Notiztitel

27.11.2010

Given a vector boundle $T_E: E \to M$ on a manifold, e.g. the fangent boundle $TM \to M$, it is not evident how to compare vectors in E_a with those in E_b ($a,b \in M$, $a \neq b$). In particules, without additional structure we do not have a concept to call a $\xi \in E_a$ parallel to $y \in E_b$. In the trivial case $E = M \times K^r$ the compasitor can be made by identication of $E_a = \{a\} \times K^r$ and $E_b = \{b\} \times K^r$ with K^r and there parallelion is given by the translation of vectors. In the general case, a "parallelion" between vectors of E_a and E_b is at least a certain linear map $P: E_a \to E_b$. Moreover, such a parallelion should behave nicely in case of compositions $E_a \to E_b \to E_c$, in pasticules $E_a \to E_b \to E_a$, — and it should be smooth.

The eneutial discovery - more than 100 years ago - is that ruch a parallelistue has to depend not only on the absolute positions of a, b E but also on a curve of connecting the two points:

There is no "absolute parallelitue"! And it turns out that the best way to describe parallelitue is using a connection D on E and define its induced parallelitue.

hudeed, let $\gamma:[h_0,h_1] \to M$ be a piecewite smooth curve with $\gamma(t_0) = a$, $\gamma(t_1) = b$. We have seen in 23.14 that to each $\xi \in E_a$ there exists a unique horizontal lift $\beta = \beta_{\gamma,\xi}$ of γ through ξ . Therefore, the map

 $P_{t,h}^{\sigma}: E_{a} \rightarrow E_{b}, P_{t_{0},h}^{\sigma}(\xi) := \beta_{\sigma,\xi}(t_{1}),$

is well-defined.

(25.1) PROPOSITION - DEFINITION: Pt : Ex(6) → Ex(6) is the horizontal displacement or parallel transport along the curve of induced by D. The maps Pt pulled the following properties:

- (P1) Pto, to is a K-lineas isomorphism: (Pto,t1) = Pto.
- (P2) Pt. + Pt = Pt. fw all be Ito, 4[.
- (B) Por is independent of the parametrisation of y.
- (P4) P_{to,t} depends differentially on t, t∈]to, or[.

Moreover, for sections $s \in \Gamma(W, E)$ and for largent vectors $X = \dot{y}(t) \in T_aM$ at the point $a = \dot{y}(t) \in M$:

$$(*) \quad D_{X} s(a) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} \left(\left(\mathbb{P}_{t_{1}t+h}^{Y} \right)^{-1} \left(s \circ y(t+h) \right) - s \circ y(t) \right) .$$

(25.2) DEFINITION: A parallel structure on a vector boundle $E \rightarrow M$ is a collection of isomorphismus $(P_{t_0,t_0}^{\mathcal{X}})_{\mathcal{X}}$... as above with $(P_1) - (P_2)$.

(25.3) PROPOSITION: Each parallel structure on a vector brundle determines a connection D by (*) and it is induced by this connection (cf. 25.1).

(25.4) FACIT: We have now 4 definitions of a connection

1° as a D: $\mathcal{A}^{\circ}(M,E) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{1}(M,E)$ according to (23.1).

2° as a collection of 1-forms (A) with * (cf. 23.9).

3° as a splitting C of 0 → VE→TE→ x*TM → 0.

4° as a parallel structure.

(25.5) EXAMPLES: 1° The trivial fituation: $E = M \times F$, F = K, and $D: A^{\circ}(M,E) \rightarrow A^{1}(M,E)$ a connection. We know blat D = "ol + A " in the tense of 23.6, where $A \in A^{1}(M, \text{End }(F))$: $S \in \Gamma(M,E)$ is of the form $S(a) = (a, \sigma | a)$, $\sigma \in E(M,F)$, $S = S_{\sigma}$

 $Ds(a) = (a, d_a \tau + A(\cdot) \sigma(a))$

 $\nabla_{X} s(a) = (a, d_{\alpha} \sigma(X) + A(X) \sigma(a))$

 $\beta: [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow E \text{ is a lift of } y: [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow M, \text{ if}$ $\beta(t) = (y(t), y(t)), y: [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow F. \text{ lu } 23.13 \text{ we proved}$ $\beta \text{ horizontal lift } \Longleftrightarrow y + A(y)y = 0.$

According to 23.14 each $\xi \in E_{\alpha}$, $\alpha = \pi(\beta(\xi)) = \chi(\xi)$, defermines exactly one solution γ , i.e. one horizontal lift $\beta = (\chi, \chi)$ and $P_{\xi, \xi}^{\chi} \xi = \beta(\xi_1) = (\chi(\xi_1), \chi(\xi_1))$.

Remark: From this description, all the properties (P1) - (P4) follow, as well a *.

Let D on TS^2 be the Levi-Civita connection induced from the standard Riemannian metric on TM which is the restriction of the euclidian metric to the $T_aS^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. The geodesics on S^2 are the great circles of S^2 . We describe the parallel transport along a geodesic triangle

On 52:

(1,0,0)

great

circle

(0,0,-1)

(0,0,-1)

$$E = TM, A = (1,0,0), B = (0,-1,0)$$

$$E_{N} \xrightarrow{P} E_{A}$$

$$(1,0,0) \longmapsto (0,0,-1)$$

$$E_{A} \xrightarrow{P} E_{B}$$

$$(0,0,-1) \longmapsto (0,0,-1)$$

$$E_{B} \xrightarrow{P} E_{N}$$

$$(0,0,-1) \longmapsto (0,-1,0)$$

Attogethe: P(1,0,0) = (0,-1,0)

Similery: P(0,1,0) = (1,0,0)

We obtain a rotation $P: E_N \to E_N$ of the 2-dimensional euclidian plane for the parallel transport $P = P^\Delta$ along the geodesic triangle Δ .

It is a fact of Riemannian geometry Alat IP" is always a rotation for all closed cures of in S!

Let $W(a) = \{y \text{ priecewise smooth curve in M conth } y(t_0) = y(t_1) = a\}$ the space of closed curves sterring and ending in $a \in M$. ("Loops")

(25.6) Holoway groups: Let D be a connection on the vector boundle $E \rightarrow M$. Then

$$G(a) := \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbb{P}_{a,h}^{2^{k}} : E_{a} \rightarrow E_{a} \mid \chi \in W(a) \right\} \end{array}$$

is the holonomy group = subgroup of GL(r, K).

1° G(a) is indeed a group, a subgroup of $GL(E_a)$ $G(a) \subset GL(E_a)$.

2° For $a,b \in M$ and $\alpha: [s_0,s_0] \rightarrow M$ a curve connecting a and b we have the group itomorphism

3° For a connected M, all holonomy groups are isomorphic.

4° The connection determines (for connected H) a conjugation class of subgroups in $GL(r_1K)$. Any representative, i.e. $G'(a) := \{HPH^-! PeG(a)\}$ with $H: E_a \to K^r$ a linear

is called holoway group of D as well.

5° G(a) is a closed subgroup of GL(Ea) (houce G(a) is a Lie group according to a general result on Lie groups).

6° The group $G_0(a) := \{ \mathbb{P}_{t_0,h}^{\mathcal{X}} \mid \gamma \times 0 \}$ "" homotopy - called restricted holonomy group - is a normal subgroup of G(a).

 $7^{\circ} \propto (M,a) \longrightarrow G(a)/G_{o}(a)$ is a surjective group homomorphism.

General geometric question: Which G(a), Go(a) occus as holonomy groups?

We come back to holonomy groups in the context of principal fibre bundles.

And we coil describe an interesting relation between holonomy and curvature, our next subject in section 26.