

Chapter 7. Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} -i \partial_t u - \Delta_x u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty) \\ u(x, 0) = g(x) & \text{in } x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases} \quad (i^2 = -1)$$

Heuristically, by using Fourier transform $x \mapsto k$ we have

$$\begin{cases} i \partial_t \hat{u}(k, t) = |2\pi k|^2 \hat{u}(k, t) \Rightarrow \hat{u}(k, t) = e^{-it|2\pi k|^2} \hat{g}(k) \\ \hat{u}(k, 0) = \hat{g}(k) \end{cases}$$

This is similar to the heat equation

$$\hat{u}(k, t) = e^{-t|2\pi k|^2} \hat{g}(k)$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{u}(x, t) = (e^{t\Delta} g) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}} g(y) dy$$

If now we formally replace t by it (imaginary time), then the solution of the Schrödinger equation is

$$u(x, t) = (e^{it\Delta} g)(x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi it)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}} g(y) dy$$

Theorem: For $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ define

$$(e^{it\Delta} g)(x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi it)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i \frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}} g(y) dy.$$

Then:

a) $\|e^{it\Delta} g\|_{L^2} = \|g\|_{L^2}, \quad \forall g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d).$

b) Consequently, we can define $e^{it\Delta} g$ for all $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by the density argument. In this way $e^{it\Delta}$ is a unitary transformation in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

$$\|e^{it\Delta} g\|_{L^2} = \|g\|_{L^2}, \quad \forall g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

c) $\widehat{e^{it\Delta} g}(k) = e^{it|2\pi k|^2} \widehat{g}(k), \quad \forall g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d).$

Proof: a) Consider for $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\widehat{G_\varepsilon}(k) = e^{-(it+\varepsilon)|2\pi k|^2} \Rightarrow G_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi(it+\varepsilon))^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4(it+\varepsilon)}}$$

(exercise, c.f. Fourier transform of Gaussians)
Here $\varepsilon > 0 \rightarrow \text{exp. decay}$)

Then we have for $g \in L^1$

$$(G_\varepsilon * g)(x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi(i+\varepsilon))^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(i+\varepsilon)}} g(y) dy$$

(Dominated
c.v.)

$\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$
 \rightarrow

$$(G_0 * g)(x), \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

On the other hand, for $g \in L^2$:

$$\widehat{G_\varepsilon * g}(k) = \widehat{G_\varepsilon}(k) \widehat{g}(k) = e^{-(i+\varepsilon)|2\pi k|^2} \widehat{g}(k)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} e^{-it|2\pi k|^2} \widehat{g}(k) \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, dk)$$

by Dominated c.v. Thus:

$$G_\varepsilon * g \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left(e^{-it|2\pi k|^2} \widehat{g}(k) \right)^\vee \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, dx)$$

\rightarrow up to a subsequence $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ we get the pointwise convergence \rightarrow the limit is the same to $(G_0 * g)$.

Thus

$$(G_0 * g) = \left(e^{-it|2\pi k|^2} \widehat{g}(k) \right)^\vee \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

consequently for $g \in L^1 \cap L^2$:

$$\|G_0 * g\|_{L^2} = \|e^{-it|2\pi k|^2} \widehat{g}(k)\|_{L^2} = \|g\|_{L^2}$$

(= $e^{it\Delta} g$ by def)

b) For $g \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, take $\{g_n\} \subset L^1 \cap L^2$ s.t.

$g_n \rightarrow g$ in L^2 . Then:

$$\|e^{it\Delta} g_m - e^{it\Delta} g_n\|_{L^2} = \|g_m - g_n\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m, n \rightarrow \infty$$

$\Rightarrow \{e^{it\Delta} g_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in L^2

\Rightarrow we can define $e^{it\Delta} g = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{it\Delta} g_n$.

This def is independent of the choice of $\{g_n\}$:

\bar{y} $g_n \rightarrow g$ & $\tilde{g}_n \rightarrow g$, then

$$\|e^{it\Delta} g_n - e^{it\Delta} \tilde{g}_n\|_{L^2} = \|g_n - \tilde{g}_n\|_{L^2} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Thus $\forall g \in L^2$, $e^{it\Delta} g$ is well-defined. And:

$$\|e^{it\Delta} g\|_{L^2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|e^{it\Delta} g_n\|_{L^2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|g_n\|_{L^2} = \|g\|_{L^2}$$

Note that we can define $e^{-it\Delta}$ by the same way, and hence $e^{it\Delta}$ is a unitary
 $(e^{it\Delta})^* = e^{-it\Delta}$.

c) Also from $g_n \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$, $g_n \rightarrow g$ in L^2

$$\widehat{e^{it\Delta} g_n}(h) = e^{-it|2\pi h|^2} \widehat{g_n}(h)$$

$(n \rightarrow \infty)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow L^2 & & \downarrow L^2 \\ \widehat{e^{it\Delta} g}(h) & = & e^{-it|2\pi h|^2} \widehat{g}(h) \end{array} \quad \square$$

Remark: If $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$|(e^{it\Delta} g)(x)| = \left| \frac{1}{(4\pi it)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i \frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}} g(y) dy \right|$$

$$\Rightarrow \|e^{it\Delta} g\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{\|g\|_{L^1}}{(4\pi t)^{d/2}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

If $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$\|e^{it\Delta} g\|_{L^2} = \|g\|_{L^2}$$

Hence, if $g \in L^1 \cap L^2$:

$$\|e^{it\Delta} g\|_{L^q} \xrightarrow{(t \rightarrow \infty)} 0 \text{ for all } 2 < q < \infty$$

(exercise)

In fact, if $g \in L^p$ with $1 < p < 2$, then

$$\|e^{it\Delta} g\|_{L^{p'}} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1.$$

(This is harder to prove. You can use the Riesz-Thorin interpolation inequality, similar to Young's ineq for Fourier transform)

Theorem (RAGE - Ruelle, Amrein-Georgescu, Essi)

If $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $\forall R > 0$:

$$\int_{|x| < R} |e^{it\Delta} g(x)|^2 dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof: We decompose $g = g_1 + g_2$ with $g_2 \in L^2$, $\|g_2\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$, $g_1 \in C_c^\infty$, $\|g_1\|_1 \leq C\varepsilon$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then: } \int_{|x| < R} |e^{it\Delta} g|^2 &\leq 2 \int_{|x| < R} |e^{it\Delta} g_1|^2 + 2 \int_{|x| < R} |e^{it\Delta} g_2|^2 \\ &\leq C R^d \|e^{it\Delta} g_1\|_{L^\infty}^2 + 2 \|e^{it\Delta} g_2\|_2^2 \\ &\leq C R^d \frac{\|g_1\|_1^2}{t^d} + 2 \|g_2\|_2^2 \leq \frac{C R^d \varepsilon^2}{t^d} + C \varepsilon^2 \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} C \varepsilon^2 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Classical solution) If $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then

$$u = e^{it\Delta} g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty))$$

and

$$\begin{cases} -i\partial_t u - \Delta_x u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty) \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} u(x, t) = g(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall m \geq 1: \quad \widehat{u}(k, t) &= e^{-it|2\pi k|^2} \widehat{g}(k) \\ \Rightarrow |k|^m \widehat{u}(k, t) &= e^{-it|2\pi k|^2} |k|^m \widehat{g}(k) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, dk) \\ &\leq \frac{C_m}{(1+|k|)^m}, \quad \forall m \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow u(\cdot, t) \in \bigcap_{m \geq 1} H^m(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Moreover, $\partial_t^m \widehat{u}(k, t) = e^{-it|2\pi k|^2} (-i|2\pi k|^2)^m \widehat{g}(k)$

$$\Rightarrow \partial_t^m u(x, t) = (\quad) \checkmark \text{ continuous in } t, \quad \forall m \geq 1$$

Thus $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty))$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} -i\partial_t \widehat{u} &= -i e^{-it|2\pi k|^2} (-i|2\pi k|^2) \widehat{g}(k) \\ &= e^{-it|2\pi k|^2} (-|2\pi k|^2) \widehat{g}(k) = \widehat{\Delta_x u} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow -i\partial_t u - \Delta_x u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty).$$

Finally

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{u}(k,t) - \hat{g}(k)| dk = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |e^{-it|\mathbf{k}|^2} - 1| \underbrace{|\hat{g}(k)|}_{\in L^1} dk$$

$\xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0^+} 0$ by Dominated c.v.

$$\Rightarrow u(x,t) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} g(x) \text{ uniformly } x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad \square$$

Theorem (Weak solution) a) If $g \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then

$$u = e^{it\Delta} g \in C^1(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), (0, T)) \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{cases} -i\partial_t u - \Delta_x u = 0 & \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \forall t > 0 \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} u(\cdot, t) = g & \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \end{cases}$$

Here $\partial_t u(\cdot, t) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(\cdot, t+h) - u(\cdot, t)}{h}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

b) If $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $u = e^{it\Delta} g$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -i\partial_t \langle \varphi, u \rangle - \langle \Delta \varphi, u \rangle = 0, \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} u(\cdot, t) = g & \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^d). \end{cases}$$

Proof: a) If $g \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then we prove that

$$-i \frac{u(\cdot, t+h) - u(\cdot, t)}{h} \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} e^{it\Delta} (\Delta g) \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\in L^2}$

Indeed,

$$\| \dots - e^{it\Delta} (\Delta g) \|_{L^2}^2 = \| \widehat{\dots} - e^{it\Delta} (\Delta g) \|_{L^2}^2$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| -i \frac{e^{-i(t+h)|2\pi k|^2} - e^{-it|2\pi k|^2}}{h} \widehat{g}(k) + e^{-it|2\pi k|^2} |2\pi k|^4 \widehat{g}(k) \right|^2 dk$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \underbrace{\left| -i \frac{e^{-ih|2\pi k|^2} - 1}{h|2\pi k|^2} + 1 \right|^2}_{\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0} |2\pi k|^4 \underbrace{|\widehat{g}(k)|^2}_{\in L^1} dk \rightarrow 0$$

Dominated
C.V.

and bounded uniformly

$$\left(\|e^{i\theta} - 1\|^2 = \|\cos \theta - 1\|^2 + \|\sin \theta\|^2 \leq C\theta^2 \right)$$

Thus $u \in C^1(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), (0, \infty))$ and

$$-i \partial_t u = e^{it\Delta} (\Delta g) = \Delta (e^{it\Delta} g) = \Delta u.$$

check by Fourier transform

Moreover:

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - g\|_{L^2}^2 = \|\hat{u}(\cdot, t) - \hat{g}\|_{L^2}^2$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \underbrace{\left| e^{-it|k|^\alpha} - 1 \right|^2}_{\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0} \underbrace{|\hat{g}(k)|^2}_{\in L^1} dk$$

and uniformly bounded

$\xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} 0$ by Dominated c.v.

b) If $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then: $\forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$-i\partial_t \langle \varphi, u \rangle = -i\partial_t \langle \hat{\varphi}, \hat{u} \rangle$$

$$= -i\partial_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \overline{\hat{\varphi}(k)} e^{-it|k|^\alpha} \hat{g}(k) dk$$

$\leq \frac{C_N}{(1+|k|)^N}, \forall N \geq 1$

(Dominated)
c.v.

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \overline{\hat{\varphi}(k)} e^{-it|k|^\alpha} \underbrace{(-i)^2 |k|^{2\alpha}}_{= |2\pi k|^\alpha} \hat{g}(k) dk$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \overline{\Delta \varphi} \hat{u} = \langle \Delta \varphi, u \rangle \quad \square$$

General Spectral approach:

Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space (i.e. \mathcal{H} has an orthonormal basis which is at most countable).

Let $A: D(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a self-adjoint operator.

By Spectral theorem, \exists unitary $U: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad UAU^* = M_f \quad \text{on } L^2(\Omega)$$

\downarrow
multiplication operator

where $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a real-valued function and

$$(M_f \varphi)(x) = f(x) \varphi(x), \quad \forall \varphi \in L^2(\Omega).$$

This allows to define $e^{-itA}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by

$$U e^{-itA} U^* = e^{-itM_f} \quad \text{on } L^2(\Omega)$$

where

$$(e^{-itM_f} \varphi)(x) = e^{-itf(x)} \varphi(x), \quad \forall \varphi \in L^2(\Omega).$$

Theorem: (Stone) If A is self-adjoint, then:

a) $\forall g \in D(A)$, $u = e^{-itA} g$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u = Au, \quad \forall t \\ u \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow 0]{} g \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H} \end{cases}$$

where $\partial_t u = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(t+h) - u(t)}{h}$ in \mathcal{H}

b) $\forall g \in \mathcal{H}$, $u = e^{-itA} g$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \langle \varphi, u \rangle = \langle A\varphi, u \rangle, \quad \forall t, \forall \varphi \in D(A) \\ u \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow 0]{} g \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H}. \end{cases}$$

Example: If $A = -\Delta$, $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

then $U = F$ (Fourier transform) and

$$F(-\Delta)F^* = |2\pi k|^2 \text{ multiplication operator}$$

$$\rightsquigarrow F(e^{-itA} g) = e^{-it|2\pi k|^2} \hat{g}(k)$$

The proof of the abstract theorem is similar to the case of $-\Delta$.

This abstract theory can be used also for the Schrödinger operator

$$A = -\Delta + V(x) \quad \text{on } \mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

where $V: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a nice function s.t. A is self-adjoint.

Then $\forall g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we can define the dynamics

$$u = e^{-it(-\Delta+V)} g$$

which solves $\begin{cases} i\partial_t u = (-\Delta+V)u \\ u(t=0) = g \end{cases}$ in appropriate sense

RAGE: If $-\Delta+V$ has no eigenfunction, then $\forall g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_{|x| \leq R} |e^{-it(-\Delta+V)} g(x)|^2 dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty$$

Asymptotic completeness: If $0 \leq V \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and small, then \exists a unitary operator $R: L^2 \rightarrow L^2$,

$$\forall g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \left\| e^{-it(-\Delta+V)} g - \underbrace{e^{it\Delta} Rg}_{\text{free dynamics}} \right\|_{L^2} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$