

## Solutions to tutorial exercises for stochastic processes

T1. Let  $Z \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $(Z_n)_{1 \leq n \leq N}$  be i.i.d. random variables with  $Z_1 \sim N(\frac{\mu}{N}, \frac{\sigma^2}{N})$ . Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^N Z_n \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2),$$

so that  $Z$  is infinitely divisible.

Let  $\lambda > 0$  and  $X \sim \text{POI}(\lambda)$ . Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $(X_n)_{1 \leq n \leq N}$  be i.i.d. random variables with  $X_1 \sim \text{POI}(\frac{\lambda}{N})$ . Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^N X_n \sim \text{POI}(\lambda),$$

so that  $X$  is infinitely divisible.

T2. Let  $X$  be a random variable with finite support and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $\text{Var}(X) = 0$ , so that  $X$  is constant. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{X}{N} \stackrel{d}{=} X,$$

so that  $X$  is infinity divisible. Now suppose  $\text{Var}(X) > 0$ . Without loss of generality we can assume  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$  and  $\text{Var}(X) = 1$ . Suppose there exists i.i.d. random variables  $(X_i^N)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$  with  $\sum_i X_i^N \stackrel{d}{=} X$ . Then  $\mathbb{E}[X_i^N] = 0$  and  $\text{Var}(X_i^N) = \frac{1}{N}$  for all  $i$ . We know that  $X$  has finite support, so that there exists  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$m = \inf \{A \in \mathbb{R} : \mathbb{P}(X \in [-A, A]) = 1\},$$

so that  $\mathbb{P}(X > m) = 0$ . It follows that

$$0 = \mathbb{P}(X > m) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N X_i^N > m\right) \geq \mathbb{P}\left(X_1^N > \frac{m}{N}\right)^N,$$

so that  $\mathbb{P}(X_1^N > \frac{m}{N}) = 0$ . Similarly we can prove that  $\mathbb{P}(X_1^N < -\frac{m}{N}) = 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . It now follows that the triangular array  $(X_i^N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq N}$  satisfies the Lindeberg condition:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}\left[(X_i^N)^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{|X_i^N| > \varepsilon\}}\right] = N \mathbb{E}\left[(X_1^N)^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{|X_1^N| > \varepsilon\}}\right] \leq n \frac{m^2}{n^2} = \frac{m^2}{N} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

The Lindeberg-Feller theorem now states that  $\sum_i X_i^N$  converges weakly to a random variable with standard normal distribution as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . However,  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_i X_i^N$  has finite support, which is a contradiction. It follows that the only infinitely divisible random variables with finite support are constants.

T3. We first show that  $X$  has stationary increments. Let  $0 \leq s \leq t$ . We have

$$X_t - X_s = \sum_{n=N_s}^{N_t} Y_n \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{n=1}^{N_t - N_s} Y_n.$$

Since  $N$  is a Poisson process it has stationary increments. So the distribution of  $N_t - N_s$  only depends on  $t - s$ . So the distribution of  $X_t - X_s$  only depends on  $t - s$ .

Let  $0 \leq s < t$ . We prove that  $X_t - X_s$  and  $X_s$  are independent. Denote by  $\phi_Y(\cdot)$  the characteristic function of  $Y_1$ . Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\exp(iaX_s + ib(X_t - X_s))] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(ia \sum_{j=1}^{N_s} Y_j\right) \exp\left(ib \sum_{j=N_s+1}^{N_t} Y_j\right)\right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(ia \sum_{j=1}^k Y_j\right) \exp\left(ib \sum_{j=k+1}^{k+l} Y_j\right) \mathbb{1}_{\{N_s=k, N_t=k+l\}}\right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \phi_Y(a)^k \phi_Y(b)^l e^{-\lambda s} \frac{(\lambda s)^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \frac{(\lambda(t-s))^l}{l!}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $Y_j$  are independent of each other and of  $N$ , and since  $N$  has stationary and independent increments. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\exp(iaX_s + ib(X_t - X_s))] &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi_Y(a)^k e^{-\lambda s} \frac{(\lambda s)^k}{k!} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \phi_Y(b)^l e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \frac{(\lambda(t-s))^l}{l!} \\ &= \phi_{X_s}(a) \phi_{X_t - X_s}(b), \end{aligned}$$

so that  $X_s$  and  $X_t - X_s$  are independent. It can be proven similarly that  $n$  increments are independent.

T4. Let  $Z \sim \text{Exp}(1)$ . Then  $Z$  has characteristic function

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{i\theta Z}] = \frac{1}{1 - i\theta} = e^{-\psi(\theta)},$$

where

$$\psi(\theta) := \log(1 - i\theta).$$

If we show that  $\psi(\theta)$  satisfies the Lévy-Khinchin formula, then there exists a Lévy process with  $\mathbb{E}[\exp(i\theta X_1)] = \exp(-\psi(\theta))$ , so that  $X_1 \sim \text{Exp}(1)$ . The derivative of  $\psi$  satisfies

$$\psi'(\theta) = -i \frac{1}{1 - i\theta} = - \int_0^{\infty} i e^{i\theta x} e^{-x} dx.$$

We now have

$$\psi(\theta) = \psi(0) + \int_0^\theta \psi'(s) ds = - \int_0^\theta \int_0^\infty i e^{isx} e^{-x} dx ds = - \int_0^\infty e^{-x} \int_0^\theta i e^{isx} ds dx,$$

where we used Fubini's theorem to switch the integrals. It follows that

$$\psi(\theta) = - \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-x}}{x} (e^{i\theta x} - 1) dx = \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{i\theta x} - i\theta x \mathbb{1}_{\{x < 1\}}) \pi(dx) + i\theta \int_0^1 x \frac{e^{-x}}{x} dx,$$

where  $\pi(dx) = \mathbb{1}_{\{x > 0\}} \frac{e^{-x}}{x} dx$ . Finally we have

$$\psi(\theta) = i\theta \left(1 - \frac{1}{e}\right) + \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{i\theta x} - i\theta x \mathbb{1}_{\{x < 1\}}) \pi(dx).$$

Moreover,  $\pi$  is a Lévy-measure:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} (x^2 \wedge 1) \pi(dx) = \int_0^1 x e^{-x} dx + \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-x}}{x} dx < 2 < \infty.$$

So  $\psi(\theta)$  satisfies the Lévy-Khinchin formula with triplet  $(1 - 1/e, 0, \pi)$ .