4. Riemann Zeta Function. Euler Product

4.1. Definition. For a complex $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$, the Riemann zeta function is defined by the series

$$\zeta(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

Let us first study the convergence of this infinite series. Following an old tradition, we denote the real and imaginary part of s by σ resp. t, i.e.

$$s = \sigma + it, \quad \sigma, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We have

$$\frac{1}{n^s} = n^{-s} = e^{-s\log n} = e^{-\sigma\log(n) - it\log n} = \frac{1}{n^\sigma} e^{-it\log n},$$

therefore

$$\left|\frac{1}{n^s}\right| = \frac{1}{n^{\sigma}}.$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\sigma}}$ converges for all real $\sigma > 1$, we see that the zeta series converges absolutely and uniformly in every halfplane $\overline{H(\sigma_0)}$, $\sigma_0 > 1$, where

$$H(\sigma_0) := \{ s \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(s) > \sigma_0 \}.$$

It follows by a theorem of Weierstrass that ζ is a holomorphic (= regular analytic) function in the halfplane

$$H(1) = \{ s \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1 \}.$$

We will see later that ζ can be continued analytically to a meromorphic function in the whole complex plane \mathbb{C} , which is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ and has a pole of first order at s = 1. A weaker statement is

4.2. Proposition. $\lim_{\sigma \searrow 1} \zeta(\sigma) = \infty.$

Proof. Let R > 0 be any given bound. Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} = \infty$, there exists an N > 1 such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n} \ge R+1.$$

The function $\sigma \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n^{\sigma}}$ is continuous on \mathbb{R} , hence there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n^{\sigma}} \ge R \quad \text{for all } \sigma \text{ with } \sigma < 1 + \varepsilon.$$

A fortiori we have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\sigma}} \ge R$ for all $1 < \sigma < 1 + \varepsilon$. This proves the proposition.

4.3. Theorem (Euler product). For all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ one has

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}},$$

where the product is extended over the set \mathbb{P} of all primes.

Proof. Since $|p^{-s}| < 1/p \le 1/2$, we can use the geometric series

$$\frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^{ks}},$$

which converges absolutely. If $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{P}$ is any finite set of primes, the product

$$\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^{ks}} \right) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}} + \frac{1}{p^{3s}} + \dots \right)$$

can be calculated by termwise multiplication and we obtain

$$\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^{ks}} \right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}(\mathcal{P})} \frac{1}{n^s},$$

where $\mathbb{N}(\mathcal{P})$ is the set of all positive integers n whose prime decomposition contains only primes from the set \mathcal{P} . (Here the unique prime factorization is used.) Letting $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_m$ be set of all primes $\leq m$ and passing to the limit $m \to \infty$, we obtain the assertion of the theorem.

Remark. The Euler product can be used to give another proof of the infinitude of primes. If the set \mathbb{P} of all primes were finite, the Euler product $\prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}$ would be continuous at s = 1, which contradicts the fact that $\lim_{\sigma \searrow 1} \zeta(\sigma) = \infty$.

4.4. We recall some facts from the theory of analytic functions of a complex variable about infinite products. Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an open set. For a continuous function $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$ and a compact subset $K \subset G$ we define the maximum norm

$$||f||_K := \sup\{|f(z)| : z \in K\} \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

(The supremum is $< \infty$ since f is continuous.) Let now $f_{\nu} : G \to \mathbb{C}, \nu \ge 1$, be a sequence of holomorphic functions. The infinite product

$$F(z) := \prod_{\nu=1}^{\infty} (1 + f_{\nu}(z))$$

is said to be normally convergent on a compact subset $K \subset G$, if

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \|f_{\nu}\|_{K} < \infty.$$

In this case, the product converges absolutely and uniformly on K. (The converse is not true, as can be seen by taking the constant functions $f_{\nu} = -\frac{1}{2}$ for all ν .) The product is said to be normally convergent in G if it converges normally on any compact subset of $K \subset G$. The limit F of a normally convergent infinite product of holomorphic functions $1 + f_{\nu}$ is again holomorphic and $F(z_0) = 0$ for a particular point $z_0 \in G$ if and only if one of the factors vanishes in z_0 .

4.5. Theorem. The Riemann zeta function has no zeroes in the half plane

$$H(1) = \{ s \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1 \}.$$

For its inverse one has

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(s)} = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^s},$$

where μ is the Möbius function.

Proof. The first assertion follows from the fact that the Euler product for the zeta function converges normally in H(1) and all factors $(1-p^{-s})^{-1}$ have no zeroes in H(1). Inverting the product representation for $1/\zeta(s)$ yields $1/\zeta(s) = \prod(1-p^{-s})$. To prove the last equation, let \mathcal{P} a finite set of primes and $\mathbb{N}'(\mathcal{P})$ the set of all positive integers n that can be written as a product $n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r$ of distinct primes $p_j \in \mathcal{P}, (r \ge 0)$. Then, since $(-1)^r = \mu(p_1 \cdots p_r)$,

$$\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}'(\mathcal{P})} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^s}$$

Letting $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_m$ be set of all primes $\leq m$ and passing to the limit $m \to \infty$, we obtain the assertion of the theorem. Note that $\mu(n) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_1 \setminus \bigcup_m \mathbb{N}'(\mathcal{P}_m)$.

4.6. We recall now some facts about the logarithm function. (By logarithm we always mean the natural logarithm with basis e = 2.718...) We have the Taylor expansion

$$\log(1+z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{z^n}{n} \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ with } |z| < 1.$$

From this follows

$$\log\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n}$$
 for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z| < 1$.

(Of course here the principal branch of the logarithm with log(1) = 0 is understood.)

If $f: G \to \mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic function without zeroes in a simply connected domain $G \subset \mathbb{C}$, then there exists a holomorphic branch of the logarithm of f, i.e. a holomorphic function

$$\log f: G \to \mathbb{C}$$
 with $e^{(\log f)(z)} = f(z)$ for all $z \in G$.

This function log f is uniquely determined up to an additive constant $2\pi i n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Since the zeta function has no zeroes in the simply connected halfplane H(1), we can form the logarithm of the zeta function, where we select the branch of log ζ that takes real values on the real half line $]1, \infty[$.

4.7. Theorem. For the logarithm of the zeta function in the halfplane H(1), the following equation holds:

$$\log \zeta(s) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \frac{1}{p^s} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \frac{1}{p^{ks}}$$

The function

$$F(s) := \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \frac{1}{p^{ks}}$$

is bounded in H(1).

Remark. If one defines the prime zeta function by

$$P(s) := \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \frac{1}{p^s} \quad \text{for } s \in H(1),$$

the formula of the theorem may be written as

$$\log \zeta(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{P(ks)}{k} = P(s) + F(s), \quad \text{where} \quad F(s) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{P(ks)}{k}.$$

Proof. Using the Euler product we obtain

$$\log \zeta(s) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \log \left(\frac{1}{1-p^{-s}}\right) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{kp^{ks}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \frac{1}{kp^{ks}}$$
$$= \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \frac{1}{p^s} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \frac{1}{p^{ks}}.$$

To prove the boundedness of

$$F(s) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \frac{1}{p^{ks}} = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{P(ks)}{k}$$

in H(1), we use the estimate (with $\sigma = \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$)

$$|P(ks)| \le P(k\sigma) \le P(k) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \frac{1}{p^k} \le \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^k}$$
$$\le \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int_{n-1}^n \frac{dx}{x^k} = \int_1^\infty \frac{dx}{x^k} = \frac{1}{k-1}$$

and obtain for all $s \in H(1)$

$$|F(s)| \le \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(k-1)} = 1$$
, q.e.d.

4.8. Corollary (Euler).

$$\sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{11} + \dots = \infty.$$

Proof. Since the difference $|P(s) - \log \zeta(s)|$ is bounded for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ we get, using proposition 4.2,

$$\lim_{\sigma \searrow 1} P(\sigma) = \lim_{\sigma \searrow 1} \left(\sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \frac{1}{p^{\sigma}} \right) = \infty.$$

This implies the assertion.

Remark. The corollary gives another proof that there are infinitely many primes, but says more. Comparing with

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^2} < \infty,$$

we can conclude that the density of primes is in some sense greater than the density of square numbers.

The following theorem is a variant of theorem 4.7 and gives an interesting formula for the difference between P(s) and $\log \zeta(s)$.

4.9. Theorem. We have the following representation of the prime zeta function for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$

$$P(s) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \frac{1}{p^s} = \log \zeta(s) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} \log \zeta(ks).$$

Proof. We start from the formula of theorem 4.7

$$\log \zeta(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{P(ks)}{k}.$$

We have as in the proof of theorem 4.7 the estimate

$$|P(ks)| \le P(k\sigma) \le \frac{1}{k\sigma - 1} \le \frac{2}{k\sigma}, \quad \text{(where } \sigma = \operatorname{Re}(s)\text{)},$$

which implies

$$|\log \zeta(s)| \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{k^2 \sigma} = \frac{2}{\sigma} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} = \frac{2\zeta(2)}{\sigma} =: \frac{c}{\sigma}$$

with the constant $c = 2\zeta(2)$. Therefore the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mu(k)/k) \log \zeta(ks)$ converges absolutely:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\mu(k)}{k} \log \zeta(ks) \right| \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \cdot \frac{c}{k\sigma} = \frac{c\zeta(2)}{\sigma} < \infty.$$

Substituting $\log \zeta(ks) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} P(k\ell s)/\ell$ we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} \log \zeta(ks) = \sum_{k,\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k)P(k\ell s)}{k\ell} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k\ell=n} \mu(k) \frac{P(k\ell s)}{k\ell}$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k|n} \mu(k) \frac{P(ns)}{n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_1(n) \frac{P(ns)}{n}$$
$$= P(s), \qquad \text{q.e.d.}$$

We conclude this chapter with an interesting application of therem 4.5.

4.10. Theorem. The probability that two random numbers $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_1$ are coprime is $6/\pi^2 \approx 61\%$, more precisely: For real $x \geq 1$ let

 $\operatorname{Copr}(x) := \{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N}_1 \times \mathbb{N}_1 : m, n \le x \text{ and } m, n \text{ coprime} \}.$

Then

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\# \operatorname{Copr}(x)}{x^2} = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} = \frac{6}{\pi^2}.$$

Proof. Let A(x) be the set of all pairs m, n of integers with $1 \le m, n \le x$ and

$$A_k(x) := \{ (n, m) \in A(x) : \gcd(m, n) = k \}.$$

Then A(x) is the disjoint union of all $A_k(x)$, $k = 1, 2, ..., \lfloor x \rfloor$, and for every k we have a bijection

$$\operatorname{Copr}\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \longrightarrow A_k(x), \quad (m,n) \mapsto (km,kn).$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{k \leqslant x} \# \operatorname{Copr}\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) = \lfloor x \rfloor^2.$$

Now we can apply the inversion formula of theorem 3.16 and obtain

$$#\operatorname{Copr}(x) = \sum_{k \leqslant x} \mu(k) \left\lfloor \frac{x}{k} \right\rfloor^2.$$

Since $0 \le (x/k) - \lfloor x/k \rfloor < 1$, it follows that $(x/k)^2 - \lfloor x/k \rfloor^2 < 2x/k$, hence

$$\left| \# \operatorname{Copr}(x) - \sum_{k \leqslant x} \mu(k) \left(\frac{x}{k}\right)^2 \right| \le 2x \sum_{k \leqslant x} \frac{1}{k} \le 2x(1 + \log x) = O(x \log x),$$

so we can write

$$\frac{\#\operatorname{Copr}(x)}{x^2} = \sum_{k \leqslant x} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^2} + O\left(\frac{\log x}{x}\right).$$

On the other hand $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(k)/k^2 = 1/\zeta(2)$ by theorem 4.5, hence

$$\left|\sum_{k\leqslant x}\frac{\mu(k)}{k^2} - \frac{1}{\zeta(2)}\right| \le \sum_{k>x}\frac{1}{k^2} = O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right).$$

Combining this with the previous estimate yields

$$\frac{\#\operatorname{Copr}(x)}{x^2} = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} + O\left(\frac{\log x}{x}\right),$$

which implies the assertion of the theorem.

Remark. The fact $\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$ will be proven in the next chapter.