

TOPOLOGIE IV – EXERCISE SHEET 2

MARCUS NICOLAS

Universality of colimits in \mathbf{Gpd} . Given a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between groupoids, the pullback functor sits inside a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Gpd}_Y & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \mathbf{Gpd}_X \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \mathbf{Fun}(Y, \mathbf{Gpd}) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \mathbf{Fun}(X, \mathbf{Gpd}) \end{array}$$

Since colimits in those functor categories are formed pointwise, they are preserved by precomposition. In particular, the base change along f functor

$$f^*: \mathbf{Gpd}_Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Gpd}_X$$

preserves colimits. We say that colimits are *universal* in \mathbf{Gpd} . As an exercise, show that colimits in \mathbf{Cat} are not universal.

Truncated maps. Let \mathcal{C} be a category with finite limits. For $k \geq -2$, a map $f: x \rightarrow y$ of \mathcal{C} is $(k+1)$ -truncated if and only if the diagonal $\Delta_f: x \rightarrow x \times_y x$ is k -truncated.

Using this characterisation, one can show that any left exact functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ between categories with finite limits preserves truncatedness of objects and morphisms. If F is conservative, then it furthermore reflects truncatedness. For instance, since the forgetful functor $\mathbf{Gpd}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{Gpd}$ is conservative and preserves limits, it preserves and reflects truncatedness.

Lifting problems and finding sections. Let \mathcal{C} be a category. For any cospan in \mathcal{C}

$$x \xrightarrow{f} b \xleftarrow{p} e$$

whose limit exists, consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}/x}(x, f^*p) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, f^*p) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, e) \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow f_* \\ * & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_x} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, x) & \xrightarrow{u_*} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, b) \end{array}$$

In particular, the two following lifting problems are equivalent

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & e & \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow p \\ x & \xrightarrow{f} & b \end{array} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & x \times_b e & \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow f^*p \\ x & \xrightarrow{\quad} & x \end{array}$$

As a slogan, every lifting problem is equivalent to the problem of constructing a section.

A criterion for connectivity. For X a pointed groupoid and $n \geq 0$, the following are equivalent:

- (i) X is n -connected, or in other words $\tau_{\leq n} X \simeq *$
- (ii) $\mathrm{Hom}_*(X, Y) \simeq *$ for every pointed and n -truncated groupoid Y

(iii) for any m -truncated morphism $f: Y \rightarrow Z$ between pointed groupoids, the map

$$f_*: \text{Hom}_*(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_*(X, Z)$$

is $(m - n - 1)$ -truncated.

Proof. Clearly (iii) implies (ii).

We now show that (ii) implies (i). For any groupoid Y , the evaluation map $\text{ev}_*: \text{Hom}(X, Y) \rightarrow Y$ is an equivalence, since all of its fibers are contractible by assumption. Yoneda lemma then implies that $\tau_{\leq n} X \simeq *$.

Finally, we turn to the implication (i) implies (iii). Since the functor $\text{Hom}_*(X, -)$ preserves limits, the map

$$f_*: \text{Hom}_*(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_*(X, Z)$$

induced by composition with some $f: Y \rightarrow Z$ is $(k + 1)$ -truncated if and only if the map

$$(\Delta_f)_*: \text{Hom}_*(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_*(X, Z)$$

induced by the diagonal $\Delta_f: Y \rightarrow Y \times_Z Y$ is k -truncated. By induction, it thus suffices to show that f_* is an equivalence when f is $(n - 1)$ -truncated. In this case, the fiber above $u: X \rightarrow Z$ of f_* is the groupoid of pointed sections of $u^*f: W \rightarrow X$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{*/X}(X, u^*f) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_*(X, Y) \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow f_* \\ * & \xrightarrow{u} & \text{Hom}_*(X, Z) \end{array}$$

By assumption X is connected, and thus u^*f has typical fiber F for some $(n - 1)$ -truncated groupoid F . Since $\text{Aut}(F)$ is a reunion of connected components of the $(n - 1)$ -truncated groupoid $\text{End}(F) \simeq \text{Hom}(F, F)$, it is itself $(n - 1)$ -truncated and $\text{BAut}(F)$ is n -truncated. Since X is n -connected, the classifying map $X \rightarrow \text{BAut}(F)$ is constant, and pasting cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X \times F & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & \text{BAut}_*(F) \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\ X & \longrightarrow & * & \longrightarrow & \text{BAut}(F) \end{array}$$

yields an identification $W \simeq X \times F$ over X . Using the base point of W to turn F into a pointed groupoid:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{*/X}(X, u^*f) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{*/X}(X, X \times F) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_*(X, F) \\ &\simeq * \end{aligned}$$

where the last step uses again that X is n -connected. Finally the map

$$f_*: \text{Hom}_*(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_*(X, Z)$$

has contractible fibers, and therefore is an equivalence. This concludes the proof. \square

Exercise 1. Observe that $* \wedge (-)$ and $S^0 \wedge (-)$ are left adjoint to the functors $*: \text{Gpd}_* \rightarrow \text{Gpd}_*$ and id_{Gpd_*} respectively, and thus

$$* \wedge (-) \simeq * \quad \text{et} \quad S^0 \wedge (-) \simeq \text{id}_{\text{Gpd}_*}$$

Since $(-) \wedge (-)$ preserves colimits in each variable, we have a pushout square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{id}_{\text{Gpd}_*} & \longrightarrow & * \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ * & \longrightarrow & S^1 \wedge (-) \end{array}$$

and a canonical natural isomorphism $S^1 \wedge (-) \simeq \Sigma$. In particular

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma(- \wedge -) &\simeq \Sigma(-) \wedge (-) \\ &\simeq (-) \wedge \Sigma(-)\end{aligned}$$

since the smash product is commutative.

Exercise 2. Let X and Y two pointed groupoids being respectively m - and n -connected with m and n non-negative. For Z pointed and $(m + n + 1)$ -truncated, the criterion above shows that $\text{Hom}_*(Y, Z)$ is m -truncated and thus

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Hom}_*(X \wedge Y, Z) &\simeq \text{Hom}_*(X, \text{Hom}_*(Y, Z)) \\ &\simeq *\end{aligned}$$

Since this holds uniformly in Z , the smash product $X \wedge Y$ must be $(m + n + 1)$ -connected.

The result is false when m and n are allowed to be negative. For instance, smashing with the (-1) -connected space $S^0 \vee S^0$ does not preserve connectedness.

Exercise 3 ([DH21, lemma 2.17]). Mather's second cube lemma follows immediately from the universality of pushouts in \mathbf{Gpd} . Let now \mathcal{C} be a category with universal pushouts. Recall that the endofunctor $\Sigma: \mathcal{C}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_*$ is defined by the following cocartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\text{id} & \longrightarrow & * \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ * & \longrightarrow & \Sigma\end{array}$$

in the category of endomorphisms of pointed objects \mathcal{C}_* .

Consider now the following cube

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}\text{fib}(\text{id} \rightarrow \Sigma) & \xrightarrow{\xi_1} & \Omega\Sigma & \xrightarrow{\quad} & * \\ & \searrow \xi_2 & \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ \text{id} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & * & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Sigma\end{array}$$

where the top face is obtained by pulling back the bottom face along the base point $* \rightarrow \Sigma$. Since the bottom face is a pushout, the one must be as well by assumption.

By pasting cartesian squares all four other squares appearing in the cube are cartesian. Looking at the front face yields an identification

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\text{fib}(\text{id} \rightarrow \Sigma) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \text{id} \times \Omega\Sigma \\ \searrow \xi_2 & & \swarrow \text{pr}_2 \\ & \Omega\Sigma & \end{array}$$

above $\Omega\Sigma$. Finally, we obtain a pushout square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{id} \times \Omega\Sigma & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_2} & \Omega\Sigma \\
\downarrow a & & \downarrow \\
\Omega\Sigma & \longrightarrow & *
\end{array}$$

where a is the composite

$$\mathrm{id} \times \Omega\Sigma \simeq \mathrm{fib}(\mathrm{id} \rightarrow \Sigma) \xrightarrow{\xi_1} \Omega\Sigma$$

Observe that a is in general only conjugated to pr_2 by an automorphism of $\mathrm{id} \times \Omega\Sigma$ but is not homotopic to it. Indeed, base changing the defining pushout square of Σ along $\mathrm{pr}_1: \Sigma \times \Omega\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ yields

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{id} \times \Omega\Sigma & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_2} & \Omega\Sigma \\
\downarrow \mathrm{pr}_2 & & \downarrow \\
\Omega\Sigma & \longrightarrow & \Sigma \times \Omega\Sigma
\end{array}$$

but $\Sigma \times \Omega\Sigma$ is not terminal in general.

Exercise 4 ([DH21, theorem 1.4]). Let \mathcal{C} be a category with finite products and pushouts. Remember that for two pointed objects x and y , the natural identification $x \star y \simeq \Sigma(x \wedge y)$ is obtained by computing both sides as the colimit of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
* & \longleftarrow & * & \longrightarrow & * \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
x & \longleftarrow & x \vee y & \longrightarrow & y \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
x & \longleftarrow & x \times y & \longrightarrow & y
\end{array}$$

In particular, the structure maps $x \rightarrow x \star y$ and $y \rightarrow x \star y$ both naturally factor through the point, which is not obvious from the definition.

The following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
x \times y & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_2} & & & y \\
\downarrow \mathrm{pr}_1 & & & & \downarrow \\
x & \longrightarrow & * & \longrightarrow & x \star y \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
* & \longrightarrow & \Sigma x & \longrightarrow & \Sigma x \vee (x \star y)
\end{array}$$

gives canonical identifications

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{cofib}(\mathrm{pr}_2: x \times y \rightarrow y) &\simeq \Sigma x \vee (x \star y) \\
&\simeq \Sigma x \vee \Sigma(x \wedge y)
\end{aligned}$$

When \mathcal{C} has universal pushouts, then combining this with the result from previous exercise we obtain natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma\Omega\Sigma &\simeq \mathrm{cofib}(\mathrm{pr}_2: \mathrm{id} \times \Omega\Sigma \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma) \\
&\simeq \Sigma \vee \Sigma(\mathrm{id} \wedge \Omega\Sigma) \\
&\simeq \Sigma \vee (\mathrm{id} \wedge \Sigma\Omega\Sigma)
\end{aligned}$$

of endofunctors of \mathcal{C}_* . Plugging in the formula for $\Sigma\Omega\Sigma$ then yields

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma\Omega\Sigma &\simeq \Sigma \vee (\text{id} \wedge (\Sigma \vee \Sigma(\text{id} \wedge \Omega\Sigma))) \\ &\simeq \Sigma \vee \Sigma(\text{id}^{\wedge 2}) \vee (\text{id}^{\wedge 2} \wedge \Sigma\Omega\Sigma)\end{aligned}$$

and by induction

$$\Sigma\Omega\Sigma \simeq \bigvee_{i=1}^n \Sigma(\text{id}^{\wedge i}) \vee (\text{id}^{\wedge n} \wedge \Sigma\Omega\Sigma)$$

for all $n \geq 1$. In particular there is a well defined comparison morphism

$$\bigvee_{i \geq 1} \Sigma(\text{id}^{\wedge i}) \rightarrow \Sigma\Omega\Sigma$$

between endofunctors of \mathcal{C}_* .

Fix now X a pointed and connected groupoid. For $n \geq 1$, both the left map and the composite in the following diagram

$$\bigvee_{i=1}^n \Sigma(X^{\wedge i}) \longrightarrow \bigvee_{i \geq 1} \Sigma(X^{\wedge i}) \longrightarrow \Sigma\Omega\Sigma X$$

are the canonical inclusions, and therefore are both at least n -connected by the second exercise. By the cancellation property for connected morphisms, the right map is also n -connected. Since this holds for all n , we get the James splitting

$$\Sigma\Omega\Sigma X \simeq \bigvee_{i \geq 1} \Sigma(X^{\wedge i})$$

Exercise 5. Let \mathbb{K} be \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{H} , and $d := [\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{R}]$. For $n \geq 1$, recall that $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\mathbb{K})$ is obtained from $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{K})$ via the following cell attachment in Top

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^{d(n+1)-1} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_n^{\mathbb{K}}} & \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{K}) \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ D^{d(n+1)} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\mathbb{K}) \end{array}$$

along the tautological spherical fibration $S^{d(n+1)-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{K})$. But all objects at play are cofibrant and the left vertical map is a cofibration, so this pushout is furthermore an homotopy pushout. The cocartesian square in Gpd

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^{d(n+1)-1} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_n^{\mathbb{K}}} & \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{K}) \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ * & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\mathbb{K}) \end{array}$$

thus yields an identification

$$\text{Th}(\gamma_n^{\mathbb{K}}) \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\mathbb{K})$$

This implies

$$\text{Th}(\gamma_{\infty}^{\mathbb{K}}) \simeq \mathbb{P}^{\infty}(\mathbb{K})$$

which is evident from the description of $\gamma_{\infty}^{\mathbb{K}}$ as the universal principal (\mathbb{K}^{\times}) -bundle.

REFERENCES

- [DH21] Sanath Devalapurkar and Peter Haine, *On the James and Hilton–Milnor splittings, and the metastable EHP sequence*, Documenta Mathematica **26** (2021), 1423–1464.