Invariance axioms for realizability

Helmut Schwichtenberg

Mathematisches Institut, LMU, München

JAIST, 25. August 2016

Kolmogorov 1932: "Zur Deutung der intuitionistischen Logik"

- View a formula A as a computational problem, of type τ(A), the type of a potential solution or "realizer" of A.
- Example: $\forall_n \exists_{m>n} \operatorname{Prime}(m)$ has type $\mathbf{N} \to \mathbf{N}$.

Proposal: express this view as

invariance under realizability

of formulas A:

 $A \leftrightarrow$ there is a solution of problem A

- A may have nested implications.
- Hence a solution is a higher type computable functional ("modified realizability").

- ► Gödel (1958): "Über eine noch nicht benützte Erweiterung des finiten Standpunkts". Higher type term system T.
- ▶ Platek (1966): "Foundations of recursion theory".
- Scott (1969): LCF "Logic for Computable Functions". LCF's term language has arithmetic, booleans and recursion in higher types. LCF is based on classical logic.
- ▶ Plotkin (1977): Higher type term system PCF, with partiality.
- Martin-Löf (1984): constructive type theory. Formulas are types. Functionals are total.
- Proposal here: a constructive theory of computation in higher types, based on the Scott (1970) - Ershov (1977) model of partial continuous functionals.

```
points, ideals, abstract objects \uparrow \downarrow finite approximations
```

Examples of computable functionals

• Fixed point operator $Y : (\rho \rightarrow \rho) \rightarrow \rho$ defined by

$$Yf = f(Yf)$$

▶ Recursion operator $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{N}}^{\tau} \colon \mathbf{N} \to \tau \to (\mathbf{N} \to \tau \to \tau) \to \tau$ defined by

 $\mathcal{R}0mf = m,$ $\mathcal{R}(Sn)mf = fn(\mathcal{R}nmf).$

► Corecursion operator ${}^{co}\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{N}}^{\tau}$: $\tau \to (\tau \to \mathbf{U} + (\mathbf{N} + \tau)) \to \mathbf{N}$

Definition (Types).

$$\rho, \sigma ::= \alpha \mid \rho \to \sigma \mid \mu_{\xi}((\rho_{i\nu})_{\nu < n_i} \to \xi)_{i < k}$$

Examples

 $U := \mu_{\xi}\xi$ $B := \mu_{\xi}(\xi, \xi)$ $N := \mu_{\xi}(\xi, \xi \to \xi)$ $P := \mu_{\xi}(\xi, \xi \to \xi, \xi \to \xi)$ $D := \mu_{\xi}(\xi, \xi \to \xi \to \xi)$ $L(\alpha) := \mu_{\xi}(\xi, \alpha \to \xi \to \xi)$ $\alpha \times \beta := \mu_{\xi}(\alpha \to \beta \to \xi)$ $\alpha + \beta := \mu_{\xi}(\alpha \to \xi, \beta \to \xi)$ (unit), (booleans), (natural numbers, unary), (positive numbers, binary), (binary trees, or derivations), (lists), (product), (sum). (Finitary) algebras viewed as "non-flat Scott information systems". Why?

Flat:



Non flat: "tokens" for N are



Problem for flat algebras

- Continuous functions are monotone: $x \subseteq y \rightarrow fx \subseteq fy$.
- Easy: every constructor gives rise to a continuous function.
- Want: constructors have disjoint ranges and are injective (cf. the Peano axioms: Sx ≠ 0 and Sx = Sy → x = y).
- ► This holds for non-flat algebras, but not for flat ones. There constructors must be strict (i.e., CxØy = Ø), hence
 - in **P**: $S_0 \emptyset = \emptyset = S_1 \emptyset$ (overlapping ranges), in **D**: $C\emptyset\{0\} = \emptyset = C\{0\}\emptyset$ (not injective).

The Scott-Ershov model of partial continuous functionals.

Let A = (A, Con_A, ⊢_A), B = (B, Con_B, ⊢_B) be "information systems" (Scott). Function space: A → B := (C, Con, ⊢):

$$\begin{split} C &:= \operatorname{Con}_A \times B, \\ \{(U_i, b_i)\}_{i \in I} \in \operatorname{Con} := \forall_{J \subseteq I} (\bigcup_{j \in J} U_j \in \operatorname{Con}_A \to \{b_j\}_{j \in J} \in \operatorname{Con}_B), \\ \{(U_i, b_i)\}_{i \in I} \vdash (U, b) := (\{ b_i \mid U \vdash_A U_i \} \vdash_B b). \end{split}$$

Partial continuous functionals of type ρ: the "ideals" in C_ρ (ideals are consistent and deductively closed sets of tokens).

$$\mathbf{C}_{\iota} := (\mathcal{C}_{\iota}, \operatorname{Con}_{\iota}, \vdash_{\iota}), \qquad \mathbf{C}_{\rho \to \sigma} := \mathbf{C}_{\rho} \to \mathbf{C}_{\sigma}.$$

f ∈ |**C**_ρ|: limit of formal neighborhoods *U* ∈ Con_{ρ→σ}. *f* ∈ |**C**_ρ| computable: r.e. limit.

 ${\rm TCF}$ (theory of computable functionals), a variant of ${\rm HA}^\omega$ with variables ranging over arbitrary partial continuous functionals.

- Existence axioms: by terms, built from constants for (partial) computable functionals, given by defining equations (computation rules, pattern matching conditions apply)
- Inductively (and coinductively) defined predicates. Totality for ground types inductively defined.
- Induction := elimination (or least-fixed-point) axiom for a totality predicate. (Coinduction := greatest-fixed-point axiom for a coinductively defined predicate.)
- ► Minimal logic: →, ∀ only. =^d (Leibniz), ∃, ∨, ∧ inductively defined (Russell, Martin-Löf).
- ▶ $\bot := (False =^d True)$. Ex-falso-quodlibet: $\bot \to A$ provable.

Proof terms in natural deduction



The realizability interpretation transforms such a proof term directly into an object term.

Decoration

Proofs can be transformed and/or "decorated", for efficiency of the extracted program.

► A related concept of "proof irrelevance" has been studied by Pfenning and (in Agda) by Abel/Scherer (2012).

We decorate

- \blacktriangleright connectives: \rightarrow^c, \forall^c and $\rightarrow^{nc}, \forall^{nc}\text{,}$ and
- least-fixed-point operators: μ^{c}, μ^{nc} .

Distinguish two sorts of predicate variables

- computationally relevant ones X, Y, Z..., and
- non-computational ones $X^{nc}, Y^{nc}, Z^{nc} \dots$

Definition (Predicates and formulas).

$$P, Q ::= X \mid X^{nc} \mid \{ \vec{x} \mid A \} \mid \mu_X^{c/nc} (\forall_{\vec{x}_i}^{c/nc} ((A_{i\nu})_{\nu < n_i} \to^{c/nc} X \vec{r}_i))_{i < k}$$

$$A, B ::= P\vec{r} \mid A \to^{c/nc} B \mid \forall_x^{c/nc} A$$
Write $\to, \forall, \mu \text{ for } \to^c, \forall^c, \mu^c.$ Examples
$$Total_{\mathbf{N}} := \mu_X (X0, \forall_n^{nc} (Xn \to X(Sn)))$$

$$ExD_Y := \mu_X (\forall_x (Yx \to X))$$

$$ExL_Y := \mu_X (\forall_x (Yx \to^{nc} X))$$

$$CupU_{Y,Z} := \mu_X (Y \to^{nc} X, Z \to^{nc} X)$$

$$CupNc_{Y,Z} := \mu_X^{nc} (Y \to X, Z \to X)$$

Abbreviations

$$\begin{array}{ll} \exists_x^{\mathrm{d}} A & := \mathrm{ExD}_{\{x|A\}} \\ \exists_x^{\mathrm{l}} A & := \mathrm{ExL}_{\{x|A\}} \\ A \lor^{\mathrm{u}} B & := \mathrm{CupU}_{\{|A\},\{|B\}} \\ A \lor^{\mathrm{nc}} B & := \mathrm{CupNc}_{\{|A\},\{|B\}} \end{array}$$

Axioms

We have introduction and elimination axioms for inductively defined predicates *I*. Example:

Even :=
$$\mu_X(X0, \forall_n^{\mathrm{nc}}(Xn \to X(S(Sn))))$$

Introduction axioms

Even(0),
$$\forall_n^{\mathrm{nc}}(\mathrm{Even}(n) \to \mathrm{Even}(S(Sn)))$$

Elimination axioms

 $\forall_n^{\rm nc}({\rm Even}(n)\to P0\to\forall_m^{\rm nc}({\rm Even}(m)\to Pm\to P(S(Sm)))\to Pn).$

Computationally relevant (c.r.) and non-computational (n.c.) predicates and formulas

To every predicate or formula C assign its final predicate fp(C)

$$\begin{split} & \operatorname{fp}(X) := X, \quad \operatorname{fp}(X^{\operatorname{nc}}) := X^{\operatorname{nc}} & \operatorname{fp}(P\vec{r}) := \operatorname{fp}(P) \\ & \operatorname{fp}(\{\vec{x} \mid A\}) := \operatorname{fp}(A) & \operatorname{fp}(A \to^{\operatorname{c/nc}} B) := \operatorname{fp}(B) \\ & \operatorname{fp}(I) := I, \quad \operatorname{fp}(I^{\operatorname{nc}}) := I^{\operatorname{nc}} & \operatorname{fp}(\forall_x^{\operatorname{c/nc}} A) := \operatorname{fp}(A) \end{split}$$

C is non-computational (n.c.) if its final predicate fp(C) is of the form X^{nc} or I^{nc} . Else: computationally relevant (c.r.).

Logic with decorations

Introduction and elimination rules for $\rightarrow^{c/nc}$, $\forall^{c/nc}.$

- In n.c. parts of a derivation (i.e., with an n.c. end formula) decorations are ignored.
- ▶ If M^B is a derivation and u^A not a "computational assumption variable" $(u^A \notin CA(M))$, then $(\lambda_{u^A} M^B)^{A \to {}^{nc}B}$ is a derivation.
- If M^A is a derivation, x is not free in any formula of a free assumption variable of M and x not a "computational object variable" (x ∉ CV(M)), then (λ_xM^A)^{∀_xcA} is a derivation.

Computational assumption variables $CA(M^A)$

For A n.c. let
$$\operatorname{CA}(M^A) := \emptyset$$
. Assume A c.r.
 $\operatorname{CA}(c^A) := \emptyset$ (c^A an axiom),
 $\operatorname{CA}(u^A) := \{u\}$,
 $\operatorname{CA}((\lambda_{u^A}M^B)^{A \to B}) := \operatorname{CA}((\lambda_{u^A}M^B)^{A \to^{\operatorname{nc}}B}) := \operatorname{CA}(M) \setminus \{u\}$,
 $\operatorname{CA}((M^{A \to B}N^A)^B) := \operatorname{CA}(M) \cup \operatorname{CA}(N)$,
 $\operatorname{CA}((M^{A \to^{\operatorname{nc}}B}N^A)^B) := \operatorname{CA}(M)$,
 $\operatorname{CA}((\lambda_x M^A)^{\forall_x A}) := \operatorname{CA}((\lambda_x M^A)^{\forall_x^{\operatorname{nc}}A}) := \operatorname{CA}(M)$,
 $\operatorname{CA}((M^{\forall_x A(x)}r)^{A(r)}) := \operatorname{CA}((M^{\forall_x^{\operatorname{nc}}A(x)}r)^{A(r)}) := \operatorname{CA}(M)$.

Computational object variables $CV(M^A)$

For A n.c. let
$$\operatorname{CV}(M^A) := \emptyset$$
. Assume A c.r.
 $\operatorname{CV}(c^A) := \emptyset$ (c^A an axiom),
 $\operatorname{CV}(u^A) := \emptyset$,
 $\operatorname{CV}((\lambda_{u^A}M^B)^{A \to B}) := \operatorname{CV}((\lambda_{u^A}M^B)^{A \to^{\operatorname{nc}}B}) := \operatorname{CV}(M)$,
 $\operatorname{CV}((M^{A \to B}N^A)^B) := \operatorname{CV}(M) \cup \operatorname{CV}(N)$,
 $\operatorname{CV}((M^{A \to^{\operatorname{nc}}B}N^A)^B) := \operatorname{CV}(M)$,
 $\operatorname{CV}((\lambda_x M^A)^{\forall_x A}) := \operatorname{CV}((\lambda_x M^A)^{\forall_x^{\operatorname{nc}}A}) := \operatorname{CV}(M) \setminus \{x\}$,
 $\operatorname{CV}((M^{\forall_x A(x)}r)^{A(r)}) := \operatorname{CV}(M) \cup \operatorname{FV}(r)$,
 $\operatorname{CV}((M^{\forall_x^{\operatorname{nc}}A(x)}r)^{A(r)}) := \operatorname{CV}(M)$.

Type $\tau(C)$ of predicates and formulas C

Given $X \mapsto \xi$. For C n.c. let $\tau(C) := \circ$. Assume C is c.r.

$$\tau(X) := \xi,$$

$$\tau(\{\vec{x} \mid A\}) := \tau(A),$$

$$\tau(\underbrace{\mu_X(\forall_{\vec{x}_i}^{nc} \forall_{\vec{y}_i} (\vec{A}_i \to \mathbf{n}^{nc} \vec{B}_i \to X\vec{r}_i))_{i < k}}_{I}) := \underbrace{\mu_{\xi}(\tau(\vec{y}_i) \to \tau(\vec{B}_i) \to \xi)_{i < k}}_{\iota_I}.$$

Call ι_I the algebra associated with I.

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(P\vec{r}) &:= \tau(P), \\ \tau(A \to B) &:= \begin{cases} \tau(A) \to \tau(B) & \text{if } A \text{ is c.r.} \\ \tau(B) & \text{if } A \text{ is n.c.} \end{cases} \quad \tau(A \to^{\operatorname{nc}} B) &:= \tau(B), \\ \tau(\forall_{x^{\rho}} A) &:= (\rho \to \tau(A)), \quad \tau(\forall_{x^{\rho}}^{\operatorname{nc}} A) &:= \tau(A). \end{aligned}$$

Examples of ι_I . Recall

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Total}_{\mathsf{N}} & := \mu_X(X0, \forall_n^{\operatorname{nc}}(Xn \to X(Sn))) \\ & \operatorname{ExD}_{Y} & := \mu_X(\forall_x(Yx^\rho \to X)) \\ & \operatorname{ExL}_{Y} & := \mu_X(\forall_x(Yx^\rho \to^{\operatorname{nc}} X)) \\ & \operatorname{CupD}_{Y,Z} & := \mu_X(Y \to X, \ Z \to X) \\ & \operatorname{CupU}_{Y,Z} & := \mu_X(Y \to^{\operatorname{nc}} X, \ Z \to^{\operatorname{nc}} X) \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \iota_{\text{Total}_{\mathsf{N}}} & := \mathsf{N} \\ \iota_{\text{ExD}_{\mathsf{Y}}} & := \rho \times \zeta \qquad \iota_{\text{ExL}_{\mathsf{Y}}} := \rho \\ \iota_{\text{CupD}_{\mathsf{Y},\mathsf{Z}}} & := \zeta + \eta \qquad \iota_{\text{CupU}_{\mathsf{Y},\mathsf{Z}}} := \mathsf{B} \end{split}$$

Realizability: C^{r} (n.c.) for predicates and formulas C

Given $X: (\vec{\rho}) \mapsto X^{\mathbf{r}}: (\tau(X), \vec{\rho})$. For C n.c. let $C^{\mathbf{r}} := C$. Assume C is c.r. We define $C^{\mathbf{r}}: (\tau(C), \vec{\sigma})$. Write $z \mathbf{r} C$ for $C^{\mathbf{r}}z$.

$$X^{\mathbf{r}} \text{ given}, \qquad \{ \vec{x} \mid A \}^{\mathbf{r}} := \{ z, \vec{x} \mid z \mathbf{r} A \}.$$

For
$$I := \mu_X(\forall_{\vec{y}_i}^{c/nc}((A_{i\nu})_{\nu < n_i} \rightarrow^{c/nc} X \vec{r}_i))_{i < k}$$
 define I^r by

$$I^r := \mu_{Xr}^{nc}(\forall_{\vec{x}_i, \vec{z}_i}((z_{i\nu} \mathbf{r} A_{i\nu})_{\nu < n_i} \rightarrow C_i \vec{x}_i \vec{z}_i \mathbf{r} X \vec{r}_i))_{i < k}$$

with the understanding that for

- ▶ for c.r. $A_{i\nu}$ followed by \rightarrow : $z_{i\nu}$ **r** $A_{i\nu}$ and $z_{i\nu}$ is in $C_i \vec{x}_i \vec{z}_i$,
- X in $A_{i\nu}$ followed by \rightarrow^{nc} : $z_{i\nu}$ **r** $A_{i\nu}$ but $z_{i\nu}$ is not in $C_i \vec{x}_i \vec{z}_i$,
- else we keep $A_{i\nu}$ and there is no $z_{i\nu}$.

Only x_{ij} with a computational $\forall_{x_{ij}}$ occur as arguments in $C_i \vec{x}_i \vec{z}_i$. Here C_i is the *i*-th constructor of the algebra ι_I generated from the constructor types $\tau(K_i)$ with K_i the *i*-th clause of *I*. Realizability (ctd.): C^{r} (n.c.) for formulas C

For c.r. formulas let

$$z \mathbf{r} P \vec{r} := P^{\mathbf{r}}(z, \vec{r})$$

$$z \mathbf{r} (A \to B) := \begin{cases} \forall_x (x \mathbf{r} A \to zx \mathbf{r} B) & \text{if } A \text{ is c.r.} \\ A \to z \mathbf{r} B & \text{if } A \text{ is n.c.} \end{cases}$$

$$z \mathbf{r} (A \to^{\text{nc}} B) := A \to z \mathbf{r} B$$

$$z \mathbf{r} \forall_x A := \forall_x (zx \mathbf{r} A)$$

$$z \mathbf{r} \forall_x^{\text{nc}} A := \forall_x (z \mathbf{r} A)$$

Example: Even and Even^r

For Even :=
$$\mu_X(X0, \forall_n^{nc}(Xn \to X(S(Sn))))$$
 with $\iota_{\text{Even}} = \mathbf{N}$:
Even^{**r**} := $\mu_{X^{\mathbf{r}}}^{nc}(0 \mathbf{r} X0, \forall_{n,m}(m \mathbf{r} Xn \to Sm \mathbf{r} X(S(Sn))))$

Introduction axioms:

$$(\operatorname{Even}^{\mathbf{r}})_{0}^{+}: 0 \mathbf{r} \operatorname{Even}(0),$$

 $(\operatorname{Even}^{\mathbf{r}})_{1}^{+}: \forall_{n,m}(m \mathbf{r} \operatorname{Even}(n) \to Sm \mathbf{r} \operatorname{Even}(S(Sn)))$

Elimination axiom:

 $(\operatorname{Even}^{\mathbf{r}})^{-} : \forall_{n,m} (m \ \mathbf{r} \ \operatorname{Even}(n) \to Q^{\operatorname{nc}} 00 \to \ \forall_{n,m} (m \ \mathbf{r} \ \operatorname{Even}(n) \to Q^{\operatorname{nc}} mn \to Q^{\operatorname{nc}} (Sm, S(Sn))) \to Q^{\operatorname{nc}} mn).$

Further examples

Recall

$$\begin{split} & \operatorname{ExD}_{Y} & := \mu_{X}(\forall_{x}(Yx^{\rho} \to X)) \\ & \operatorname{ExL}_{Y} & := \mu_{X}(\forall_{x}(Yx^{\rho} \to^{\operatorname{nc}} X)) \\ & \operatorname{CupD}_{Y,Z} & := \mu_{X}(Y \to X, \ Z \to X) \\ & \operatorname{CupU}_{Y,Z} & := \mu_{X}(Y \to^{\operatorname{nc}} X, \ Z \to^{\operatorname{nc}} X) \end{split}$$

Then

$$\begin{split} & \operatorname{ExD}_{Y^{\mathbf{r}}}^{\mathbf{r}} \quad := \mu_{X^{\mathbf{r}}}^{\operatorname{nc}}(\forall_{x,z}(z \ \mathbf{r} \ Y_{X} \to (x,z) \ \mathbf{r} \ X)) \\ & \operatorname{ExL}_{Y}^{\mathbf{r}} \quad := \mu_{X^{\mathbf{r}}}^{\operatorname{nc}}(\forall_{x}(Y_{X} \to x \ \mathbf{r} \ X)) \\ & \operatorname{CupD}_{Y^{\mathbf{r}},Z^{\mathbf{r}}}^{\mathbf{r}} := \mu_{X^{\mathbf{r}}}^{\operatorname{nc}}(\forall_{y}(y \ \mathbf{r} \ Y \to \operatorname{Inl}(y) \ \mathbf{r} \ X), \ \forall_{z}(z \ \mathbf{r} \ Z \to \operatorname{Inr}(z) \ \mathbf{r} \ X)) \\ & \operatorname{CupU}_{Y,Z}^{\mathbf{r}} \quad := \mu_{X^{\mathbf{r}}}^{\operatorname{nc}}(Y \to \operatorname{tt} \mathbf{r} \ X, \ Z \to \operatorname{ff} \mathbf{r} \ X) \end{split}$$

Realizers for decorated \exists

$$\begin{array}{l} (x,z) \mathbf{r} \exists_x^{\mathrm{d}} A \leftrightarrow z \mathbf{r} A \quad \text{for } A \mathrm{c.r.} \\ x \mathbf{r} \exists_x^{\mathrm{l}} A \leftrightarrow A^{\mathrm{nc}} \\ z \mathbf{r} \exists_x^{\mathrm{r}} A \leftrightarrow \exists_x^{\mathrm{nc}} (z \mathbf{r} A) \quad \text{for } A \mathrm{c.r.} \end{array}$$

Non-computational variant C^{nc} of C: have X^{nc} and I^{nc} , and

$$\{ \vec{x} \mid A \}^{\text{nc}} := \{ \vec{x} \mid A^{\text{nc}} \}$$

$$(P\vec{r})^{\text{nc}} := P^{\text{nc}}\vec{r}$$

$$(A \rightarrow^{\text{c/nc}} B)^{\text{nc}} := A \rightarrow B^{\text{nc}}$$

$$(\forall_x^{\text{c/nc}} A)^{\text{nc}} := \forall_x A^{\text{nc}}$$

Invariance axioms

For c.r. formulas A we take as axioms

$$\operatorname{Inv}_{\mathcal{A}} \colon \mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \exists_{z}^{\mathrm{l}}(z \mathbf{r} \mathcal{A})$$

They are realized by identities:

$$(\lambda_z z) \mathbf{r} (A \to \exists_z^{\mathrm{l}}(z \mathbf{r} A)),$$

 $(\lambda_z z) \mathbf{r} (\exists_z^{\mathrm{l}}(z \mathbf{r} A) \to A).$

Consequences are choice and independence of premise.

Choice

From the invariance axioms we can derive

$$\begin{aligned} \forall_{x} \exists_{y}^{l} A(y) &\to \exists_{f}^{l} \forall_{x} A(fx) \quad \text{for } A \text{ n.c.} \\ \forall_{x} \exists_{y}^{d} A(y) &\to \exists_{f}^{d} \forall_{x} A(fx) \quad \text{for } A \text{ c.r.} \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

By the invariance axioms it suffices to find a realizer.

$$(\lambda_{f}f) \mathbf{r} (\forall_{x} \exists_{y}^{l} A(y) \to \exists_{f}^{l} \forall_{x} A(fx))$$

$$\forall_{f}(f \mathbf{r} \forall_{x} \exists_{y}^{l} A(y) \to f \mathbf{r} \exists_{f}^{l} \forall_{x} A(fx))$$

$$\forall_{f} (\forall_{x}(fx \mathbf{r} \exists_{y}^{l} A(y)) \to \forall_{x} A(fx))$$

$$\forall_{f} (\forall_{x} A(fx) \to \forall_{x} A(fx)).$$

Independence of premise

Assume $x \notin FV(A)$. From the invariance axioms we can derive

$$\begin{array}{ll} (A \to \exists_x^{\rm l} B) \to \exists_x^{\rm l} (A \to B) & \text{ for } A, B \text{ n.c.} \\ (A \to^{\rm nc} \exists_x^{\rm l} B) \to \exists_x^{\rm l} (A \to B) & \text{ for } B \text{ n.c.} \\ (A \to \exists_x^{\rm d} B) \to \exists_x^{\rm d} (A \to B) & \text{ for } A \text{ n.c.}, B \text{ c.r.} \\ (A \to^{\rm nc} \exists_x^{\rm d} B) \to \exists_x^{\rm d} (A \to B) & \text{ for } B \text{ c.r.} \end{array}$$

Proof.

By the invariance axioms it suffices to find a realizer. For A, B n.c.

$$(\lambda_{x}x) \mathbf{r} ((A \to \exists_{x}^{l}B) \to \exists_{x}^{l}(A \to B))$$

$$\forall_{x}(x \mathbf{r} (A \to \exists_{x}^{l}B) \to x \mathbf{r} \exists_{x}^{l}(A \to B))$$

$$\forall_{x}((A \to x \mathbf{r} \exists_{x}^{l}B) \to x \mathbf{r} \exists_{x}^{l}(A \to B))$$

$$\forall_{x}((A \to B) \to A \to B).$$

Extracted terms

For derivations M^A with A n.c. let $et(M^A) := \varepsilon$. Otherwise

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{et}(u^{A}) &:= z_{u}^{\tau(A)} \quad (z_{u}^{\tau(A)} \text{ uniquely associated to } u^{A}), \\ \operatorname{et}((\lambda_{u^{A}}M^{B})^{A \to B}) &:= \begin{cases} \lambda_{z_{u}}^{\tau(A)}\operatorname{et}(M) & \text{if } A \text{ is c.r.} \\ \operatorname{et}(M) & \text{if } A \text{ is n.c.} \end{cases} \\ \operatorname{et}((M^{A \to B}N^{A})^{B}) &:= \begin{cases} \operatorname{et}(M)\operatorname{et}(N) & \text{if } A \text{ is c.r.} \\ \operatorname{et}(M) & \text{if } A \text{ is n.c.} \end{cases} \\ \operatorname{et}(\lambda_{x^{\rho}}M^{A})^{\forall_{x}A}) &:= \lambda_{x}^{\rho}\operatorname{et}(M), \\ \operatorname{et}((M^{\forall_{x}A(x)}r)^{A(r)}) &:= \operatorname{et}(M)r, \\ \operatorname{et}((\lambda_{u^{A}}M^{B})^{A \to \operatorname{nc}B}) &:= \operatorname{et}(M), \\ \operatorname{et}((M^{A \to \operatorname{nc}B}N^{A})^{B}) &:= \operatorname{et}(M), \\ \operatorname{et}((\lambda_{x^{\rho}}M^{A})^{\forall_{x}^{n}A}) &:= \operatorname{et}(M), \\ \operatorname{et}((M^{\forall_{x}cA(x)}r)^{A(r)}) &:= \operatorname{et}(M). \end{aligned}$$

Extracted terms for the axioms.

Let I be c.r.

$$\operatorname{et}(I_i^+) := \operatorname{C}_i, \qquad \operatorname{et}(I^-) := \mathcal{R},$$

where both C_i and \mathcal{R} refer to the algebra ι_I associated with I.

For the invariance axioms we take identities.

The term extracted from a proof in $TCF + Inv + Ax^{nc}$ is a solution of the problem posed by the proven formula. (Ax^{nc} is an arbitrary set of n.c. formulas viewed as axioms).

Theorem (Soundness)

Let M be a derivation of a formula A from assumptions u_i : C_i (i < n). Then we can derive

$$\begin{cases} et(M) \mathbf{r} A & if A is c.r. \\ A & if A is n.c. \end{cases}$$

from assumptions

$$\begin{cases} z_{u_i} \mathbf{r} C_i & \text{if } C_i \text{ is } c.r. \\ C_i & \text{if } C_i \text{ is } n.c. \end{cases}$$

All derivations are in $TCF + Inv + Ax^{nc}$. Proof by induction on M.

Conclusion

Framework TCF for constructive analysis.

- Invariance axioms (\Rightarrow AC, IP) helpful; realized by identities.
- Expressive term language T⁺ (arbitrary defining equations, e.g. for fixed point operators, corecurion).
- Realizability interpretation provides extracted terms expressing computational content of proofs.
- From M: A obtain M^S: (et(M) r A). The soundness proof M^S can be automatically generated and checked.
- Decorations for fine tuning and efficiency.