

Exercise 1 $A = \{ a_0 + a_1 X + \dots + a_n X^n \in \mathbb{Q}[X] \mid a_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \}$

Let $I_n := \left(\frac{1}{2^n} X \right)$

Then $(*) I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq I_3 \subseteq \dots \quad I_n \subsetneq I_{n+1} \subseteq \dots$

$$\frac{1}{2^n} X = 2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} X \right)$$

But we can not write

$$\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} X = \frac{1}{2^n} X \cdot f, \quad f \in A$$

(Otherwise $\deg f = 0$, $f = a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$)

$$\text{and } \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} = \frac{1}{2^n} \cdot a_0 \quad \nexists$$

The sequence $(*)$ does not stabilize $\implies A$ is not Noetherian.

Exercise 2

(1) Assume $S \neq \emptyset$.

Consider a chain (totally ordered subset in S)

$(I_j)_{j \in J}$ (that is $I_{j_1} \subseteq I_{j_2}$ or $I_{j_2} \subseteq I_{j_1} \forall j_1, j_2 \in J$).

Every $I_j, j \in J$, is not finitely generated.

Set $I := \bigcup_{j \in J} I_j$ (~~$\neq \bigcup_{j \in J} I_j$~~).

I is an ideal in A . To show: $I \in S$.

Assume $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ finitely generated.

Then $x_k \in I = \bigcup_{j \in J} I_j \Rightarrow x_k \in I_{j_k}$ for some $j_k \in J$.

Since $(I_j)_{j \in J}$ totally ordered, take a maximal I_j among I_{j_1}, \dots, I_{j_n} .

Then all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in I_j \Rightarrow I = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \subseteq I_j \Rightarrow I_j = I$

Contradiction, since $I_j \in S$ is not finitely generated.

It follows that $I \in S$ is an upper bound for $(I_j)_{j \in J}$.

By Zorn Lemma S contains a max. element.

(2) Assume A is not Noetherian.

Then $S \neq \emptyset$ and by (1) \exists a max element \mathfrak{p} in S .

~~Claim: \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal.~~

~~Assume~~ Since every prime ideal is finitely generated, \mathfrak{p} is not prime.

$\exists a, b \in A$, s.t. $a \notin \mathfrak{p}$, $b \notin \mathfrak{p}$ but $ab \in \mathfrak{p}$.

$\mathfrak{p} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p} + (a)$
 $\mathfrak{p} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p} + (b)$ $\xrightarrow{\text{by maximality of } \mathfrak{p} \text{ in } S}$ $\mathfrak{p} + (a)$ is finitely generated with generators $y_1 + x_1 a, \dots, y_n + x_n a$

where $y_i \in \mathfrak{p}$ & $x_i \in A$.

Consider the ideal $\mathfrak{p}' := \{x \in A \mid xa \in \mathfrak{p}\}$

We have $\mathfrak{p} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p} + (b) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}' \Rightarrow \mathfrak{p}'$ finitely generated

Then $\mathfrak{p}'(a)$ is also finitely generated.

Claim: $\mathfrak{p} = (y_1) + (y_2) + \dots + (y_n) + \mathfrak{p}'(a) \leftarrow$ finitely generated

" \Rightarrow " is clear

Let $x \in \mathfrak{p}$, then $x = \sum c_i (y_i + x_i a)$ for some $c_i \in A$

Then $x = \underbrace{c_1 y_1 + \dots + c_n y_n}_{\in (y_1, \dots, y_n)} + \underbrace{(\sum c_i x_i) a}_{\in \mathfrak{p}'(a)}$

Since $\mathfrak{p} \in S$ and \mathfrak{p} is finitely gen. \Rightarrow contradiction ∇ .

It follows that A is Noetherian.

Exercise 3

[Lemma (Aufgabe 4, Übungsblatt 3, Algebra 1)

R commutative ring, $x \in \mathcal{N}_R \Rightarrow 1+x \in R^*$
 More generally, $a+x \in R^*$ for any $a \in R^*$.

(1) " \Leftarrow " $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{N}_R \Rightarrow a_1x, \dots, a_nx^n \in \mathcal{N}_{R[x]} \Rightarrow a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n \in \mathcal{N}_{R[x]}$

Then by Lemma, $a_0 + \underbrace{a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n}_{\in \mathcal{N}_{R[x]}} \in R[x]^*$

" \Rightarrow " $f^{-1} = b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_mx^m$

$$1 = ff^{-1} = a_0b_0 + (a_1b_0 + a_0b_1)x + \dots + a_nb_mx^{n+m}$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$a_nb_m = 0 \quad (*)$$

$$a_0b_0 = 1 \Rightarrow a_0 \in R^*, b_0 \in R^*$$

$$x^{n+m-1} : \quad a_nb_{m-1} + a_{n-1}b_m = 0$$

$$0 = a_n(a_nb_{m-1} + a_{n-1}b_m) = a_n^2b_{m-1} + a_{n-1}\underbrace{a_nb_m}_0 \quad (**)$$

We get $a_n^2b_{m-1} = 0 \quad (**)$

By induction on i one can show

that $a_n^{i+1}b_{m-i} = 0 \quad \forall i = 0, 1, \dots, m$

$$\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ (*) \quad (**) \end{array}$$

For $i=m$ we get $a_n^{m+1}b_0 = 0 \Rightarrow a_n^{m+1} = 0 \Rightarrow a_n \in \mathcal{N}_R$.

$$\uparrow$$

$$\in R^*$$

Consider $f - a_nx^n \in R[x]$. By Lemma $f \in R[x]^*$ and $\deg f \leq n-1$

By Induction on $\deg f$, \Rightarrow one can show

that $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n \in \mathcal{N}_R$

(2) " \Leftarrow "

$$a_i x^i \in \mathcal{N}_{R[X]} \quad \Rightarrow \quad f = a_0 + a_1 X + \dots + a_n X^n \in \mathcal{N}_{R[X]}$$

\uparrow
ideal

" \Rightarrow "

$$f \text{ nilpotent} \Rightarrow \exists r \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ s.t. } f^r = 0$$

\Downarrow

$$f^r = (a_0^r + \dots + a_n^r X^{nr}) = 0$$

\Downarrow

$$a_n^r = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad a_n \in \mathcal{N}_R.$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad f - a_n X^n \in \mathcal{N}_{R[X]}$$

~~lemma~~

$\mathcal{N}_{R[X]}$ ideal

\uparrow
has degree $\leq n-1$

Induction on $\deg f \Rightarrow a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{N}_R.$

Exercise 4

Remark A domain

Then $(f \in A \text{ irreducible}) \Rightarrow (f \in A[x] \text{ irreducible})$

Hence, it is enough to consider the case $n=1$.

• If K is infinite, then $X-a$, $a \in K$, are irreducible

• If K is finite, $|K|=q$.

Then $\forall n \geq 1$, \exists a field extension L_n/K with $[L_n:K]=n$.

Namely, $L_n =$ splitting field of $X^{q^n} - X$

L_n/K separable (since K finite) $\xrightarrow{\text{Algebra 1}}$ $L_n = K(\alpha_n)$
for some $\alpha_n \in L_n$

Then the min. polynomial of α_n has degree n
and is irr. ~~over~~ in $K[X]$.

Exercise 5

Recall: (Definition 4.23)

$$\text{Spec}_{\text{rab}}(A) = \{ \mathfrak{m} \cap A \in \text{Spec } A \mid \mathfrak{m} \subseteq A[x] \text{ max.} \}$$

I ideal in A .

By Lemma 4.8 (3) we have $\sqrt{I} = \bigcap_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A, \\ I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}}} \mathfrak{p}$

To show: $\sqrt{I} \stackrel{<}{=} \bigcap_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}_{\text{rab}}(A) \\ I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}}} \mathfrak{p}$

Since $\text{Spec}_{\text{rab}}(A) \subseteq \text{Spec}(A)$, the inclusion " \subset " holds.

Let now $a \in \bigcap_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}_{\text{rab}}(A) \\ I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}}} \mathfrak{p}$

Consider the ideal $J := \langle I, ax-1 \rangle \subseteq R[x]$
 \uparrow
 ideal generated
 by elements from I and $ax-1$

Assume $J \neq R[x] \implies$ $J \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ for some max ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq R[x]$.
 lecture

We have $I \subseteq R \cap J \subseteq R \cap \mathfrak{m} \in \text{Spec}_{\text{rab}}(R)$

It follows $a \in R \cap \mathfrak{m} \implies a \in \mathfrak{m}$ & $ax-1 \in \mathfrak{m}$

Hence, $a \in \mathfrak{m}$ & $ax-1 \in \mathfrak{m} \implies 1 \in \mathfrak{m} \downarrow$ It follows $J = R[x]$.

We get
 in $R[x]: (*) \sum_{j=1}^n f_j b_j + g(ax-1) = 1$ for some
 $g, f_j \in R[x]$
 and $b_j \in I$

Let Replacing x by $\frac{1}{x}$ in (*) we get:

$$(**) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n f_j\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) b_j + g\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \left(a \frac{1}{x} - 1\right) = 1 \quad \text{holds in } R\left[x, \frac{1}{x-1}\right]$$

Let $k := \max \{ \deg f_j, j=1, \dots, n, \deg g + 1 \}$

Multiplying (**) by x^k we get

the following polynomial equality in $R[x]$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{f}_j(x) b_j + \tilde{g}(x) (a - x) = x^k$$

polynomials in $R[x]$.

where
 $\tilde{f}_j(x) := x^k f\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$
 $\tilde{g}(x) := x^k g\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$

Take $x = a$:

$$a^k = \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{f}_j(a) b_j \in I \quad (\text{since } b_j \in I)$$

Therefore, $a \in \sqrt{I}$.