\partial^n S(\kappa)| \leq 8A\pi \int_0^\infty r^{n+2} e^{-(B-|\kappa|)r} dr + 8\pi e^{|\kappa|R} R^n \int r^2 \mathcal{V}(r) dr$$

which, if $|\kappa| \leqslant \frac{B}{2}$, implies that

$$8A\pi \int_0^\infty r^{n+2} e^{-(B-|\kappa|)r} dr \leqslant 8A\pi \int_0^\infty r^{n+2} e^{-\frac{B}{2}r} dr = \frac{2^{n+6}A\pi}{B^{n+3}}(n+2)!$$

and

$$8\pi e^{|\kappa|R}R^{n+2}\int \mathcal{V}(r) dr \leqslant 8\pi e^{\frac{B}{2}R}R^n\int r^2\mathcal{V}(r) dr.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.5 - 2-1-2 |

We now turn to $|\kappa| \ge \frac{B}{2}$:

$$\partial^n \operatorname{sinc}(\xi) = \sum_{p=0}^n \binom{n}{p} \partial^p \sin(\xi) \frac{(n-p)!(-1)^{n-p}}{\xi^{n-p+1}}$$

SO

$$|\partial^n \operatorname{sinc}(\xi)| \leq 2e^{\mathcal{I}m(\xi)} \sum_{p=0}^n \frac{n!}{p!} |\xi|^{-(n-p+1)}.$$

Therefore,

$$|\partial^n S(\kappa)| \leq 8\pi \sum_{p=0}^n \frac{n!}{p! |\kappa|^{n-p+1}} \int_0^\infty e^{\mathcal{I}m(\kappa)r} r^{p+1} \mathcal{V}(r)(1-u(r)) dr$$

so, by (1.26),

 $|\partial^n S(\kappa)| \leqslant \sigma_1 + \sigma_2$

Proof of Theorem 1.5 - 2-1-2 II

with

$$\sigma_1 := 8A\pi \sum_{p=0}^n \frac{n!}{p! |\kappa|^{n-p+1}} \int_R^\infty r^{p+1} e^{-(B - \mathcal{I}m(\kappa))r} dr$$

and

$$\sigma_2 := 8\pi \sum_{p=0}^n \frac{n!}{p! |\kappa|^{n-p+1}} \int_0^R r^{p+1} e^{\mathcal{I}m(\kappa)r} \mathcal{V}(r) dr.$$

Furthermore,

$$\sigma_1 = 8A\pi n! \sum_{p=0}^{n} \frac{p+1}{(B - \mathcal{I}m(\kappa))^{p+2} |\kappa|^{n-p+1}}$$

so, as long as $|\kappa| \ge \frac{1}{2}B$ and $\mathcal{I}m(\kappa) \le \frac{1}{2}B$,

$$\sigma_1 \leq \frac{2^{n+6}A\pi}{B^{n+3}}n! \sum_{p=0}^n (p+1) = \frac{2^{n+5}A\pi}{B^{n+3}}(n+2)!.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.5 - 2-1-2 III

In addition,

$$\sigma_2 \leqslant 8\pi \sum_{p=0}^n \frac{n!}{p! |\kappa|^{n-p+1}} R^{p-1} e^{\mathcal{I}m(\kappa)R} \int_0^R r^2 \mathcal{V}(r) dr$$

SO

$$\sigma_{2} \leqslant 8\pi \sum_{p=0}^{n} \frac{n! 2^{n-p+1}}{p! B^{n-p+1}} R^{p-1} e^{\mathcal{I}m(\kappa)R} \int_{0}^{R} r^{2} \mathcal{V}(r) dr$$
$$\leqslant \frac{2^{n+4}\pi}{RB^{n+1}} n! e^{RB} \int_{0}^{R} r^{2} \mathcal{V}(r) dr.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.5 - 2-2

We have thus proved that S is analytic in H_{τ} , which implies that the singularities of $\widehat{\mathcal{U}}_2$ in H_{τ} all come from the branch points of $\sqrt{F(|k|)}$ with $F(|k|) := (\frac{k^2}{4e} + 1)^2 - S(|k|)$. For $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$,

 $|S(\kappa)| \leqslant 1$

so, for $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$F(\kappa) \geqslant \frac{\kappa^2}{2e}.$$

Therefore, since F is analytic in a strip around the real axis, there exists an open set containing the real axis in which F has one and only one root, at 0. Thus the only branch point of \sqrt{F} on the real axis is 0. Thus, $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_2$ is analytic in H_{τ} .

Decay of \mathcal{U}_2 I

We deform the integral to the path

$$\{i\eta y, \ 0 < y < |x|^{-\tau}\} \cup \{i\eta |x|^{-\tau} + y, \ y > 0\}$$



Decay of \mathcal{U}_2 II

and find

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i\eta\kappa|\mathbf{x}|} \kappa \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{2}(\kappa) \ d\kappa = I_{1} + I_{2}$$
(5.43)

with

$$\mathcal{U}_1 := -\int_0^{|x|^{- au}} e^{-y|x|} y \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_2(i\eta y) \; dy$$

 and

$$I_2 := e^{-|x|^{1-\tau}} \int_0^\infty e^{i\eta y|x|} (i\eta |x|^{-\tau} + y) \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_2(i\eta |x|^{-\tau} + y) \, dy.$$

3-1 I

We first estimate I_1 . We expand S: For $\beta > 0$,since S is analytic and symmetric, and $|S(|k|)| \leq 1$,

$$S(\kappa) = 1 - \beta \kappa^2 + O(|\kappa|^4).$$

Therefore, $y\mapsto \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_2(iy)$ is \mathcal{C}^2 for y
eq 0, and

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{2}(|k|) \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho} \left(\frac{k^{2}}{4e} + 1 - \sqrt{\left(\frac{k^{2}}{4e} + 1\right)^{2} - S(|k|)} - \frac{2eS(|k|)}{4e + k^{2}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho} \left(\frac{k^{2}}{4e} + 1 - \sqrt{\frac{k^{4}}{16e^{2}} + \frac{k^{2}}{2e} + 1 - 1 + \beta k^{2} + O(|k|^{4})} - \frac{2e}{4e + k^{2}} (1 + \beta k^{2} + O(|k|^{4})) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho} \left(\frac{k^{2}}{4e} + 1 - \sqrt{\frac{k^{2}}{2e} + \beta k^{2} + O(|k|^{4})} - \frac{2e}{4e + k^{2}} (1 - \beta k^{2} + O(|k|^{4})) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho} \left(1 - k\sqrt{\frac{1}{2e} + \beta} - \frac{1}{2} + O(k^{2}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

3-1 II

Thus,

$$\widehat{\mathcal{U}}_2(i\eta y) = rac{1}{2
ho} - rac{i\eta y}{
ho} \sqrt{rac{1}{2e} + eta} + O(y^2)$$

Furthermore,

$$-\int_{0}^{|x|^{-\tau}} e^{-y|x|} y \, dy = -\frac{1}{|x|^2} + \frac{1+|x|^{1-\tau}}{|x|^2} e^{-|x|^{1-\tau}}$$
$$-\int_{0}^{|x|^{-\tau}} e^{-y|x|} y^2 \, dy = -\frac{2}{|x|^3} + \frac{1+|x|^{1-\tau}(2+x^{1-\tau})}{|x|^3} e^{-|x|^{1-\tau}}$$

 and

$$-\int_{0}^{|x|^{- au}}e^{-y|x|}y^{3}\,\,dy=O(|x|^{-4})$$

3-1 III

so

$$l_{1} = -\frac{1}{2\rho|x|^{2}} + \frac{2i\eta}{\rho|x|^{3}}\sqrt{\frac{1}{2e} + \beta} + O(|x|^{-4})$$
$$\frac{1}{4i\pi^{2}|x|}\sum_{\eta=\pm}\eta l_{1} = \frac{1}{\pi^{2}\rho|x|^{4}}\sqrt{\frac{1}{2e} + \beta} + O(|x|^{-5}).$$
(5.52)

We now bound I_2 . Recall that, for $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, $|S(\kappa)| \leq 1$. Recalling (5.19),

$$|S(\kappa+i\eta|x|^{-\tau})| \leqslant \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} |\partial^n S(\kappa)|^n |x|^{-n\tau} \leqslant \frac{1}{1-C|x|^{-\tau}} \leqslant 2$$

provided $|x|^{\tau} > 2C$. Therefore, for large κ , by (5.7),

$$|\widehat{\mathcal{U}}_2(\kappa+i\eta)|=O(\kappa^{-4})$$

so

$$I_2 \leqslant C' e^{-|x|^{1-\tau}} \tag{5.55}$$

for some constant C' > 0.

Inserting (5.52) and (5.55) into (5.43) and (5.15), we find that

$$\mathcal{U}_2(|x|) = rac{1}{\pi^2
ho |x|^4} \sqrt{rac{1}{2e} + eta} + O(|x|^{-5})$$

which, using (2.10), concludes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 1.2 in [4]

Theorem 1.2 (Large |x| asymptotics of u) If $(1+|x|^4)v(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then

$$\rho u(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2+\beta}}{2\pi^2\sqrt{e}} \frac{1}{|x|^4} + R(x)$$

where

$$\beta = \rho \int |x|^2 v (1-u) dx \leqslant \rho ||x^2 v||_1,$$

and where $|x|^4 R(x)$ is in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, uniformly in *e* on all compact sets. Moreover, for every $\rho_0 > 0$, there is a constant *C* that only depends on ρ_0 such that for all *x*, for all $\rho < \rho_0$,

$$u(x) \leqslant \min\left\{1, \frac{C}{\rho e^{\frac{1}{2}}|x|^4}\right\}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2: Preparation I

Let

$$\kappa := \frac{|k|}{2\sqrt{e}}.\tag{2.1}$$

Then we have

$$\rho \widehat{u} = (\kappa^2 + 1) \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{\rho}{2e} \widehat{S}} \right).$$
(2.2)

For small κ , since x^4v is integrable, \widehat{S} is \mathcal{C}^4

$$\frac{\rho}{2e}\widehat{S} = 1 - \beta\kappa^2 + O(e^2\kappa^4) \tag{2.3}$$

and β is defined in (29):

$$\beta = -\frac{\rho}{4e}\partial_{\kappa}^{2}\widehat{S} \leqslant \rho \|x^{2}v\|_{1}.$$
(2.4)

Proof of Theorem 1.2: Preparation II

Therefore, defining

$$\widehat{U}_1 := (\kappa^2 + 1)^{-2} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - rac{(1 - eta \kappa^2)}{(\kappa^2 + 1)^2}} \right)$$

 \widehat{U}_1 coincides with \widehat{u} asymptotically as $\kappa \to 0$ and we chose the prefactor $(\kappa^2 + 1)^{-2}$ in such a way that \widehat{U}_1 is integrable. Define the remainder term

$$\widehat{U}_2 := \rho \widehat{u} - \widehat{U}_1 = (\kappa^2 + 1) \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - 2\zeta_1} \right) - (\kappa^2 + 1)^{-2} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - 2\zeta_2} \right)$$

with

$$\zeta_1 := \frac{\frac{\rho}{4e}\widehat{S}}{(\kappa^2 + 1)^2}, \quad \zeta_2 := \frac{1 - \beta\kappa^2}{2(\kappa^2 + 1)^2}.$$
(2.7)

$$U_1(x):=\int rac{dk}{(2\pi)^3}e^{-ikx}\widehat{U}_1(k)$$
 l

We write

$$\sqrt{1 - rac{1 - eta \kappa^2}{(1 + \kappa^2)^2}} = rac{\kappa}{1 + \kappa^2} \sqrt{2 + eta + \kappa^2} = rac{1}{\pi} rac{|\kappa|(2 + eta + \kappa^2)}{1 + \kappa^2} \int_0^\infty rac{1}{2 + eta + t + \kappa^2} t^{-1/2} dt$$

Therefore,

$$\widehat{U}_1 := (\kappa^2 + 1)^{-2} - \frac{\kappa}{\pi} (\kappa^2 + 1)^{-2} \left(1 + (\beta + 1) \frac{1}{1 + \kappa^2} \right) \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2 + \beta + t + \kappa^2} t^{-1/2} dt.$$

We take the inverse Fourier transform of \widehat{U}_1 , recalling the definition of κ (2.1)

$$U_1(x) = \frac{e^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\pi} e^{-2\sqrt{e}|x|} - \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\delta(x) + \frac{(\beta+1)e}{\pi} \frac{e^{-2\sqrt{e}|x|}}{|x|} \right) * f_1 * f_2 \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$f_1(x) := rac{e^{rac{3}{2}}}{\pi^3} \int dk \ e^{-ik(2\sqrt{e}x)} rac{|k|}{(k^2+1)^2}$$

$$U_1(x) := \int rac{dk}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-ikx} \widehat{U}_1(k)$$
 ||

 ${\sf and}$

$$f_2(x) := \frac{e^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\pi^3} \int dk \; e^{-ik(2\sqrt{e}x)} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}} \frac{1}{2+\beta+t+k^2} = \frac{e}{\pi|x|} \int_0^\infty e^{-\sqrt{2+\beta+t}(2\sqrt{e}|x|)} t^{-1/2} dt \; ,$$

now, for all T > 0,

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\sqrt{2+\beta+t}(2\sqrt{e}|x|)} t^{-1/2} dt \\ &= \int_{0}^{T} e^{-\sqrt{2+\beta+t}(2\sqrt{e}|x|)} t^{-1/2} dt + \int_{T}^{\infty} e^{-\sqrt{2+\beta+t}(2\sqrt{e}|x|)} t^{-1/2} dt \\ &\leqslant \int_{0}^{T} e^{-\sqrt{2+\beta}} e^{-\sqrt{t}}(2\sqrt{e}|x|) t^{-1/2} dt + \int_{T}^{\infty} e^{-\sqrt{2+\beta+t}(2\sqrt{e}|x|)} t^{-1/2} dt \\ &= 2(1-e^{-\sqrt{T}}) e^{-\sqrt{2+\beta}(2\sqrt{e}|x|)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}|x|} e^{-\sqrt{T}(2\sqrt{e}|x|)} \\ &\leqslant 2T^{1/2} e^{-\sqrt{2+\beta}(2\sqrt{e}|x|)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}|x|} e^{-\sqrt{T}(2\sqrt{e}|x|)}. \end{split}$$
(2.13)

$$U_1(x) := \int rac{dk}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-ikx} \widehat{U}_1(k)$$
 III

Where we have use that

$$\exp(-x) = 1 - x + \frac{1}{2}x^2 + O(x^3)$$

for the last inequality. Choosing $T = 2 + \beta$, we see that for large $(2\sqrt{e}|x|)$, $0 \leq f_2(x) \leq Ce^{-\sqrt{2+\beta}(2\sqrt{e}|x|)}$. Furthermore,

$$f_1(x) = \frac{e^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\pi^3} \int dk \ e^{-ik(2\sqrt{e}x)} \frac{1}{|k|} \frac{k^2}{(k^2+1)^2} = \frac{e^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\pi^3} \frac{1}{|x|^2} * g, \quad g(x) = \frac{(1-\sqrt{e}|x|)e^{-(2\sqrt{e})|x|}}{|x|}$$

Using

$$\frac{1}{|x-y|^2} = \frac{1}{|x|^2} + \frac{-|y|^2 + 2x \cdot y}{|x|^2|x-y|^2}$$

$$U_1(x) := \int rac{dk}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-ikx} \widehat{U}_1(k)$$
 IV

twice and the fact that g(y) is even, integrates to zero, and $\int yg(y) \, dy = 0$,

$$f_{1}(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^{4}} \frac{e^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\pi^{3}} \left(-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |y|^{2} g(y) dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{(-|y|^{2} + 2x \cdot y)^{2}}{|x - y|^{2}} g(y) dy \right)$$
(2.16)
We compute $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |y|^{2} g(y) dy = -\frac{3\pi}{2e^{2}}$, and then using the symmetry of g

once more,

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{(x\cdot y)^2}{|x-y|^2}g(y)\mathrm{d}y=\frac{1}{3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|y|^2g(y)\mathrm{d}y=-\frac{\pi}{2e^2}\;,$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{|x| \to \infty} |x|^4 f_1(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2 \sqrt{e}} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{|x| \to \infty} |x|^4 U_1(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 \sqrt{e}} \sqrt{2+\beta}.$$
(2.18)

$$U_1(x) := \int rac{dk}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-ikx} \widehat{U}_1(k) \; \mathsf{V}$$

We now turn to an upper bound of U_1 . First of all, if $|x| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}}$, then by (32) and (2.16),

$$f_1(x) \ge 0$$

and if $|x| > \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}}$, then

$$f_1(x) \ge -\frac{1}{|x|^4} \frac{e^2}{\pi^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{(-|y|^2 + 2x \cdot y)^2}{|x-y|^2} e^{-(2\sqrt{e})|y|} \mathrm{d}y.$$

We split the integral into two parts: |y - x| > |x| and |y - x| < |x|. We have, (recalling $|x| > \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}}$),

$$\int_{|y-x|>|x|} \frac{(-|y|^2 + 2x \cdot y)^2}{|x-y|^2} e^{-(2\sqrt{e})|y|} \mathrm{d}y \leqslant e^{-\frac{5}{2}}C$$

$$U_1(x):=\int rac{dk}{(2\pi)^3}e^{-ikx}\widehat{U}_1(k)$$
 VI

for some constant C (we use a notation where the constant C may change from one line to the next). Now,

$$\int_{|y-x|<|x|} \frac{(-|y|^2 + 2x \cdot y)^2}{|x-y|^2} e^{-(2\sqrt{e})|y|} \mathrm{d}y \leqslant e^{-\sqrt{e}|x|} \int_{|y-x|<|x|} \frac{(|y|^2 + 2|x||y|)^2}{|x-y|^2} \mathrm{d}y \leqslant |x|^5 e^{-\sqrt{e}|x|} \frac{|y|^2 + 2|x||y|}{|x-y|^2} \mathrm{d}y \leqslant |x|^5 e^{-\sqrt{e}|x|} \frac{|y|^2 + 2|x|}{|x-y|^2} \mathrm{d}$$

Therefore, for all x,

$$f_1(x) \ge -rac{1}{|x|^4}C(e^{-rac{1}{2}}+e^2|x|^4e^{-\sqrt{e}|x|}).$$

Finally, by use (2.13),

$$|x|^4\left(\delta(x)+\frac{(\beta+1)e}{\pi}\frac{e^{-2\sqrt{e}|x|}}{|x|}\right)*f_1*f_2(x) \ge -Ce^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

All in all, by (2.10), (since $|x|^4 e^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-2\sqrt{e}|x|} < C e^{-\frac{1}{2}}$)

$$|x|^4 U_1(x) \leqslant C e^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (2.25)

37 / 41

 $\Delta^2 \widehat{U}_2$ is integrable and square-integrable. We use the fact that

$$16e^{2}\Delta^{2} \equiv \partial_{\kappa}^{4} + \frac{4}{\kappa}\partial_{\kappa}^{3}.$$
 (2.26)

We have, by the Leibniz rule,

$$\partial_{\kappa}^{n} \widehat{U}_{2} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \left(\partial_{\kappa}^{n-i} (\kappa^{2}+1) \partial_{\kappa}^{i} (1-\sqrt{1-2\zeta_{1}}) - \partial_{\kappa}^{n-i} (\kappa^{2}+1)^{-2} \partial_{\kappa}^{i} (1-\sqrt{1-2\zeta_{2}}) \right).$$
(2.27)

Furthermore,

$$\partial_{\kappa}^{n}(1-\sqrt{1-2\zeta_{j}}) = \sum_{p=1}^{n} \partial_{\zeta_{j}}^{p}(1-\sqrt{1-2\zeta_{j}}) \sum_{\substack{l_{1},\cdots,l_{p} \in \{1,\cdots,n\}\\l_{1}+\cdots+l_{p}=n}} c_{l_{1},\cdots,l_{p}}^{(p,n)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \partial_{\kappa}^{l_{i}}\zeta_{j}$$
(2.28)

for some family of constants $c_{l_1,\dots,l_p}^{(p,n)}$ which can easily be computed explicitly, but this is not needed. Now, since $S \ge 0$, $\frac{\rho}{1e}|\widehat{S}| \le 1$, so $|\zeta_1| \le \frac{1}{2}$ and $\zeta_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ if and only if $\kappa = 0$. Therefore, \widehat{U}_2 is bounded when κ is away from 0, so it suffices to show that $\Delta^2 \widehat{U}_2$ is integrable and square integrable at infinity and at 0. We first consider the behavior at infinity, and assume that κ is sufficiently large. The fact that $\partial_{\kappa}^{n-i}(\kappa^2+1)^{-2}\partial_{\kappa}^i(1-\sqrt{1-2\zeta_2})$ is integrable and square integrable at infinity follows immediately from (2.7). To prove the corresponding claim for ζ_1 , we use the fact that $|x|^4 v$ square integrable, which implies that \widehat{S} is as well. Therefore, by (2.7) for $0 \leq n \leq 4$, $\kappa^2 \partial_{\kappa}^n \zeta_1$ is integrable at infinity, and, therefore, square-integrable at infinity. Furthermore, by (2.7), $\zeta_1 < \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$ for large κ , and $\partial^n \zeta_1$ is bounded, so $\partial_{\kappa}^{n-i}(\kappa^2+1)\partial_{\kappa}^i(1-\sqrt{1-2\zeta_1})$ is integrable and square integrable.

2-2 I

As
$$\kappa o 0$$

 $\zeta_i = rac{1}{2}(1-(eta+2)\kappa^2)+O(\kappa^4)$
and since $eta \geqslant 0$,
 $1-2\zeta_i \geqslant \kappa^2+O(\kappa^4)$.

therefore, for $p \ge 1$

$$\partial_{\zeta_j}^p (1 - \sqrt{1 - 2\zeta_j}) = O(\kappa^{1-2p})$$

and, since ζ_i is \mathcal{C}^4 , for $3 \leq n \leq 4$,

 $\partial \zeta_i = -(\beta+2)\kappa + O(\kappa^3), \quad \partial^2 \zeta_i = -(\beta+2) + O(\kappa^2), \quad \partial^n \zeta_i = O(\kappa^{4-n}).$

Therefore, for $1 \leq i \leq 4$, by (2.28)

$$\partial^i_\kappa(1-\sqrt{1-2\zeta_1})-\partial^i_\kappa(1-\sqrt{1-2\zeta_2})=O(\kappa^{3-i})$$

2-2 II

and

$$\partial^i_\kappa(1-\sqrt{1-2\zeta_1})=O(\kappa^{1-i}),\quad \partial^i_\kappa(1-\sqrt{1-2\zeta_2})=O(\kappa^{1-i}).$$

Thus, by (2.27), as $\kappa
ightarrow$ 0,

$$|\partial_\kappa^4 \widehat{U}_2| = O(\kappa^{-1}), \quad rac{4}{\kappa} |\partial_\kappa^3 \widehat{U}_2| = O(\kappa^{-1}).$$

Thus, $\Delta^2 \hat{U}_2$ is integrable and square integrable. And since the $O(\cdot)$ hold uniformly in *e* on all compact sets, by (2.26),

$$|x|^4 U_2(x) \leqslant \frac{8e^{\frac{3}{2}}}{16e^2} \int \left(\partial_{|k|}^4 + \frac{4}{|k|}\partial_{|k|}^3\right) \hat{U}_2(|k|) \ dk \leqslant \frac{C}{\sqrt{e}}$$