

Gödel's Dialectica Interpretation

Helmut Schwichtenberg

Mathematisches Institut der Universität München

Map 2007, 12. Jan. 2007, Leiden, Holland

Mathematics – Algorithms – Proofs

Here: $\tilde{\exists}$, weak (or “classical”) existence.

Theorem (Euclid)

The gcd of two natural numbers is a linear combination of the two.

Proof.

The ideal (a_1, a_2) is principal, $= (d)$.

d is the gcd, and a linear combination of a_1, a_2 .



Gödel's Dialectica Interpretation (1958)

Theorem (Extraction)

$$KA^\omega + (\text{QF-}\tilde{\text{AC}}) + \cdots + Ax^- \vdash \forall_y \tilde{\exists}_z B^+(y, z)$$

implies

$$KA^\omega + Ax^- \vdash \forall_y B^+(y, ty).$$

Notions used

- ▶ $\tilde{\exists}$ means $\neg\forall\neg$, and $\neg A := A \rightarrow \perp$.
- ▶ A^- : no positive content, $\tau^+(A) = \varepsilon$.
 B^+ : no negative content, $\tau^-(B) = \varepsilon$.
- ▶ (QF- $\tilde{\text{AC}}$): $\forall_x \tilde{\exists}_y A_0(x, y) \rightarrow \tilde{\exists}_f \forall_x A_0(x, fx)$.
- ▶ KA^ω = Kolmogorov arithmetic (1925), in finite types.

Positive and negative content of a formula A

$$\tau^+(P(\vec{s})) := \varepsilon$$

$$\tau^-(P(\vec{s})) := \varepsilon,$$

$$\tau^+(\forall_{x^\rho} A) := \rho \Rightarrow \tau^+(A),$$

$$\tau^-(\forall_{x^\rho} A) := \rho \otimes \tau^-(A),$$

$$\tau^+(\exists_{x^\rho} A) := \rho \otimes \tau^+(A),$$

$$\tau^-(\exists_{x^\rho} A) := \tau^-(A).$$

and for implication

$$\tau^+(A \rightarrow B) := (\tau^+(A) \Rightarrow \tau^+(B)) \otimes (\tau^+(A) \Rightarrow \tau^-(B) \Rightarrow \tau^-(A)),$$

$$\tau^-(A \rightarrow B) := \tau^+(A) \otimes \tau^-(B).$$

Lemma (Characterization)

(a) $\tau^+(A) = \varepsilon$ iff A without negative $\forall$, positive $\exists$.

(b) $\tau^-(A) = \varepsilon$ iff A without positive $\forall$, negative $\exists$.

Kolmogorov arithmetic (1925), in finite types.

- ▶ Finite types: built from finitary base types by $\rho \Rightarrow \sigma$, $\rho \otimes \sigma$.
- ▶ Quantifiers range over the Scott-Ershov partial continuous functionals. Totality defined.
- ▶ $0 = 1$ for falsity, no negation.
- ▶ Formulas and types kept separate (no dependent types).
- ▶ $\mathcal{R}$ and Ind.
- ▶ $\vdash =$ natural deduction.

Proof of the extraction theorem

needs

- ▶ Gödel translation $A \mapsto \exists_x \forall_y |A|_y^x$.
- ▶ A more general formulation.

Theorem (Soundness)

Assume

$$\text{WE-KA}^\omega + \text{AC} + \text{IP}^- + \text{MP} + \text{Ax}^- \vdash A [u_i : C_i].$$

Pick $x_i^{\tau^+(C_i)}$ and $y^{\tau^-(A)}$. Then we can find terms $\llbracket M \rrbracket_i^+ =: t^{\tau^+(A)}$ with $y \notin \text{FV}(t)$ and $\llbracket M \rrbracket_i^- =: r_i^{\tau^-(C_i)}$:

$$\text{WE-KA}^\omega + \text{Ax}^- \vdash |A|_y^t [\bar{u}_i : |C_i|_{r_i}^{x_i}].$$

Proof: by induction on derivations terms.

Gödel translation

$$|P(\vec{s})|_s^r := P(\vec{s}),$$

$$|\forall_x A(x)|_s^r := |A(s0)|_{s1}^{r(s0)},$$

$$|\exists_x A(x)|_s^r := |A(r0)|_s^{r1},$$

$$|A \rightarrow B|_s^r := |A|_{r1(s0)(s1)}^{s0} \rightarrow |B|_{s1}^{r0(s0)}.$$

For readability: write terms of a pair type in pair form. Then

$$|\forall_z A|_{z,y}^x := |A|_y^{xz},$$

$$|\exists_z A|_y^{z,x} := |A|_y^x,$$

$$|A \rightarrow B|_{x,u}^{f,g} := |A|_{gxu}^x \rightarrow |B|_u^{fx}.$$

Theorem (Euclid). Assume $0 < a_2$. There are k_1, k_2 such that $0 < |k_1 a_1 - k_2 a_2|$ and $\text{Rem}(a_i, |k_1 a_1 - k_2 a_2|) = 0$ ($i = 1, 2$).

Proof. There are k_1, k_2 such that $0 < |k_1 a_1 - k_2 a_2|$. The Minimum Principle with measure $|k_1 a_1 - k_2 a_2|$ gives k_1, k_2 :

$$A(k_1, k_2) \quad (:= (0 < |k_1 a_1 - k_2 a_2|)), \quad (1)$$

$$\forall_{l_1, l_2} (|l_1 a_1 - l_2 a_2| < |k_1 a_1 - k_2 a_2| \rightarrow A(l_1, l_2) \rightarrow \perp). \quad (2)$$

Assume

$$\forall_{k_1, k_2} (0 < |k_1 a_1 - k_2 a_2| \rightarrow \text{Rem}(a_1, |k_1 a_1 - k_2 a_2|) = 0 \rightarrow \text{Rem}(a_2, |k_1 a_1 - k_2 a_2|) = 0 \rightarrow \perp). \quad (3)$$

Show $\perp$. Use (3) for k_1, k_2 . Need $\text{Rem}(a_i, |k_1 a_1 - k_2 a_2|) = 0$, by (1).

$$q := \text{Quot}(a_1, |k_1 a_1 - k_2 a_2|), \quad r := \text{Rem}(a_1, |k_1 a_1 - k_2 a_2|).$$

$$a_1 = q|k_1 a_1 - k_2 a_2| + r, \quad r < |k_1 a_1 - k_2 a_2| \quad (\text{use } 0 < |k_1 a_1 - k_2 a_2|).$$

$$\text{From this } r = |\underbrace{\text{Step}(a_1, a_2, k_1, k_2, q)}_{=: l_1} a_1 - \underbrace{q k_2}_{=: l_2} a_2| < |k_1 a_1 - k_2 a_2|.$$

(2) for l_1, l_2 gives $A(l_1, l_2) \rightarrow \perp$. Hence $0 = |l_1 a_1 - l_2 a_2| = r$.

The Step function

Want

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= q \cdot |k_1 a_1 - k_2 a_2| + r \rightarrow \\ r &= |\text{Step}(a_1, a_2, k_1, k_2, q) a_1 - q k_2 a_2|. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\text{Step}(a_1, a_2, k_1, k_2, q) := \begin{cases} qk_1 - 1 & \text{if } k_2 a_2 < k_1 a_1 \text{ and } 0 < q, \\ qk_1 + 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

```

[n0,n1] [let pf7 ((Rec nat=>nat@@nat=>nat@@nat)([p3]0@0)
  ([n3,pf4,p5] [if (0<Lin n0 n1 p5 impb
    Rem n0(Lin n0 n1 p5)=0 impb
    Rem n1(Lin n0 n1 p5)=0 impb False)
  (pf4 [let p6 (Step n0 n1 p5(Quot n0(Lin n0 n1 p5)))@
    Quot n0(Lin n0 n1 p5)*right p5)
    [if (Lin n0 n1 p6<n3 impb
      0<Lin n0 n1 p6 impb False)
      (Quot n1(Lin n0 n1 p5)*left p5@
        Step n1 n0(right p5@left p5)
          (Quot n1(Lin n0 n1 p5)))
        p6]]) p5)) n1)
[let p2 [if (0<n1 impb Rem n0 n1=0 impb False)
  (pf7(Step n0 n1(0@1)(Quot n0 n1)@Quot n0 n1))(0@1)]
[if (0<Lin n0 n1 p2 impb
  Rem n0(Lin n0 n1 p2)=0 impb
  Rem n1(Lin n0 n1 p2)=0 impb False)
(pf7(0@[if (0<n1) 0 2])) p2]]

```

Future work

- ▶ Get more experience in unwinding classical proofs.
- ▶ Compare Gödel's Dialectica interpretation and its variants (Kohlenbach, Ferreira/Oliva) with refinements of the Dragalin-Friedman A -translation.