

# Substitutions in typed object terms

## Some basic material

Anton Freund

15. Juni 2011

- Consider very basic object terms only: typed lambda calculus.
- Call lambda terms “object terms” as opposed to formulas, comprehension terms and proof terms, which we will consider later.
- In particular don’t consider inner structure of base types (e.g. as free algebras). No recursion operator for term formation.

### 1 Definition (Types)

Types are built from type variables by arrow type formation  $\sigma \rightarrow \tau$ .  
Symbols used for type variables and types:  $\sigma, \tau$ .

### 2 Definition (Object terms)

For each type our language includes countably many object variables of this type.  
Object terms and their free variables are defined by:

1.  $x^\sigma, \text{FV}_o(x) := \{x\}$ ,
2.  $(\lambda_{x^\sigma} r^\tau)^{\sigma \rightarrow \tau}, \text{FV}_o(\lambda_x r) := \text{FV}_o(r) \setminus \{x\}$ ,
3.  $(r^{\sigma \rightarrow \tau} s^\sigma)^\tau, \text{FV}_o(rs) := \text{FV}_o(r) \cup \text{FV}_o(s)$ .

Let  $\bar{r}$  denote the type of  $r$ .

Symbols for object variables:  $x, y, z$ . For object terms:  $r, s, t$ .

### 3 Definition (Substitution in types)

Substitution in types is inductively defined by:

1.  $\sigma\vartheta := \begin{cases} \vartheta(\sigma) & \text{if } \sigma \in \text{dom}(\vartheta), \\ \sigma & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$
2.  $(\sigma \rightarrow \tau)\vartheta := (\sigma\vartheta) \rightarrow (\tau\vartheta)$ .

**4 Definition** (Admissible substitutions)

A Substitution  $\vartheta$  is called admissible for an object variable  $x$  if  $\overline{x\vartheta} = \overline{x}\vartheta$ , where

$$x\vartheta := \begin{cases} \vartheta(x) & \text{if } x \in \text{dom}(\vartheta), \\ x & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore  $\vartheta$  is called admissible for an object term  $r$  if  $\vartheta$  is admissible for all  $x \in \text{FV}_o(r)$ .

This definition is as suggested by Prof. Wilfried Buchholz.

**5 Definition**

Let  $\vartheta$  be admissible for  $r$ . We call  $s$  an application of  $\vartheta$  to  $r$  if one of the following holds:

1.  $r = x$  for an object variable  $x$  and  $s = x\vartheta$ .
2.  $r = \lambda_x r'$ ,  $s = \lambda_y s'$  and the following holds: (i)  $y$  is an object variable fulfilling  $\overline{y} = \overline{x}\vartheta$  and  $y \notin \bigcup_{z \in \text{FV}_o(r)} \text{FV}_o(z\vartheta)$  and (ii)  $s'$  is an application of  $\vartheta_x^y$  to  $r'$ .
3.  $r = r_1 r_2$ ,  $s = s_1 s_2$  and  $s_i$  is an application of  $\vartheta$  to  $r_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

**6 Theorem**

Let  $\vartheta$  be admissible for  $r$ . Then the following holds:

- (a) There is an object term  $s$  such that  $s$  is an application of  $\vartheta$  to  $r$ .
- (b) If  $r\vartheta$  is any application of  $\vartheta$  to  $r$  then we have  $\overline{r\vartheta} = \overline{r}\vartheta$  and  $\text{FV}_o(r\vartheta) = \bigcup_{x \in \text{FV}_o(r)} \text{FV}_o(x\vartheta)$ .

**Proof:** We show the claims by simultaneous induction on  $r$ .

*Case 1.*  $r = x$ . By definition of a substitution  $x\vartheta$  is an object term. Thus  $s := x\vartheta$  satisfies (a). Furthermore any application of  $\vartheta$  to  $x$  is equal to  $x\vartheta$ . As  $\vartheta$  is admissible for  $x$  we have  $\overline{x\vartheta} = \overline{x}\vartheta$ . Since  $\text{FV}_o(r) = \{x\}$  we also have  $\text{FV}_o(x\vartheta) = \bigcup_{x \in \text{FV}_o(r)} \text{FV}_o(x\vartheta)$ .

*Case 2.*  $r = \lambda_x r'$ . Choose a object variable  $y$  such that  $\overline{y} = \overline{x}\vartheta$  and  $y \notin \bigcup_{z \in \text{FV}_o(r)} \text{FV}_o(z\vartheta)$ .

Then  $\vartheta_x^y$  is admissible for  $r'$  (requires proof!). By induction hypothesis there is a term  $s'$  which is an application of  $\vartheta_x^y$  to  $r'$ . Then  $s := \lambda_y s'$  is as required. Furthermore any application  $r\vartheta$  is of the form  $\lambda_y (r'\vartheta_x^y)$  where  $\overline{y} = \overline{x}\vartheta$ ,  $y \notin \bigcup_{z \in \text{FV}_o(r)} \text{FV}_o(z\vartheta)$  and where  $r'\vartheta_x^y$  is an application of  $\vartheta_x^y$  to  $r'$ . Using the induction hypothesis we have  $\overline{r\vartheta} = \overline{y} \rightarrow \overline{r'\vartheta_x^y} = \overline{x}\vartheta \rightarrow \overline{r'}\vartheta_x^y$ . Since  $\vartheta$  and  $\vartheta_x^y$  coincide on all type variables we have  $\overline{r'\vartheta_x^y} = \overline{r'}\vartheta$  (requires proof!). Using this, we have  $\overline{r\vartheta} = \overline{x}\vartheta \rightarrow \overline{r'}\vartheta = \overline{r}\vartheta$ . Using the induction hypothesis and  $\text{FV}_o(r) = \text{FV}_o(r') \setminus \{x\}$  yields  $\text{FV}_o(r\vartheta) = \text{FV}_o(r'\vartheta_x^y) \setminus \{y\} = [\bigcup_{z \in \text{FV}_o(r')} \text{FV}_o(z\vartheta_x^y)] \setminus \{y\} = [\bigcup_{z \in \text{FV}_o(r)} \text{FV}_o(z\vartheta)] \setminus \{y\}$  and the claim follows with the variable condition  $y \notin \bigcup_{z \in \text{FV}_o(r)} \text{FV}_o(z\vartheta)$ .

*Case 3.*  $r^\tau = r_1^\sigma \rightarrow^\tau r_2^\sigma$ . For  $i = 1, 2$  we have  $\text{FV}_o(r_i) \subseteq \text{FV}_o(r)$ , thus  $\vartheta$  is admissible for  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ . By the induction hypothesis there exist object terms  $s_1, s_2$  which are

applications of  $\vartheta$  to  $r_1, r_2$ . Also by induction hypothesis we have  $\overline{s_1} = \overline{r_1}\vartheta = (\sigma \rightarrow \tau)\vartheta = \sigma\vartheta \rightarrow \tau\vartheta$  and  $\overline{s_2} = \overline{r_2}\vartheta = \sigma\vartheta$ . Thus  $s := s_1s_2$  is an object term satisfying (a). The further claims follow easily with the induction hypothesis.  $\square$

Plan for the following:

- Define Alpha-equality on object terms (definition by Robert Stärk, as implemented in Minlog).
- $r =_\alpha s$  is supposed to mean that  $r$  and  $s$  are equal modulo renaming of bound variables.
- Let  $\vartheta$  be admissible for  $r$ . Show that  $r =_\alpha s$  implies  $r\vartheta =_\alpha s\vartheta$  where  $r\vartheta$  and  $s\vartheta$  are arbitrary applications.

### 7 Definition (Alpha-equality of object terms)

Let  $r, s$  be object terms and let  $((x_1 y_1), \dots, (x_n y_n))$  be a list of pairs of object variables. We say that  $r$  is equal-via- $((x_1 y_1), \dots, (x_n y_n))$  to  $s$ , if one of the following cases holds:

1.  $r = x, s = y$  for object variables  $x, y$  and either
  - (i)  $x = y, x$  not one of the  $x_i$  and  $y$  is not one of the  $y_i$ , or
  - (ii) there is a  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $x = x_j, y = y_j$  and  $x \neq x_k, y \neq y_k$  for all  $k \in \{j + 1, \dots, n\}$ .
2.  $r = \lambda_x r', s = \lambda_y s', \overline{x} = \overline{y}$  and  $r'$  is equal-via- $((x_1 y_1), \dots, (x_n y_n), (x y))$  to  $s'$ .
3.  $r = r_1 r_2, s = s_1 s_2$  and  $r_1 / r_2$  is equal-via- $((x_1 y_1), \dots, (x_n y_n))$  to  $s_1 / s_2$ .

Finally we say that  $r$  is alpha-equal to  $s$ , written  $r =_\alpha s$ , if  $r$  is equal-via- $()$  to  $s$ .

### 8 Theorem

*The relation  $=_\alpha$  between object terms is an equivalence relation.*

**Proof:** Requires some work, about three quarters of a A4 page.  $\square$

### 9 Theorem

*Let  $r$  be an object term and let  $\vartheta$  be a substitution admissible for  $r$ . If  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are two applications of  $\vartheta$  to  $r$  then we have  $r_1 =_\alpha r_2$ .*

**Proof:** This is the case  $n = 0$  in the following lemma.  $\square$

### 10 Lemma

*Let  $\vartheta$  be a substitution and  $r$  be an object term. Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, z_1, \dots, z_n$  be object variables such that for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  the following holds:*

- (i)  $\overline{x_i}\vartheta = \overline{y_i} = \overline{z_i}$ .

(ii) For all  $z \in \text{FV}_o(r)$ : If  $y_i \in \text{FV}_o(z\vartheta_{x_1 \dots x_{i-1}}^{y_1 \dots y_{i-1}})$  then  $z \in \{x_i, \dots, x_n\}$ .

(iii) For all  $z \in \text{FV}_o(r)$ : If  $z_i \in \text{FV}_o(z\vartheta_{x_1 \dots x_{i-1}}^{z_1 \dots z_{i-1}})$  then  $z \in \{x_i, \dots, x_n\}$ .

Suppose that  $\vartheta_{x_1 \dots x_n}^{y_1 \dots y_n}$  is admissible for  $r$  (hence so is  $\vartheta_{x_1 \dots x_n}^{z_1 \dots z_n}$ ). Let  $r_1 / r_2$  be two applications of  $\vartheta_{x_1 \dots x_n}^{y_1 \dots y_n} / \vartheta_{x_1 \dots x_n}^{z_1 \dots z_n}$  to  $r$ . Then  $r_1$  is equal-via- $((y_1 z_1), \dots, (y_n z_n))$  to  $r_2$ .

**Proof:** Induction on  $r$ .

*Case 1.*  $r = x$  for an object variable  $x$ . *Subcase 1.1.*  $x$  is not one of the  $x_i$ . Then we have  $r_1 = x\vartheta = r_2$ . We want to show that  $x\vartheta$  is equal-via- $((y_1 z_1), \dots, (y_n z_n))$  to  $x\vartheta$ . This follows if we can show that  $y_i, z_i \notin \text{FV}_o(x\vartheta)$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  (requires proof!). So show the latter: Suppose there exists  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $y_i \in \text{FV}_o(x\vartheta)$ . Since  $x \notin \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  in this subcase we have  $x\vartheta_{x_1 \dots x_{i-1}}^{y_1 \dots y_{i-1}} = x\vartheta$ . Because of  $x \in \text{FV}_o(r)$  it follows from (ii) that  $x \in \{x_i, \dots, x_n\}$  must hold. But the latter contradicts the assumption that  $x \notin \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . Analogously one uses (iii) to show that  $z_i \notin \text{FV}_o(x\vartheta)$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . *Subcase 1.2.*  $x = x_j$  and  $x \neq x_k$  for  $k > j$ . Then  $x\vartheta_{x_1 \dots x_n}^{y_1 \dots y_n} = y_j$  and  $x\vartheta_{x_1 \dots x_n}^{z_1 \dots z_n} = z_j$ . We need to show that for all  $k \in \{j+1, \dots, n\}$  we have  $y_k \neq z_k$  and  $z_k \neq z_j$ . This can be done using (ii) and (iii) very similarly to subcase 1.1.

*Case 2.*  $r = \lambda_x r'$ . Then  $r_1 = \lambda_{y_{n+1}} r' \vartheta_{x_1 \dots x_n x}^{y_1 \dots y_n y_{n+1}}$ . Here  $y_{n+1}$  is an object variable satisfying  $\overline{y_{n+1}} = \overline{x\vartheta}$  and  $y_{n+1} \notin \bigcup_{z \in \text{FV}_o(r)} \text{FV}_o(z\vartheta_{x_1 \dots x_n}^{y_1 \dots y_n})$ . Furthermore  $r' \vartheta_{x_1 \dots x_n x}^{y_1 \dots y_n y_{n+1}}$  is an application of  $\vartheta_{x_1 \dots x_n x}^{y_1 \dots y_n y_{n+1}}$  to  $r'$ . Analogously  $r_2 = \lambda_{z_{n+1}} r' \vartheta_{x_1 \dots x_n x}^{z_1 \dots z_n z_{n+1}}$ . We would like to apply the induction hypothesis to  $r' \vartheta_{x_1 \dots x_n x}^{y_1 \dots y_n y_{n+1}}$  and  $r' \vartheta_{x_1 \dots x_n x}^{z_1 \dots z_n z_{n+1}}$  and therefore need to show that all conditions are satisfied. Let  $i \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$  be arbitrary. Obviously (i) is satisfied. Now consider (ii): Let  $z \in \text{FV}_o(r') \subseteq \text{FV}_o(r) \cup \{x\}$ . *Subcase 2.1.* If  $z \in \text{FV}_o(r)$  then the implication in (ii) is satisfied by assumption (for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ) and because of the variable condition  $y_{n+1} \notin \bigcup_{z \in \text{FV}_o(r)} \text{FV}_o(z\vartheta_{x_1 \dots x_n}^{y_1 \dots y_n})$  (for  $i = n+1$ ). *Subcase 2.2.* If  $z = x$  then the implication in (ii) is satisfied because its consequent  $z \in \{x_i, \dots, x_n, x\}$  is satisfied. Analogously one shows that (iii) is satisfied. Furthermore  $\vartheta_{x_1 \dots x_n}^{y_1 \dots y_n}$  is admissible for  $r'$ , as in the proof of 6. Thus by induction hypothesis  $r' \vartheta_{x_1 \dots x_n x}^{y_1 \dots y_n y_{n+1}}$  is equal-via- $((y_1 z_1), \dots, (y_{n+1} z_{n+1}))$  to  $r' \vartheta_{x_1 \dots x_n x}^{z_1 \dots z_n z_{n+1}}$ . Using  $\overline{y_{n+1}} = \overline{z_{n+1}}$  we may conclude that  $r_1$  is equal-via- $((y_1 z_1), \dots, (y_n z_n))$  to  $r_2$ , as required.

*Case 3.* Easily shown using the induction hypothesis. Note that for  $r = s_1 s_2$  we have  $\text{FV}_o(s_1), \text{FV}_o(s_2) \subseteq \text{FV}_o(r)$ . Thus (ii) and (iii) hold for  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ .  $\square$

## 11 Theorem

Let  $r =_\alpha s$  be object terms and let  $\vartheta$  be admissible for  $r$ . Then  $\vartheta$  is admissible for  $s$  and we have  $r\vartheta =_\alpha s\vartheta$  (for arbitrary applications).

**Proof:** Much easier than the previous one.  $\square$

The theory of substitution yields an elegant characterization of alpha-equality:

## 12 Definition

A relation  $\mathcal{R}$  on object terms is called *compatible with substitution* if the following holds: Let  $\vartheta$  be admissible for an object term  $r$  and let  $r_1, r_2$  be two applications of  $\vartheta$  to  $r$ . Then  $r_1 \mathcal{R} r_2$ .

**13 Theorem**

Alpha-equality is the smallest relation on object terms which is compatible with substitution, that is: If  $\mathcal{R}$  is a relation on object terms compatible with substitution and if  $r_1, r_2$  are object terms then  $r_1 =_\alpha r_2$  implies  $r_1 \mathcal{R} r_2$ .