

The central geometric object in the geometry of principal fibre bundles is the concept of a connection.

On the basis of our experience with the concept of a connection in a vector bundle we present in this section five versions of a connection which are all equivalent to each other but essentially different in its formulation.

The five versions are:

1. Horizontal distribution $H \subset TP$ p. 37-2
2. Splitting C of the exact sequence
$$0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow TP \rightarrow \pi^*TM \rightarrow 0$$
 p. 37-5
3. Connection form $\omega \in \mathcal{A}^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$ p. 37-11
4. Covariant differential $D: \mathcal{E}(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^1(P)$ p. 37-19
5. Parallel Transport P in P p. 37-21

37-2

Throughout this section let $\xi = (P, \pi, M, G)$ be a principal fibre bundle. The right action of G on P is denoted by $\Psi: P \times G \rightarrow P$, in particular for $g \in G$

$$\Psi_g: P \rightarrow P, p \mapsto \Psi_g(p) : pg = \Psi(p, g),$$

is the right action of a fixed group element $g \in G$.

Version 1. We start with the version of a connection as a horizontal distribution on P , i.e. a vector subbundle $H \subset TP$ of the tangent bundle $TP \xrightarrow{\tau_P} P$ of P .

(37.1) DEFINITION: The vertical bundle $V_p = V$ is the subbundle

$$V_p := \ker T\pi \subset TP.$$

V_p is a k -dimensional ($k = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} G$) subbundle of TP (note that $T_p\pi: T_pP \rightarrow T_{\pi(p)}M$ is surjective, hence $T\pi$ has constant rank). Similar to 24.2 we have:

(37.2) LEMMA: For $p \in P$ the fibre V_p of V at p has the form

$$V_p = \left\{ [pe^{Xt}]_p : X \in \text{Lie } G = \mathfrak{g} \right\}.$$

Pr: Let $a = \pi(p) \in M$. $\pi(pe^{Xt}) = \pi(p) = a$, hence $T_p \pi([pe^{Xt}]_p) = 0$, i.e. $[pe^{tX}]_p \in V_p$. Moreover, the map

$$R_p : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow V_p, \quad X \mapsto [pe^{tX}]_p,$$

is linear and injective since $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$ is a local diffeomorphism. Hence, R_p is surjective ($k = \dim \mathfrak{g} = \dim V_p$), which implies $V_p = \{[pe^{tX}]_p : X \in \mathfrak{g}\}$. \square

(37.3) DEFINITION: A connection on \mathcal{F} is a subbundle $H \subset TP$ of the tangent bundle TP such that

$$(H1) \quad TP = V \oplus H$$

$$(H2) \quad \text{For all } (p, g) \in P \times G : T_p \Psi_g(H_p) = H_{pg}.$$

The action of G on P carries over to an action $\tilde{\Psi}$ on TP (and on V):

$$\tilde{\Psi} : TP \times G \rightarrow TP, \quad (Y, g) \mapsto T\Psi_g(Y),$$

with $\tau_p \circ \tilde{\Psi}_g(Y) = \Psi_g \circ \tau_p(Y)$. Condition (H2) asserts that the decomposition $H \oplus V = TP$ is invariant with respect to $\tilde{\Psi}$.

A slightly different formulation of 37.3:

37-4

(37.4) PROPOSITION: A connection on ξ is given by a vector bundle homomorphism $v: TP \rightarrow TP$ with

$$(v1) \quad v \circ v = v \quad \text{and} \quad V_p = \text{im } v,$$

$$(v2) \quad \text{For all } g \in G: \quad T\tau_g \circ v = v \circ T\tau_g,$$

and vice versa.

Pf. It is easy to check that for such an invariant projection v onto V_p the kernel $H := \ker v$ is a connection in the sense of 37.3. Conversely a horizontal connection H as in 37.3 induces linear projections $v_p: T_p P \rightarrow T_p P$, $\text{im } v_p = V_{p,\rho}$, $\ker v_p = H_p$ and $v = (v_p)_{p \in P}$ satisfies (v1) & (v2). □

(37.5) EXAMPLE: (The canonical flat connection) Let $P = M \times G$ be the product $\text{pr}_1: \xi = (M \times G, \text{pr}_1, M, G)$.

The vertical bundle $V = V_p$ at $p = (a, g) \in P$ is

$$V_p = T_p(\{a\} \times G) = \{0\} \oplus T_g G \subset T_p P$$

in the natural decomposition $T_p P = T_a M \oplus T_g G$. With

$$H_p := T_a M \oplus \{0\} \subset T_p P$$

a horizontal distribution $H \subset TP$ with $(H1)$ and $(H2)$ is given. H is called the canonical flat connection on P .

Version 2: We now come to the second version:

As in section 24 it is useful to consider the fibre product (or pullback) $\pi^*TM \cong P \times_M TM$ defined

$$\text{as } \pi^*TM = \{ (p, z) \in P \times TM \mid \pi(p) = \tau_M(z) \}.$$

π^*TM is an n -dimensional vector bundle over P which "transports TM to P ". With the definition of the natural vector bundle homomorphism

$$B: TP \rightarrow \pi^*TM$$

given by $B(Y) := (p, \bar{T}_p \pi(Y))$, $Y \in T_p P$, we obtain (as in section 24), when $I: V \hookrightarrow TP$ denotes the injection:

(37.6) Proposition: The sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V_p \xrightarrow{I} TP \xrightarrow{B} \pi^*TM \rightarrow 0 \quad [17.1.11]$$

is an exact sequence of vector bundle homomorphisms. Moreover, I and B are G -invariant in the sense of:

37-6

$$\begin{aligned} I \circ T\mathcal{F}_g &= T\mathcal{F}_g \circ I \\ B \circ T\mathcal{F}_g &= \mathcal{F}'_g \circ B \end{aligned} \quad \text{for } g \in G. *$$

Here, \mathcal{F}'_g on the right hand side of the equation is the map

$$(p, z) \mapsto (pg, z) =: \mathcal{F}'_g(p, z) \in (\pi^*TM)_{pg}$$

with the property: $\mathcal{F}_g \circ p_1 = p_1 \circ \mathcal{F}'_g$ with $p_1: \pi^*TM \rightarrow P$, the projection onto the first component: $(p, z) \mapsto p = p_1(p, z)$.

We have the following commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TP & \xrightarrow{T\mathcal{F}_g} & TP \\ B \downarrow & & \downarrow B \\ \pi^*TM & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}'_g} & \pi^*TM \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \pi^*TM & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}'_g} & \pi^*TM \\ p_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow p_1 \\ P & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}'_g} & P \end{array}$$

(37.7) Proposition: A connection on \mathcal{F} is given by a splitting $C: \pi^*TM \rightarrow TP$ of the exact sequence 37.6, i.e. a vector bundle homomorphism with $B \circ C = \text{id}$, and vice versa.

$$\boxed{0 \longrightarrow V \xrightarrow{I} TP \xrightarrow{B} \pi^*TM \longrightarrow 0}$$

$\begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ C \end{array}$

* $T\mathcal{F}_g$ defines a right action of G on TP and on V , and \mathcal{F}'_g on π^*TM .

Pf. Such a splitting is injective because of $B \circ C = \text{id}$ and moreover invariant in the sense of

$$T\varphi_g \circ C = C \circ \varphi_g^{-1}, \quad g \in G,$$

since B is invariant. Now, $H := \text{im } C \subset TP$ is a complement to V since $\ker C = V$ hence H satisfies (H1) of 37.3, and from the invariance one concludes (H2) (cf. 24.4 and its proof).

Conversely, let $H \subset TP$ be a connection. The restriction $(T_p \pi)|_{H_p} : H_p \rightarrow T_{\pi(p)} M$ is an isomorphism of vector spaces and defines, given $z \in T_a M$, $a = \pi(p)$, a tangent vector

$$\check{z}_p := ((T_p \pi)|_{H_p})^{-1}(z) \in H_p,$$

the horizontal lift of $z \in T_a M$ at $p \in P_a$.

With the aid of the horizontal lift we obtain the splitting $C : \pi^* TM \rightarrow TP$ by

$$C(p, z) := \check{z}_p \in H_p \subset T_p P.$$

Clearly, $C : \pi^* TM \rightarrow TP$ is a vector bundle homomorphism satisfying $B \circ C(p, z) = B(\check{z}_p) = (p, z)$. Hence, C is a splitting of the sequence 37.6. \square

37-8

We repeat one essential step of the construction of C in the form of a definition for later purposes (cf. parallel transport):

(37.8) DEFINITION: For a connection $H \subset TP$ and $z \in T_a M$ the tangent vector $\check{z}_p := (\tau_p \pi)_{H_p}^{-1}(z) \in H_p$ is called the horizontal lift of z at $p \in P$.

(37.9) EXAMPLE: In our example of the canonical flat connection on $P = M \times G$ (cf. 37.5) we have

$$\pi^* TM = \{(p, z) \in P \times TM \mid p = (a, g) \text{ \& } z \in T_a M\}$$

Hence, for $p = (a, g) \in P$: $(\pi^* TM)_p = \{(a, g), z\} : g \in G, z \in T_a M\}$.

On the level of the fibres, the exact sequence 37.6 is

$$0 \rightarrow V_p = T_g G \xrightarrow{I_p} T_p P = T_a M \oplus T_g G \xrightarrow{B_p} \{p\} \times T_a M \rightarrow 0,$$

where $I_p(x) = x$ and $B_p(z \oplus x) = (p, z)$.

Now, the splitting $C_p : \{p\} \times T_a M \rightarrow T_p P$ is simply

$$C_p(p, z) := z \oplus 0 = z, \quad z \in T_a M$$

in this situation (recall $H_p = T_a M \subset T_p P$, cf. 37.5).

(37.10) EXAMPLE: Any other connection on the product pfb $P = M \times G$ is given by a splitting $C: \pi^*TM \rightarrow TP$ of the exact sequence 37.6 which has the following form in the fibres $C_p: (\pi^*TM)_p = \{p\} \times T_aM \rightarrow T_pP = T_aM \oplus T_gG$, $p = (a, g)$, with

$$C_p(p, z) = z \oplus \gamma_p(p, z) \in T_aM \times T_gG,$$

where $\gamma: \pi^*TM \rightarrow TG$, $\gamma(p, z) \in T_gG$, is a vector bundle homomorphism which is equivariant:

$$\gamma \circ \psi'_h = TR_h \circ \gamma \quad \text{for } h \in G.$$

Such an γ is close to an invariant \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form which leads to the third version of the concept of a connection on a pfb, cf. below.

(37.11) EXAMPLE: Let M be a homogeneous space, i.e. M is a quotient $M = P/G$ of a Lie group P with respect to a closed subgroup $G \subset P$. Assume the homogeneous space to be reductive, i.e. there exists a vector space decomposition $\text{Lie } P = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ where $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$ such that $\text{Ad}_g(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathfrak{h}$ for each $g \in G$. Regarding $\text{Lie } P$ as T_eP the left multiplication

37-10

$$\mathcal{K}_p : P \rightarrow P, \quad q \mapsto qp,$$

induces a decomposition

$$T_p P = T_e \mathcal{K}_p(\mathfrak{h}) \oplus T_e \mathcal{K}_p(\mathfrak{g})$$

where $T_e \mathcal{K}_p(\mathfrak{g}) = V_p$ is the fibre of the vertical bundle $V \subset TP$. With $H_p := T_e \mathcal{K}_p(\mathfrak{h})$ we obtain a horizontal distribution $H \subset TP$ with $H \oplus V = TP$, i.e. (H1), which is invariant (i.e. (H2)) due to the reductivity condition $\text{Ad}_g(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathfrak{h}$: The right action \mathcal{R}_g on P is the right multiplication R_g , $g \in G$: R_g

$$\begin{aligned} T_p \mathcal{R}_g(H_p) &= T_p R_g T_e L_p(\mathfrak{h}) = T_e L_p T_p R_g(\mathfrak{h}) \\ &= T_e \mathcal{K}_p T_p \mathcal{L}_g \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(\mathfrak{h}) \subset T_e \mathcal{K}_{pg}(\mathfrak{h}) = H_{pg} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $T_p \mathcal{R}_g(H_p) \subset H_{pg}$, and since $T_p \mathcal{R}_g$ is injective, we have (H2).

As splitting $\pi^* TM \xrightarrow{C} TP$ the connection is given in the following way: Each $z \in T_a M$, $\pi(p) = a$ (i.e. $p \in a$) has the form $z = [e^{tz'} a]$, with a unique $z' \in \mathfrak{h}$, and

$$C_p : (\pi^* TM)_p = \{p\} \times T_a M \rightarrow T_p P, \quad (p, z) \mapsto [e^{tz'} p].$$

Version 3. We now come to the third version of the concept of a connection, the connection as an G -invariant \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form on P . Let $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$ be the Lie algebra of G in form of its right invariant vector fields. For each $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ let φ^X the flow of X . In particular, the curve

$$\exp(t) = e^{tX} = \varphi^X(t, e), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

represents X at $e \in G$: $X(e) = [e^{tX}]_e$.

(37.12) DEFINITION: For every $p \in P$ the curve pe^{tX} defines a tangent vector $X^*(p) := [pe^{tX}]_p$. The vector field $X^*: P \rightarrow TP$ is called the fundamental field of X .

From 37.2 we know $V_p = \{X^*(p) : X \in \mathfrak{g}\}$. Let $\sigma_p: V_p \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ the inverse map to $X \mapsto X^*(p)$. σ_p is an isomorphism of vector spaces. Starting with a connection on ξ given by the vertical projection $v: TP \rightarrow TP$ (cf. 37.4) we obtain the \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form ω by setting

$$\omega_p: T_p P \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}, \quad Y \mapsto \sigma_p(v_p(Y)).$$

Evidently, $H_p = \ker \omega_p$. ω is called the connection form.

37-12

(37.13) PROPOSITION: A connection on ξ is given by a 1-form $\omega \in \Omega^1(P, \mathfrak{g})$ satisfying

$$(w1) \quad \omega_p(X_p^*) = X \quad \text{for all } (p, X) \in P \times \mathfrak{g},$$

$$(w2) \quad \omega_{pg}(T_p \psi_g(Y)) = \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}} \omega_p(Y) \quad \text{for } Y \in T_p P,$$

and vice versa. Short version of (w2): $\psi_g^* \omega = \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}} \omega$.

Recall that Ad is the adjoint representation, in particular,

$$\text{Ad}_g : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

is the tangent map $T_e \alpha_g : T_e G \rightarrow T_e G$ of the inner automorphism $\alpha_g : G \rightarrow G, h \mapsto ghg^{-1}$.

Pf. Let v be a connection in the sense of 37.4.

Clearly, $\omega := \sigma \circ v : TP \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is a \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form on P .

For $(p, X) \in P \times \mathfrak{g}$ one has

$$\omega_p(X_p^*) = \sigma_a \circ v_a(X_a^*) = \sigma_a(X_a^*) = X,$$

hence (w1). To show (w2) we first prove

$$(*) \quad T_p \psi_g(X_p^*) = (\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}} X)_p^*, \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}:$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_p \psi_g(X_p^*) &= [p e^{tX} g]_{pg} = [pg \bar{g}^{-1} e^{tX} g]_{pg} = [pg \alpha_{\bar{g}^{-1}}(e^{tX})]_{pg} = \\ &= [pg \exp(\text{Ad}_{\bar{g}^{-1}}(X)t)]_{pg} = (\text{Ad}_{\bar{g}^{-1}}(X))_p^*. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used $[X_{\mathfrak{g}^{-1}} e^{tX}]_e = T_e X_{\mathfrak{g}^{-1}}([e^{tX}]_e) = \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{g}^{-1}}(X)$.

Now let $Y \in H_p := \ker v_p \subset T_p P$. Then $v_p(Y) = 0$, hence $\omega_p(Y) = 0$. Moreover, $T_p \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}}(Y) \in H_{p\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\omega_{p\mathfrak{g}}(T_p \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}}(Y)) = 0$ as well. It remains to show (w2) for $Y \in V_p$. We have $Y = X_p^*$ with a unique $X \in \mathfrak{g}$: $X = \omega_p(Y)$. From (*) we get

$$\omega_{p\mathfrak{g}}(T_p \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}}(Y)) = \omega_{p\mathfrak{g}}((\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{g}^{-1}} X)_{p\mathfrak{g}}^*) = \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{g}^{-1}} X = \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{g}^{-1}} \omega_p(Y).$$

So ω satisfies (w1) and (w2).

Conversely, let $\omega \in \Omega^1(P, \mathfrak{g})$ satisfy (w1) and (w2).

Define

$$v_p(Y) := \omega_p(Y)_p^* \text{ for } Y \in T_p P, p \in P.$$

This is a vb-homomorphism with $v \circ v = v$ and $\text{im } v = V$, i.e. v satisfies (v1).

Furthermore, for $Y \in T_p P$ and $X := \omega(Y)$, i.e. $X_p^* = v_p(Y)$, we get

$$T_p \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}}(v_p(Y)) = T_p \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_p^*) = (\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{g}^{-1}} X)_{p\mathfrak{g}}^* \text{ (after (*))}$$

and

37-14

$$\nu_{pg} (T_p \tau_g^{-1}(\gamma)) = \omega_{pg} (T_p \tau_g^{-1}(\gamma))_{pg}^* = \omega_{pg} (Ad_{g^{-1}} \omega_p \gamma)_{pg}^* = (Ad_{g^{-1}} \omega_p \gamma)_{pg}^* = (Ad_{g^{-1}} X)_{pg}^*.$$

This implies (v2). □

[18.01.11]

(37.14) REMARK: For a matrix group G we know that $Ad_g(X) = gXg^{-1}$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $g \in G$. Hence, (v2) has the form

$$(v2)' \quad \omega_{pg} (T_p \tau_g^{-1}(\gamma)) = \bar{g}^{-1} \omega_p(\gamma) g$$

or $\tau_g^* \omega = \bar{g}^{-1} \omega g$ using $\tau_g^* \omega(\gamma)_{pg} = \omega_{pg} (T_p \tau_g^{-1}(\gamma))$.

The local variant of a connection form leads to a slightly different version of the concept of a connection.

(37.15) PROPOSITION: Let the pfb ξ be given by a cocycle (g_{ij}) , $g_{ij} \in \mathcal{E}(U_{ij}, G)$, with respect to an open cover $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of M . A connection on P is given by a family $(\alpha_j)_{j \in I}$ of \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-forms $\alpha_j \in \mathcal{A}^1(U_j, \mathfrak{g})$ (the gauge potentials) satisfying

$$(Z) \quad \alpha_j = Ad_{g_{ij}^{-1}}(\alpha_i) + T(\tau_{g_{ij}^{-1}} \circ g_{ij}) \quad \text{on } U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j,$$

and vice versa. In the case of a matrix group G the condition (Z) is equivalent to

$$(Z') \quad \alpha_j = g_{ij}^{-1} \alpha_i g_{ij} + g_{ij}^{-1} dg_{ij} \quad \text{on } U_{ij}$$

(cf. 36.5: M -connection)

Proof. The cocycle (g_{ij}) is given by local trivializations

$$\varphi_j: P_{U_j} \rightarrow U_j \times G, \quad j \in I,$$

such that

$$\varphi_i \circ \varphi_j^{-1}(a, h) = (a, g_{ij}(a) \cdot h), \quad (a, h) \in U_{ij} \times G, \quad i, j \in I.$$

The local trivializations determine local sections

$$s_j: U_j \rightarrow P_{U_j}, \quad s_j(a) := \varphi_j^{-1}(a, e),$$

so that $\varphi_j^{-1}(a, g) = s_j(a)g$ and $s_j = s_i g_{ij}$ on U_{ij} .

Now let $\omega \in \mathcal{A}^1(P, \mathfrak{g})$ be a connection form.

In the following we show that the family of local forms $(\alpha_j)_{j \in I}$,

$$\alpha_j := s_j^* \omega \in \mathcal{A}^1(U_j, \mathfrak{g}), \quad j \in I,$$

($\alpha_{j,a}(X) = \omega_{s_j(a)} T_a s_j(X)$ if $X \in T_a M$) satisfies (Z):

37-16

Let $Z \in T_a M$ be represented by the curve $\gamma(t) : Z = [\dot{\gamma}]_a$.

Set $p' := s_j(a)$, $p'' := s_i(a)$.

$$\begin{aligned} T_a s_j(Z) &= [s_j \circ \gamma]_{p'} = [s_i(\gamma) g_{ij}(\gamma)]_{p'} = [s_i(a) g_{ij}(\gamma)]_{p'} + [s_i(\gamma) g_{ij}(a)] = \\ &= [s_j(a) g_{ij}^{-1}(a) g_{ij}(\gamma)]_{p'} + T_{p''} \mathcal{L}_{g_{ij}(a)}([s_i(\gamma)]_{p''}) \\ &= \left(T_a (\mathcal{L}_{g_{ij}(a)}^{-1} \circ g_{ij}) (Z) \right)_{p'}^* + T_{p''} \mathcal{L}_{g_{ij}(a)}([s_i(\gamma)]_{p''}) \end{aligned}$$

where the equality

$$[s_j(a) g_{ij}^{-1}(a) g_{ij}(\gamma)]_{p'} = \left(T_a (\mathcal{L}_{g_{ij}(a)}^{-1} \circ g_{ij}(a)) (Z) \right)_{p'}^*$$

follows from the fact that $X := T_a (\mathcal{L}_{g_{ij}(a)}^{-1} \circ g_{ij}) (Z)$

has the presentation $X = [g_{ij}^{-1}(a) g_{ij}(\gamma)]_e \in T_e G = \mathfrak{g}$, hence

$$X_{p'}^* = [p g_{ij}^{-1}(a) g_{ij}(\gamma)]_{p'}$$

As a result,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{j,a}(Z) &= \omega_{s_j(a)}(T_a s_j(Z)) \\ &= \omega_{p'} \left(\left(T_a \mathcal{L}_{g_{ij}(a)}^{-1} \circ g_{ij} \right) (Z) \right)_{p'}^* + T_{p''} \mathcal{L}_{g_{ij}(a)}([s_i(\gamma)]_{p''}) \\ &\stackrel{(\omega 1)}{=} T_a (\mathcal{L}_{g_{ij}(a)}^{-1} \circ g_{ij})(Z) + \omega_{p'' g_{ij}(a)}(T_{p''} \mathcal{L}_{g_{ij}(a)} T_a s_i(Z)) \\ &\stackrel{(\omega 2)}{=} T_a (\mathcal{L}_{g_{ij}(a)}^{-1} \circ g_{ij})(Z) + \text{Ad}_{g_{ij}(a)^{-1}} \left(\underbrace{\omega_{p''}(T_a s_i(Z))}_{\alpha_{i,a}(Z)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have shown (2).

Conversely, let (α_j) with (2) be given. Denote $p' = s_j(a)$, $a \in \mathfrak{U}_j$, where $j \in I$ is fixed. For $z \in T_a M$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ set

$$\omega_{p'}^{(j)}(T_a s_j(z) + X_{p'}^*) := \alpha_{j,a}(z) + X.$$

This yields $\omega^{(j)} \in \Gamma(s_j(\mathfrak{U}_j), \Omega^1(\mathbb{T}P, \mathfrak{g}))$. Let us extend $\omega^{(j)}$ to all of $P_{\mathfrak{U}_j}$ by

$$\omega_{p'g}^{(j)}(Y) := \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(\omega_{p'}^{(j)}(T_{p'g} \mathcal{I}_{g^{-1}}(Y))), \quad Y \in T_{p'g} P.$$

We have to check that $\omega^{(j)}$ satisfies (w1) and (w2):

For $p \in P_{\mathfrak{U}_j}$, $p = p'g$, one has for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_p^{(j)}(X_p^*) &= \omega_{p'g}^{(j)}(X_{p'g}^*) = \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(\omega_{p'}^{(j)}(T_{p'g} \mathcal{I}_{g^{-1}}(X_{p'g}^*))) \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(\omega_{p'}^{(j)}(\text{Ad}_g(X)_p^*)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}} \circ \text{Ad}_g(X) = X, \end{aligned}$$

hence (w1).

Let, moreover $h \in G$. Then $ph = p'gh$.

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{ph}^{(j)}(T_p \mathcal{I}_h(Y)) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Ad}_{(gh)^{-1}} \omega_{p'gh}^{(j)}(T_{p'gh} \mathcal{I}_{(gh)^{-1}}(T_p \mathcal{I}_h(Y))) \\ &= \text{Ad}_{h^{-1} \circ g^{-1}} \omega_{p'}^{(j)}(T_{p'g} \mathcal{I}_{g^{-1}} \circ T_{ph} \mathcal{I}_{h^{-1}} \circ T_p \mathcal{I}_h(Y)) \\ &= \text{Ad}_{g^{-1} \circ h^{-1}} \omega_{p'}^{(j)}(T_{p'g} \mathcal{I}_{g^{-1}}(Y)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(\omega_p^{(j)}(Y)), \quad \text{i.e. (w2)} \end{aligned}$$

37-18

It remains to show $\omega^{(j)} = \omega^{(i)}$ on $P_{U_{ij}}$ in order to yield $\omega \in \mathcal{A}^1(P, \mathfrak{g})$ with $\omega|_{P_{U_{ij}}} = \omega^{(j)}$. Only here we need the condition (z)!

It is enough to show $\omega^{(i)}|_{S_j(U_{ij})} = \omega^{(j)}|_{S_j(U_{ij})}$:

For $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $p' = s_j(a)$, $a \in U_{ij}$, one has $\omega_{p'}^{(j)}(X_{p'}^*) = X = \omega_{p'}^{(i)}(X_p^*)$ directly by definition.

Let $z \in T_a M$. To show: $\omega_{p'}^{(i)}(T_a s_j(z)) = \alpha_{j,a}(z)$.

$$\omega_{p'}^{(i)}(T_a s_j(z)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Ad}_{g_{ij}^{-1}(a)} \left(\omega_{p''}^{(i)} \left(T_{p'} \mathcal{L}_{g_{ij}^{-1}(a)} T_a s_j(z) \right) \right) \quad (p' = p'' g_{ij}(a)^{-1})$$

We use the decomposition (see above)

$$T_a s_j(z) = \left(T_a (\mathcal{L}_{g_{ij}^{-1}(a)}^{-1} \circ g_{ij}) (z) \right)_{p'}^* + T_{p''} \mathcal{L}_{g_{ij}(a)} \circ T_a s_i(z)$$

and obtain (using $\text{Ad}_g(X)_p^* = T_p \mathcal{L}_g^{-1}(X_{pg}^*)$ among others)

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{p'}^{(i)}(T_a s_j(z)) &= \text{Ad}_{g_{ij}^{-1}(a)} \left[\omega_{p''}^{(i)} \left(T_{p'} \mathcal{L}_{g_{ij}^{-1}(a)} \left([T_a (\mathcal{L}_{g_{ij}^{-1}(a)}^{-1} \circ g_{ij}) (z)]_{p'}^* \right) \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \left(\omega_{p''}^{(i)} \left(\underbrace{T_{p'} \mathcal{L}_{g_{ij}^{-1}(a)} \circ T_{p''} \mathcal{L}_{g_{ij}(a)} \circ T_a s_i(z)}_{T_a s_i(z)} \right) \right) \\ &= \text{Ad}_{g_{ij}^{-1}(a)} \left[\omega_{p''}^{(i)} \left([\text{Ad}_{g_{ij}(a)} (T_a (\mathcal{L}_{g_{ij}^{-1}(a)}^{-1} \circ g_{ij}) (z))]_{p''}^* + \alpha_{i,a}(z) \right) \right] \\ &= \text{Ad}_{g_{ij}^{-1}(a)} \text{Ad}_{g_{ij}(a)} \left(T_a (\mathcal{L}_{g_{ij}^{-1}(a)}^{-1} \circ g_{ij}) (z) \right) + \text{Ad}_{g_{ij}^{-1}(a)} (\alpha_{i,a}(z)) \\ &= T_a (\mathcal{L}_{g_{ij}^{-1}(a)} \circ g_{ij}) (z) + \text{Ad}_{g_{ij}^{-1}(a)} (\alpha_{i,a}(z)) \stackrel{\uparrow}{=} \alpha_{j,a}(z) \quad (z) \end{aligned}$$

□

Version 4 is now the description of connections using covariant differentiation.

Let the connection on the pfb ξ given by the vertical projection $v: TP \rightarrow TP$ and set $h := id - v$, the horizontal projection. Let W a finite dimensional vector space over \mathbb{R} . For a W -valued k -form $\beta \in \mathcal{A}^k(P, W)$ set

$$h^*\beta(Y_1, \dots, Y_k) := \beta(hY_1, \dots, hY_k), \quad Y_j \in \mathcal{D}(P, W).$$

The covariant differential $D = D^W$ corresponding to ω (or v , or h , or $H \subset TP$) is defined by

$$D\beta := h^* \circ d : \mathcal{A}^k(Q, W) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{k+1}(Q, W), \quad Q \subset P \text{ open.}$$

(37.16) LEMMA: 1° $h^* \circ h^* = h^*$. 2° $h^* \circ \mathcal{L}_g^* = \mathcal{L}_g^* \circ h^*$, $g \in G$.

3° $h^*(\omega) = 0$ for the connection form ω .

4° $h^*(\alpha \wedge \beta) = h^*(\alpha) \wedge h^*(\beta)$ (in case of $W = \mathbb{R}$).

5° $D(\alpha \wedge \beta) = D\alpha \wedge h^*\beta + (-1)^{\text{grad } \alpha} h^*\alpha \wedge D\beta$ ($W = \mathbb{R}$).

6° $i_{X^*} D = 0$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, 7° $D \circ \mathcal{L}_g^* = \mathcal{L}_g^* \circ D$ 8° $D \circ \pi^* = \pi^* \circ D$

Easy to prove.

37-20

(37.17) PROPOSITION: A connection on ξ is given by a covariant differential D , i.e. $D: \Sigma(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^1(P)^*$ \mathbb{R} -linear with

$$(D1) \quad D(fg) = Df \cdot g + f Dg \quad \forall f, g \in \Sigma(P)$$

$$(D2) \quad Df(X^*) = 0 \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{G}$$

$$(D3) \quad \mathcal{F}_g^* \circ D = D \circ \mathcal{F}_g^* \quad \forall g \in G$$

$$(D4) \quad Df = df \quad \forall f \in \pi^*(\Sigma(M))$$

Proof: If σ is a connection, then the differential D^ω satisfies (D1)-(D4) according to 37.15.

Conversely, let D be given with (D1) - (D4). For $Y \in \mathcal{W}(P)$ set

$$Q_Y: \Sigma(P) \rightarrow \Sigma(P), \quad Q_Y f := (df - Df)(Z).$$

Q_Y is a derivation according to (D1), and determines a vector field $v(Y) = V_Y$ with $Q_Y = L_{v(Y)}$. This defines a vector bundle homomorphism $v: TP \rightarrow TP$ with (v1), (v2):

For $f = g \circ \pi = \pi^*g \in \pi^*\Sigma(M)$ we have $Q_Y(f) = 0$ (by D4), hence $df(v(Y)) = 0$. This implies $v(Y)_p \in V_p$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{W}(P)$, i.e. $v(TP) \subset V$.

For a vertical $Y_p \in V_p$ we know $Y_p = X_p^*$ for $X \in \mathcal{G}$, so $Q_Y(f) = df(Y) = df(v(Y))$ by D2. Hence $v_p(Y_p) = Y_p$, i.e. (v1).

* D can be extended to a differential $D: \mathcal{A}^k(P, W) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{k+1}(P, W)$ for all k and all vector spaces W , with the properties of 37.15.

(v2) is essentially (D3):

$$\begin{aligned}
 df(v \circ T\tau_g(Y))_{p\beta} &= df_{p\beta}(T\tau_g(Y)) - Df_{p\beta}(T\tau_g(Y)) \\
 &\stackrel{D3}{=} d(f \circ \tau_g)_p(Y) - D(f \circ \tau_g)_p(Y) \\
 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} d(f \circ \tau_g)_p(v_p(Y)) \\
 &= df_{p\beta}(T\tau_g(v_p(Y))) = df(T\tau_g \circ v(Y))_{p\beta}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, $df(T\tau_g \circ v) = df(v \circ T\tau_g)$ for all $f \in \Sigma(P)$ implies (v2) □

Version 5. Parallel transport.

Version 2 of defining a connection immediately yields:

(37.18) Proposition: Let ξ be a pfb with a connection.

1° Every vector field $X \in \mathcal{W}(M)$ on M has a horizontal lift $\check{X} \in \mathcal{W}(P)$, i.e. (cf. 37.8)

$$\check{X}(p) \in H_p, T_p\pi(\check{X}(p)) = X(\pi(p)), p \in P.$$

2° If $Y \in \mathcal{W}(P)$ is a horizontal and right invariant vector field ($T\tau_g \circ Y = Y \circ \tau_g$ for all $g \in G$) then there exists a unique $X \in \mathcal{W}(M)$ with $Y = \check{X}$.

37-22

3° For $X, Z \in \mathcal{H}(M)$ and $f \in \mathcal{E}(M)$ we have
 $(X+Z)^\vee = \check{X} + \check{Z}$, $(fX)^\vee = (f \circ \pi) X^\vee$, $[X, Z]^\vee = h([\check{X}, \check{Z}])$.

Pr. 1° $X^\vee(p) = C(p, X)$ yields the horizontal lift and the properties 2° and 3° are easy to check. \square

(37.19) LEMMA: Let H be a connection on ξ . For every curve γ in M and every $p_0 \in \pi^{-1}(\gamma(t_0)) = P_{\gamma(t_0)}$ there exists a unique horizontal lift α of γ through p_0 , i.e. α is a curve in P with $\pi \circ \alpha = \gamma$, $\alpha(t_0) = p_0$ and $\dot{\alpha}(t) = \check{\gamma}(t)$ (cf. 37.8).

Pr. We can extend γ locally to vector fields which are lifted horizontally and obtain α as the solution of the corresponding ordinary differential equation.

Another proof starts with an arbitrary lift β of γ , i.e. $\pi(\beta) = \gamma$ which can be described using the local trivializations of $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ and change β to a horizontal curve by $\alpha(t) = \beta(t)g(t)$ for suitable $g(t) \in G$. Now, $\dot{\alpha}(t)$ is horizontal if and only if $\omega(\dot{\alpha}(t)) = 0$. This is equivalent to

$$\text{Ad}_{g(t)^{-1}} \omega(\dot{\beta}(t)) + T\mathcal{L}_{g(t)^{-1}} \dot{g}(t) = 0$$

or

$$\dot{g}(t) = -TR_{g(t)}\omega(\beta(t)).$$

This equation has a unique solution $g(t) \in G$, $g(t_0) = e$. \square

The horizontal lift of curves defines - as in the vector case - a parallel transport along curves γ in M by

$$P_{t_0, t_1}^\gamma: P_{\gamma(t_0)} \rightarrow P_{\gamma(t_1)}, p_0 \mapsto \check{\gamma}_{p_0}(t_1),$$

where $\check{\gamma}_{p_0}$ is the unique horizontal lift of γ through p_0 .
 P_{t_0, t_1}^γ is G -invariant: $P_{t_0, t_1}^\gamma(pg) = P_{t_0, t_1}^\gamma(p)g$ for $(p, g) \in P \times G$.

(37.20) PROPOSITION: A connection on ξ induces a G -invariant parallel transport. And an abstract G -invariant parallel transport gives back a connection.

(37.21) EXAMPLE: In case of the canonical flat connection on $P = M \times G$ the parallel transport $\check{\gamma}$ of a curve γ in M through $p_0 = (\gamma(t_0), g)$ is $\check{\gamma}(t) = (\gamma(t), g)$:

$$(\check{\gamma})'(t) = (\dot{\gamma}(t), g) \in H_{\check{\gamma}(t)} = T_{\gamma(t)}M \times \{0\}.$$

As a result, the parallel transport from P_a to P_b along any curve γ from $a = \gamma(t_0)$ to $b = \gamma(t_1)$ is

37-24

$$P_{t_0, t_1}^X : P_{x(t_0)} \rightarrow P_{x(t_1)}, \quad (x(t_0), g) \mapsto (x(t_1), g).$$

Evidently, the parallel transport is independent of the curve connecting $x(t_0)$ and $x(t_1)$ (therefore "flat"). This is the exceptional situation. In fact, one can show that a connection on ξ , such that the induced parallel transport is independent of the curves, is isomorphic to the canonical flat connection on the product $P \times G$, i.e. there is an isomorphism φ from ξ to $P \times G$ such that the horizontal distribution $H \subset TP$ is mapped to the flat horizontal distribution $TM \subset T(M \times G)$.

We conclude this section with the following existence result:

(37.22) Proposition: Every pfb ξ has a connection.

Pf. Let (U_j) be an open cover with local trivializations $\varphi_j : P_{U_j} \rightarrow U_j \times G$ and let $(\varepsilon_j)_{j \in I}$ be a smooth partition of unity. On P_{U_j} we have the canonical flat connection, given by its connection form ω_j . Then $\omega := \sum \varepsilon_j \omega_j$ defines a connection form on P . \square