

## TOPOLOGIE IV – EXERCISE SHEET 8

MARCUS NICOLAS

**An explicit splitting principle for  $\mathrm{BO}(n)$ .** The sum map  $\oplus: \mathrm{O}(1)^n \rightarrow \mathrm{O}(n)$  induces a morphism

$$\theta: \mathrm{BO}(1)^n \rightarrow \mathrm{BO}(n)$$

which by definition classifies the assignment  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \rightarrow \bigoplus_k \lambda_k$ . In particular, denoting

- $\lambda_k$  the universal line bundle over  $\mathrm{BO}(\{k\})$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$
- $p$  the universal rank  $n$  vector bundle  $\mathrm{BO}(n-1) \rightarrow \mathrm{BO}(n)$

we obtain a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \longrightarrow & \bullet \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \bigoplus_k \lambda_k & & p \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{BO}(1)^n & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathrm{BO}(n) \end{array}$$

Given  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , naturality of the Stiefel–Whitney classes together with the Cartan formula yields

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^*(w_i) &= \theta^*(w_i(p)) \\ &= w_i\left(\bigoplus_i \lambda_i\right) \\ &= \sigma_i(c_1(\lambda_1), \dots, c_1(\lambda_n)) \end{aligned}$$

in  $\mathrm{H}^i(\mathrm{BO}(1); \mathbb{F}_2)^{\otimes n}$ , where  $\sigma_i \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  denotes the  $i$ -th elementary symmetric polynomial.

In particular, the morphism

$$\theta^*: \mathrm{H}^i(\mathrm{BO}(n); \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^i(\mathrm{BO}(1); \mathbb{F}_2)^{\otimes n} \simeq \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

is injective. The same proof works with integer coefficients for  $\mathrm{BU}$  and  $\mathrm{BSp}$ .

**A combinatorial identity.** For all  $n \geq 0$  and any integer  $k \leq n$ , we have:

$$\binom{n+1}{k} \equiv \sum_{k \leq 2i \leq n} \binom{n-i}{i} \binom{i}{k-i} \pmod{2}$$

Here, we use the convention that  $\binom{a}{b} = 0$  if  $b < 0$ .

*Proof.* The proof proceeds by induction on  $n$ , and uses repeatedly that  $\binom{a+1}{b} = \binom{a}{b} + \binom{a}{b-1}$  for  $a \geq b$ .

- The base cases  $n = 0$  and  $n = 1$  are trivial.
- Assuming the result for both  $n$  and  $n - 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k \leq 2i \leq n+1} \binom{n+1-i}{i} \binom{i}{k-i} \\ & \equiv \sum_{k \leq 2i \leq n+1} \left( \binom{n-i}{i} + \binom{n-i}{i-1} \right) \binom{i}{k-i} \\ & \equiv \sum_{k \leq 2i \leq n+1} \binom{n-i}{i} \binom{i}{k-i} + \sum_{k \leq 2i \leq n+1} \binom{n-i}{i-1} \left( \binom{i-1}{k-i} + \binom{i-1}{k-i-1} \right) \\ & \equiv \sum_{k \leq 2i \leq n} \binom{n-i}{i} \binom{i}{k-i} + \sum_{k-1 \leq 2i \leq n-1} \binom{n-1-i}{i} \binom{i}{k-1-i} + \sum_{k-2 \leq 2i \leq n-1} \binom{n-1-i}{i} \binom{i}{k-2-i} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\equiv \binom{n+1}{k} + \binom{n}{k-1} + \binom{n}{k-2} \\
&\equiv \binom{n+1}{k} + \binom{n+1}{k-1} \\
&\equiv \binom{n+2}{k}
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $k \leq n$ . The case  $k = n + 1$  is clear, since then the sum has at most one term. □

### Exercise 1.

1) Recall that

$$H^*(\mathbb{P}^\infty(\mathbb{R}); \mathbb{F}_2) \simeq \mathbb{F}_2[w_1]$$

and use the Cartan formula to deduce that

$$\text{Sq}^i(w_1^k) = \binom{k}{i} w_1^{n+i}$$

for  $i \leq k$ . Since the map induced by the inclusion  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  for  $n \geq 1$  on  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -cohomology is the quotient

$$\mathbb{F}_2[w_1] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2[w_1]/(w_1^{n+1})$$

one computes

$$\begin{aligned}
v_i(\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{R})) \cdot w_1^{n-i} &= \text{Sq}^i(w_1^{n-i}) \\
&= \binom{n-i}{i} w_1^n
\end{aligned}$$

for  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . Here the binomial coefficient is to be understood as 0 when  $2i > n$ . Therefore

$$v_i(\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{R})) = \begin{cases} \binom{n-i}{i} w_1^i & \text{if } 2i \leq n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for  $i \geq 0$ . The total Stiefel–Whitney class is then computed using Wu’s third formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
w(\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{R})) &= \text{Sq}(v(\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{R}))) \\
&= \sum_{2i \leq n} \sum_{j \leq i} \binom{n-i}{i} \text{Sq}^j(w_1^i) \\
&= \sum_{2i \leq n} \sum_{j \leq i} \binom{n-i}{i} \binom{i}{j} w_1^{i+j} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{k \leq 2i \leq n} \binom{n-i}{i} \binom{i}{k-i} w_1^k \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+1}{k} w_1^k
\end{aligned}$$

and finally

$$w(\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{R})) = (1 + w_1)^{n+1}$$

since  $w_1^{n+1} = 0$ .

2) For  $n \geq 1$ , all odd Wu classes of  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$  are obviously trivial and

$$v_{2i}(\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})) = \begin{cases} \binom{n-i}{i} w_2^i & \text{if } 2i \leq n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for  $i \geq 0$ . Also

$$w(\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})) = (1 + w_2)^{n+1}$$

The proofs are similar to 1).

3) The same computation as in 1) yields

$$v_{4i}(\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{H})) = \begin{cases} \binom{n-i}{i} w_4^i & \text{if } 2i \leq n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for  $n \geq 1$  and  $i \geq 0$ , and

$$w(\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{H})) = (1 + w_4)^{n+1}$$

6) Let  $Q := \text{SU}(3)/\text{SO}(3)$ . Since both  $\text{SU}(3)$  and  $\text{SO}(3)$  are connected compact Lie groups of dimension 8 and 3 respectively,  $Q$  is a connected 5-dimensional compact manifold sitting in a fiber sequence

$$\text{SO}(3) \longrightarrow \text{SU}(3) \longrightarrow Q$$

Make the following observations.

- Applying  $B(-)$  to the following cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{SU}(2) & \longrightarrow & \text{SU}(3) \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \text{U}(2) & \longrightarrow & \text{U}(3) \end{array}$$

and taking horizontal fibers gives an identification  $\text{SU}(3)/\text{SU}(2) \simeq \text{U}(3)/\text{U}(2) \simeq S^5$ . In particular, we get a fiber sequence

$$S^3 \simeq \text{SU}(2) \longrightarrow \text{SU}(3) \longrightarrow S^5$$

and  $\pi_i(\text{SU}(3)) \simeq 0$  for  $i \leq 2$ .

- The canonical map  $S^3 \simeq \text{SU}(2) \rightarrow \text{SO}(3)$  is a double cover, and in particular  $\pi_1(\text{SO}(3)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

The long exact sequence in homotopy groups thus shows that

$$\pi_1(Q) \simeq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_2(Q) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

In particular, Hurewicz and Poincaré duality together imply that

$$H^k(Q; \mathbb{F}_2) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_2 & \text{if } k \text{ is } 0, 2, 3 \text{ or } 5 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

More precisely, relative Hurewicz applied to the pair  $f: Q \rightarrow \text{BSO}(3)$  shows that the induced map

$$f^*: H^2(\text{BSO}(3)) \rightarrow H^2(Q)$$

in integral cohomology is an isomorphism. In particular  $f^*w_2$  is the generator of  $H^2(Q; \mathbb{F}_2)$ .

- Since  $\text{Sq}^1$  is the Bockstein morphism associated to the short exact sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2 \longrightarrow 0$$

we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^2(Q; \mathbb{F}_2) \xrightarrow{\text{blue}} H^2(Q; \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^2(Q; \mathbb{F}_2) \xrightarrow{\text{Sq}^1} H^3(Q; \mathbb{F}_2)$$

But the universal coefficient theorem implies

$$\begin{aligned} H^2(Q; \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_2(Q), \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \\ &\simeq \mathbb{F}_2 \end{aligned}$$

and the blue map is thus an isomorphism. This shows that  $\text{Sq}^1$  induces an isomorphism

$$\text{Sq}^1: H^2(Q; \mathbb{F}_2) \simeq H^3(Q; \mathbb{F}_2)$$

In particular  $f^* \text{Sq}^1(w_2)$  is the generator of  $H^3(Q; \mathbb{F}_2)$ .

- Using either the sum map  $\text{BO}(1)^3 \rightarrow \text{BO}(3)$  or Wu's second formula, observe that

$$\text{Sq}^1(w_2) = w_3 + w_1w_2 \quad \text{Sq}^2(w_3) = w_2w_3$$

in  $H^*(\text{BO}(3); \mathbb{F}_2)$ . In particular, the relations

$$\text{Sq}^1(w_2) = w_3 \quad \text{Sq}^2(w_3) = w_2w_3$$

hold in  $H^*(BSO(3); \mathbb{F}_2)$ .

Combining the two previous observations, the action of the Steenrod algebra on the  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -cohomology of  $Q$  is completely described by the fact that  $f^*w_3$  is the generator of  $H^3(Q; \mathbb{F}_2)$ , and by the relations

$$\text{Sq}^1(f^*w_2) = f^*w_3 \quad \text{Sq}^2(f^*w_3) = f^*(w_2w_3)$$

Remark that  $f^*(w_2w_3)$  is the generator of  $H^5(Q; \mathbb{F}_2)$  by Poincaré duality. Finally:

$$v(Q) = 1 + f^*w_2 \quad \text{and} \quad w(Q) = 1 + f^*w_2 + f^*w_3$$

7) Using Künneth, we have

$$H^*(T^n; \mathbb{F}_2) \simeq \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(x_1^2, \dots, x_n^2)$$

where elements  $x_i$  have degree 1. In particular, Cartan's formula implies that all Steenrod squares must vanish and thus

$$v(T^n) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad w(T^n) = 1$$

8) Reusing the notations introduced in the correction to the last exercise sheet, we have

$$v(X(\alpha)) = w(X(\alpha)) = \begin{cases} 1 + f^*\lambda & \text{if } \alpha \simeq i_3\eta \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Exercise 2.** Since the suspension morphism  $BSO(3) \rightarrow BSO(4)$  induces an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \simeq \pi_2(BSO(3)) \simeq \pi_2(BSO(4))$$

the generator determines a canonical oriented spherical fibration

$$S^3 \xrightarrow{j} E \xrightarrow{p} S^2$$

obtained by suspending fibrewise a spherical fibration of rank 3 over  $S^2$ .

- There exists a section  $s$  to  $p$ .
- The groupoid  $E$  is a simply connected compact manifold of dimension 5, and thus satisfies Poincaré duality. In particular, the morphism

$$(s, j): S^2 \vee S^3 \rightarrow E$$

induces an isomorphism on  $H^2(-)$  and  $H^3(-)$ .

- The Hurewicz isomorphism

$$\pi_2(BSO(4)) \simeq H_2(BSO(4)) \simeq \mathbb{F}_2 w_2^\vee$$

sends the classifying map  $\xi: S^2 \rightarrow BSO(4)$  to  $w_2^\vee$ . In particular

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_*([S^2] \cap w_2(p)) &= \xi_*[S^2] \cap w_2 \\ &= w_2^\vee \cap w_2 \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $w_2(p) = 1$  in  $H^2(S^2; \mathbb{F}_2)$ .

We will now construct an identification  $E \simeq X(\eta)$ . The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^4 & \xrightarrow{[i_2, i_3]} & S^2 \vee S^3 & \xrightarrow{(s, j)} & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ * & \longrightarrow & S^2 \times S^3 & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & S^2 \end{array}$$

shows that  $(s, j) \circ [i_2, i_3]: S^4 \rightarrow E$  is in the kernel of the second map in the following short exact sequence

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \simeq \pi_4(S^3) \xrightarrow{j_*} \pi_4(E) \xrightarrow{p_*} \pi_4(S^2)$$

In particular, it is either null homotopic or homotopic to  $\eta$ . If it were null homotopic, there would exist a dashed lift in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^2 \vee S^3 & \xrightarrow{(s,j)} & E \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow p \\ S^2 \times S^3 & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & S^2 \end{array}$$

inducing an isomorphism on  $H^2(-)$  and  $H^3(-)$ . By Poincaré duality and Whitehead, it has to be an isomorphism. But this is absurd, since  $w_2(p) = 1$  and  $w_2(\text{pr}_2) = 0$ .

Therefore, in  $\pi_4(E)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (s,j) \circ ([i_2, i_3] + i_3\eta) &= j_*(\eta + \eta) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and there exists a dashed lift

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^4 & \xrightarrow{[i_2, i_3] + i_3\eta} & S^2 \vee S^3 & \xrightarrow{(s,j)} & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow p \\ * & \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} & X(\eta) & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & S^2 \end{array}$$

It induces an isomorphism on  $H^2(-)$  and  $H^3(-)$ , and is thus an equivalence by Poincaré duality and Whitehead.