Introduction	Model	(Co)inductive predicates	Realizers	Division
0	0000	00000	000000	00000

Proof and computation with infinite data

Helmut Schwichtenberg (j.w.w. Franziskus Wiesnet)

Mathematisches Institut, LMU, München

Oberwolfach, 10. November 2020

Introduction	Model	(Co)inductive predicates	Realizers	Division	Conclusion
•	0000	00000	000000	00000	0

Proofs have two aspects:

- (1) they guarantee correctness, and
- (2) they may have computational content.

We address (2), and use a BHK-interpretation to extract programs from proofs. Features:

- The extract is a term in the underlying theory, hence we have a framework to formally prove its properties.
- Computational content in (co)inductive predicates only.
- From proofs in constructive analysis¹ we can extract programs operating on stream-represented real numbers.

¹E. Bishop, Foundations of Constructive Analysis, 1967

troduction

Co)inductive predicates

Realizers 000000 vision 0000 Conclusion 0

Infinite data of base type

Consider the base type \mathbb{L} of lists of signed digits $\overline{1}, 0, 1$. \mathbb{L} -objects can be total, cototal or partial (strict inclusions).

- A total object: 1 :: 0 :: 1 :: 0 :: []
- A cototal object: 1 :: 0 :: 1 :: 0 :: 1 :: 0 :: . . .

A partial object is the "deductive closure" of a finite "consistent" set of "tokens". For example, 1 :: * :: 1 :: [] is a token, asserting that the 0th and 2nd element is 1.

IntroductionModel(Co)inductive predicatesRealizersDivisionConclusion000000000000000000000

Corecursion

$$^{\mathrm{co}}\mathcal{R}^{ au}_{\mathbb{N}}$$
 of type $au o (au o \mathbb{U} + (\mathbb{N} + au)) o \mathbb{N}$ is defined by

$${}^{\mathrm{co}}\mathcal{R}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{N}}xf = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } fx \equiv \mathrm{DummyL}^{\mathbb{U}+(\mathbb{N}+\tau)} \\ Sn & \text{if } fx \equiv \mathrm{Inr}(\mathrm{InL}^{\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N}+\tau}n) \\ S({}^{\mathrm{co}}\mathcal{R}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{N}}x'f) & \text{if } fx \equiv \mathrm{Inr}(\mathrm{InR}^{\tau\to\mathbb{N}+\tau}x'). \end{cases}$$

As a rule this is non-terminating, but still the constant ${}^{co}\mathcal{R}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{N}}$ denotes a (partial) object in our model.

ntroduction

Co)inductive predicates

Realizers

on OO

Conclusion 0

Formal neighborhoods

We use information systems² to represent the objects of our model. Types are built from base types ι (free algebras) by $\tau \to \sigma$.

• Formal neighborhoods U are finite "consistent" sets of tokens.

•
$$(U,a)$$
 is a token of type $au o \sigma_{+}$

• $\{(U_1, a_1), \dots, (U_n, a_n)\}$: formal neighborhood of type $\tau \to \sigma$.

Application of $\{(U_1, a_1), \ldots, (U_n, a_n)\}$ to U:

 $\{a_i \mid U \vdash U_i\}$ where \vdash means "entails".

 $^{^2 {\}rm Larsen}$ and Winskel, Using information systems to solve recursive domain equations effectively, 1984

ntroduction

Conclusion O

Computability and continuity

Partial continuous functional³: consistent "deductively closed" (possibly infinite) set of tokens. f is computable if this set is recursively enumerable. Continuity:

- Let f, x be infinite objects of types $au o \sigma$, au
- Let V be an approximation of f(x).

Then we can find approximations W of f and U of x such that

- W(U) approximates f(x), and
- $W(U) \vdash V$.

³Dana Scott, Outline of a mathematical theory of computation, 1970, and Yuri Ershov, Model C of partial continuous functionals, 1984

Introduction	Model	(Co)inductive predicates	Realizers	Division	Conclusion
O	0000	●0000	000000	00000	O

We inductively define a predicate I_0 on reals by the clauses

$$\forall_x (x = 0 \rightarrow x \in I_0), \quad \forall_{d \in \mathrm{Sd}} \forall_x \forall_{x' \in I_0} \Big(x = \frac{x' + d}{2} \rightarrow x \in I_0 \Big).$$

Then the induction (or least-fixed-point) axiom is

$$\forall_x (x=0 \to x \in P) \to \forall_{d \in \mathrm{Sd}} \forall_x \forall_{x' \in I_0 \cap P} \left(x=\frac{x'+d}{2} \to x \in P \right) \to I_0 \subseteq P.$$

Introduction	Model	(Co)inductive predicates	Realizers	Division	Conclusion
0	0000	00000	000000	00000	0

Then ${}^{co}\!I_0$ is given by the closure axiom

$$\forall_{x \in {}^{\mathrm{co}} \mathit{l}_0} \left(x = 0 \lor \exists_{d \in \mathrm{Sd}} \exists_{x' \in {}^{\mathrm{co}} \mathit{l}_0} \left(x = \frac{x' + d}{2} \right) \right)$$

and the coinduction (or greatest-fixed-point) axiom is

$$\forall_{x \in P} \Big(x = 0 \lor \exists_{d \in \mathrm{Sd}} \exists_{x' \in \mathrm{^{co}} I_0 \cup P} \Big(x = \frac{x' + d}{2} \Big) \Big) \to P \subseteq \mathrm{^{co}} I_0.$$

Introduction	Model	(Co)inductive predicates	Realizers	Division	Conclusion
O	0000		000000	00000	O

- Both \textit{I}_0 and ${}^{\rm co}\textit{I}_0$ are declared as "computationally relevant".
- The associated algebra is \mathbb{L} (lists of signed digits).
- The first constructor []: \mathbb{L} is a witness for the first clause, and the second :: of type $sd \to \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{L}$ a witness for the second.

Computational content of the axioms:

- Clauses: constructors
- Induction axiom: recursion operator $\mathcal{R}^{ au}_{\mathbb{L}}$
- Closure axiom: destructor $D_{\mathbb{L}}$
- Coinduction axiom: corecursion operator ${}^{\mathrm{co}}\mathcal{R}^{ au}_{\mathbb{I}}$

Introduction	Model	(Co)inductive predicates	Realizers	Division	Conclusion
0	0000	00000	000000	00000	0

Since 0 as real number is represented by the stream of 0's, we can simplify I_0 by removing the nullary clause, and obtain I and ^{co}I . We only need ^{co}I , coinductively defined by the closure axiom

$$\forall_{x\in {}^{\mathrm{col}}}\exists_{d\in \mathrm{Sd}}\exists_{x'\in {}^{\mathrm{col}}}\Big(x=\frac{x'+d}{2}\Big).$$

Therefore, the coinduction axiom is

$$\forall_{x\in P} \exists_{d\in \mathrm{Sd}} \exists_{x'\in \mathrm{col}\cup P} \left(x = \frac{x'+d}{2}\right) \to P \subseteq \mathrm{col}.$$

The associated data type is the algebra S (of streams of signed digits) given by a single binary constructor of type $sd \to S \to S$.

Introduction	Model	(Co)inductive predicates	Realizers	Division	Conclusion
O	0000	0000●	000000	00000	O

Computational content of the axioms:

• Closure axiom: destructor $D_{\mathbb{S}}$ of type $\mathbb{S} \to \mathrm{sd} \times \mathbb{S},$ defined by

$$D_{\mathbb{S}}(d::u) = \langle d, u \rangle.$$

• Coinduction axiom: corecursion operator ${}^{co}\mathcal{R}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{S}}$ of type $\tau \to (\tau \to \mathrm{sd} \times (\mathbb{S} + \tau)) \to \mathbb{S}$:

$${}^{\mathrm{co}}\mathcal{R}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{S}}xf = \begin{cases} d :: u & \text{if } fx = \langle d, \mathrm{InL}^{\mathbb{S} \to \mathbb{S} + \tau} u \rangle \\ d :: {}^{\mathrm{co}}\mathcal{R}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{S}}x'f & \text{if } fx = \langle d, \mathrm{InR}^{\tau \to \mathbb{S} + \tau}x' \rangle. \end{cases}$$

ntroduction O Model 0000 Co)inductive predicates

Realizers •00000 on C

Conclusion

Soundness theorem

Let *M* be an **r**-free derivation of a formula *A* from assumptions $u_i : C_i$ (i < n). Then we can derive

$$\begin{cases} et(M) \mathbf{r} A & \text{if } A \text{ is c.r.} \\ A & \text{if } A \text{ is n.c.} \end{cases}$$

from assumptions

$$\begin{cases} z_{u_i} \mathbf{r} C_i & \text{if } C_i \text{ is c.r.} \\ C_i & \text{if } C_i \text{ is n.c.} \end{cases}$$

Introduction	Model	(Co)inductive predicates	Realizers	Division	Conclusion
0	0000		00000	00000	O

The proof needs invariance axioms:

- Constructively to state A means the same as to say that A has a realizer.
- This statement A ↔ ∃_x(x r A) was called "to assert is to realize" by Feferman⁴.
- For **r**-free c.r. formulas A we require the invariance axioms

$$\forall_z (z \mathbf{r} A \to A).$$

 $A \to \exists_z (z \mathbf{r} A).$

⁴S. Feferman, Constructive theories of functions and classes, 1979

oduction

odel 200 Co)inductive predicates

Realizers D 000000 C ision 200 Conclusion

Proof of the soundness theorem

We only consider the cases using invariance axioms. $Case (\lambda_{u^A} M^B)^{A \to B}$ with B n.c. and A c.r. We need a derivation of $A \to B$. By IH we have a derivation of B from $z \mathbf{r} A$. Required derivation of B from A:

$$\frac{A \to \exists_z(z \mathbf{r} A) \qquad A}{\exists_z(z \mathbf{r} A) \qquad B} = \exists^-$$

Case $(M^{A \to B} N^A)^B$ with B n.c. and A c.r. We need a derivation of B. By IH we have derivations of $A \to B$ and of et(N) r A. We obtain the required derivation from

$$\frac{\forall_{z}(z \mathbf{r} A \to A) \operatorname{et}(N)}{\operatorname{et}(N) \mathbf{r} A \to A} \qquad \begin{array}{c} | \ \mathsf{IH} \\ \operatorname{et}(N) \mathbf{r} A \\ \end{array}$$

and the derivation of $A \rightarrow B$.

14 / 23

Introduction	Model	(Co)inductive predicates	Realizers	Division	Conclusion
0	0000	00000	000000	00000	0

Extracted term et(M) of a derivation M^A with A c.r.

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{et}(u^{A}) &:= z_{u}^{\tau(A)} \quad (z_{u}^{\tau(A)} \text{ uniquely associated to } u^{A}), \\ \operatorname{et}((\lambda_{u^{A}}M^{B})^{A \to B}) &:= \begin{cases} \lambda_{z_{u}}^{\tau(A)}\operatorname{et}(M) & \text{if } A \text{ is c.r.} \\ \operatorname{et}(M) & \text{if } A \text{ is n.c.} \end{cases} \\ \operatorname{et}((M^{A \to B}N^{A})^{B}) &:= \begin{cases} \operatorname{et}(M)\operatorname{et}(N) & \text{if } A \text{ is c.r.} \\ \operatorname{et}(M) & \text{if } A \text{ is n.c.} \end{cases} \\ \operatorname{et}(\lambda_{x}M^{A})^{\forall_{x}A}) &:= \operatorname{et}(M), \\ \operatorname{et}((M^{\forall_{x}A(x)}t)^{A(t)}) &:= \operatorname{et}(M). \end{aligned}$$

Introduction	Model	(Co)inductive predicates	Realizers	Division	Conclusion
O	0000		0000●0	00000	O

Consider a c.r. inductively defined predicate. The extracted terms for its axioms are:

- Clauses: constructors
- Induction axiom: recursion operator $\mathcal{R}^{ au}$
- Closure axiom: destructor D
- Coinduction axiom: corecursion operator ${}^{\mathrm{co}}\mathcal{R}^{ au}$

For invariance axioms and also for the induction axiom $(I^{nc})^-$ of a "one-clause-nc" inductive predicate with a c.r. competitor predicate the extracted term is the identity.

 Introduction
 Model
 (Co)inductive predicates
 Realizers
 D

 0
 0000
 00000
 00000
 0

Realizers

Example. I_0 .

- By another inductive predicate I^r₀ of arity (ℝ, L) we can express that a list u witnesses ("realizes") that the real x is in I₀.
- We write $u \mathbf{r} I_0 x$ (u is a realizer of $x \in I_0$) for $(x, u) \in I_0^r$.
- The predicate I_0^r is n.c. (since we already have a realizer u).
- $I_0^{\rm r}$ is inductively defined by the two clauses

$$(0,[]) \in I_0^{\mathbf{r}}, \quad \forall_{d \in \mathrm{Sd}} \forall_{(x,u) \in I_0^{\mathbf{r}}} \Big(\Big(\frac{x+d}{2}, s_d :: u\Big) \in I_0^{\mathbf{r}} \Big)$$

and the induction axiom

$$(0,[]) \in Q \to \forall_{d \in \mathrm{Sd}} \forall_{x \in I_0^r \cap Q} \left(\left(\frac{x+d}{2}, s_d :: u \right) \in Q \right) \to I_0^r \subseteq Q.$$

 s_d is the signed digit corresponding to the formula $d \in \text{Sd.}$ • Similarly we coinductively define the n.c. predicate $({}^{\text{co}}I_0)^{\text{r}}$.



Application: division of reals in [-1, 1]

Idea⁵: three representations of $\frac{x}{y}$:

$$\frac{x}{y} = \frac{1 + \frac{x_1}{y}}{2} = \frac{0 + \frac{x_0}{y}}{2} = \frac{-1 + \frac{x_{-1}}{y}}{2}$$

where

$$x_1 = 4 \frac{x + \frac{-y}{2}}{2}, \quad x_0 = 2x, \quad x_{-1} = 4 \frac{x + \frac{y}{2}}{2}.$$

- Depending on x choose one of these representations.
- This gives the first digit.
- Result: corecursive definition of $\frac{x}{y}$.

 $^5\text{A.}$ Ciaffaglione and P.D. Gianantonio, A certified, corecursive implementation of exact real numbers. TCS 2006

Introduction Model (Co)inductive predicates Realizers

ers Division

Define ${}^{\mathrm{co}}\!I$ coinductively by the closure axiom

$$\forall_{x\in {}^{\mathrm{col}}}\exists_{d\in \mathrm{Sd}}\exists_{x'\in {}^{\mathrm{col}}}\Big(x=\frac{x'+d}{2}\Big).$$

Theorem (ColDiv) For x, y in ^{co}l with $\frac{1}{4} \le y$ and $|x| \le y$ we have $\frac{x}{y}$ in ^{co}l. Proof by coinduction. Computational content:

$$\begin{split} &\mathrm{Div}(u,v) := \\ & \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\mathrm{SdR} :: \mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{AuxR}(u,v),v) & \text{if } u = 1\tilde{u} \lor u = 01\tilde{u} \lor u = 001\tilde{u}, \\ & \mathrm{SdM} :: \mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{Double}(u),v) & \text{if } u = 000\tilde{u}, \\ & \mathrm{SdL} :: \mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{AuxL}(u,v),v) & \text{if } u = \bar{1}\tilde{u} \lor u = 0\bar{1}\tilde{u} \lor u = 00\bar{1}\tilde{u}. \end{aligned} \right.$$

Look-ahead: 3 digits.

troduction	Model	(Co)inductive predicates	Realizers	Division	Conclusion
	0000	00000	000000	00000	0

Lemma

^{col} is closed under shifting a real $x \le 0$ ($x \ge 0$) by +1 (-1). Computational content:

 $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{add1}(\operatorname{SdR}::u) := [\operatorname{SdR}, \operatorname{SdR}, \dots], \\ & \operatorname{add1}(\operatorname{SdM}::u) := \operatorname{SdR}::\operatorname{add1}(u), \\ & \operatorname{add1}(\operatorname{SdL}::u) := \operatorname{SdR}::u \end{aligned}$

Extracted term of the +1 part:

```
[u](CoRec ai=>ai)u
([u0][case (DesYprod u0)
  (s pair u1 -> [case s
      (SdR -> SdR pair InL cCoIOne)
      (SdM -> SdR pair InR u1)
      (SdL -> SdR pair InL u1)]))
```

$$\begin{split} & \mathrm{sub1}(\mathrm{SdR}::u) := \mathrm{SdL}::u, \\ & \mathrm{sub1}(\mathrm{SdM}::u) := \mathrm{SdL}::\mathrm{sub1}(u), \\ & \mathrm{sub1}(\mathrm{SdL}::u) := [\mathrm{SdL}, \mathrm{SdL}, \dots]. \end{split}$$

troduction	Model	(Co)inductive predicates	Realizers	Division	Conclusio
	0000	00000	000000	00000	0

Translation into Haskell

Recall

$$\begin{split} &\mathrm{Div}(u,v) := \\ & \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\mathrm{SdR} :: \mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{AuxR}(u,v),v) & \text{if } u = 1\tilde{u} \lor u = 01\tilde{u} \lor u = 001\tilde{u}, \\ & \mathrm{SdM} :: \mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{Double}(u),v) & \text{if } u = 000\tilde{u}, \\ & \mathrm{SdL} :: \mathrm{Div}(\mathrm{AuxL}(u,v),v) & \text{if } u = \bar{1}\tilde{u} \lor u = 0\bar{1}\tilde{u} \lor u = 00\bar{1}\tilde{u}. \end{aligned} \right.$$

Tests (in ghci with time measuring by :set +s). Return the first n digits of the result of dividing $\frac{1001}{3001}$ by $\frac{10001}{20001}$

number of digits	runtime in seconds
10	0.01
25	0.05
50	0.14
75	0.26
100	0.46

Formal soundness proof

(add-sound "CoIDiv")

;; ok, CoIDivSound has been added as a new theorem:

```
;; allnc x,y,u^(
;; CoIMR x u<sup>^</sup> ->
;; allnc u<sup>0</sup>(
;; CoIMR y u<sup>0</sup> ->
;; (1#4)<<=y -> abs x<<=y ->
;; CoIMR(x*RealUDiv y 3)(cCoIDiv u<sup>^</sup> u<sup>0</sup>)))
```

- ;; with computation rule
- ;; cCoIDiv eqd([u,u0]cCoIDivAux u0 u)

The generated formal soundness proof can be machine checked.

Introduction O **Aodel**

Co)inductive predicates

Realizers 000000 sion

Conclusion

Conclusion

TCF as a variant of HA^ω. Differences

- based on a model (Shoenfield: "classical axiom system")
- partial continuous functionals, contain corecursion operators
- inductive and coinductive predicates
- realizability, invariance axioms, formal soundness proof
- application: division algorithm for stream represented reals extracted from a proof on ordinary reals
- http://arxiv.org/abs/1904.12763