

## 22. Semi-Riemannian Geometry

Version 1.2

Notiztitel

26.10.2010

The objective of this section is to introduce geometric concepts on the special vector bundle  $TM$  over  $M$ . These geometric concepts will be generalized to arbitrary vector bundles in the next sections. In particular, we shall come to the notion of the Levi-Civita connection of a metric on the tangent bundle  $TM \rightarrow M$  leading to the concept of a general connection on a vector bundle  $E \rightarrow M$  in the next section.

(22.1) DEFINITION: A semi-Riemannian manifold is a manifold  $M$  together with a tensor  $g \in T_2^0(M)^*$  with

1°  $g: \mathcal{W}(M) \times \mathcal{W}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(M)$  is symmetric, i.e.  $g(X, Y) = g(Y, X)$  for all  $X, Y \in \mathcal{W}(M)$ .

2°  $g$  is non-degenerate, i.e. for  $X \in \mathcal{W}(M)$ :  
 $g(X, Y) = 0$  for all  $Y \in \mathcal{W}(M) \Rightarrow X = 0$ .

---

\* By definition,  $B: \mathcal{W}(M) \times \mathcal{W}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(M)$  is linear over  $\mathcal{E}(M)$  in each argument, cf. section 10.

22-2

$g$  is called the metric or metric tensor ("Maßtensor" in German) of the semi-Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$ .

Already the case of a manifold  $Q$  given as an open subset  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is quite interesting. We treat this case as the case of a chart

$$\varphi = (q^1, \dots, q^n) : U \rightarrow Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

of a general manifold  $M$  with  $U \subset M$  open.

In these local coordinates  $(q^1, \dots, q^n)$  the restriction  $g|_U$  of the metric tensor is determined by the coefficients

$$g_{ij} = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial q^j}\right) \in \mathcal{E}(U):$$

$$g|_U = g_{ij} dq^i \otimes dq^j \quad (\text{cf. section 10}).$$

The matrix  $(g_{ij}(a)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is symmetric and invertible.

In classical mechanics such a matrix may given as

"mass" matrix  $(g_{ij})$ . In many cases such a mass matrix  $g$  will be positive definite, i.e.

$$g(X, X) > 0 \text{ for } X \neq 0.$$

A pos. def. semi-Riem. metric is a Riemannian metric.

It is a Lorentz-metric if to each point  $a \in M$  there exists a chart with  $(g_{ij}(a)) = \text{diag}(-1, +1, \dots, +1)$   
or  $= \text{diag}(+1, -1, \dots, -1)$

(22.2) Calculus of Variations: Let  $L: TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth function, called Lagrangian.  $(M, L)$  is then a Lagrangian system. One wants (originally), to find (piecewise smooth) curves  $\gamma: [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow M$  from  $a = \gamma(t_0)$  to  $b = \gamma(t_1)$  such that

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} L(\gamma(t)) dt \equiv \text{minimal}$$

$$\text{or } \equiv \text{maximal}$$

A necessary condition for this to hold is

22-4

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} L(\Gamma(t, \varepsilon)) dt \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = 0$$

where  $\Gamma(t, \varepsilon)$  is a variation of  $\gamma(t)$ , i.e. for example a continuous map

$$\Gamma : [t_0, t_1] \times ]-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0[ \rightarrow M, \quad \varepsilon_0 > 0,$$

being smooth in  $\varepsilon$  and piecewise smooth in  $t$  with  $\gamma(t) = \Gamma(t, 0)$  and  $\Gamma(t_0, \varepsilon) = a$ ,  $\Gamma(t_1, \varepsilon) = b$ , for all  $\varepsilon \in ]-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0[$ .

By definition,  $\gamma$  is a motion of the Lagrangian system  $(M, L)$  if

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \int L(\dot{\Gamma}(t, \varepsilon)) dt \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = 0.$$

The curve is then a stationary point in the space of curves from  $a$  to  $b$ .

(22.3) PROPOSITION:  $\gamma$  is a motion of  $(M, L) \Leftrightarrow$

for all charts  $\varphi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  the Euler-Lagrange equations

$$\boxed{\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial q}} \quad (\text{in } Q \times \mathbb{R}^n = TQ)$$

are satisfied.

Here,  $\hat{L}(q, v) := L(T_q \varphi(v))$ ,  $(q, v) \in Q \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $\varphi = \varphi^{-1}: Q \rightarrow M$ ,  $T_q \varphi: T_q Q \rightarrow T_{\varphi(q)} M$ .

Proof: We restrict to the case of

$$\Gamma(t, \varepsilon) = \varphi(\varphi \circ \gamma(t) + \varepsilon h(t))$$

with  $h: [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  smooth satisfying  $h(t_0) = h(t_1) = 0$ :

Let  $q(t) = \varphi \circ \gamma(t)$ , then  $\dot{\Gamma}(t, \varepsilon) = T_q \varphi(\dot{q} + \varepsilon \dot{h})$  and

$$\hat{L}(q + \varepsilon h, \dot{q} + \varepsilon \dot{h}) = L(T_q \varphi(\dot{q} + \varepsilon \dot{h})) = L(\dot{\Gamma}(t, \varepsilon)).$$

The condition  $0 = \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} L(\dot{\Gamma}(t, \varepsilon)) dt \Big|_{\varepsilon=0}$  implies

$$0 = \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \hat{L}(q + \varepsilon h, \dot{q} + \varepsilon \dot{h}) dt \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left( \frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial q^\mu} h^\mu + \frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial v^\mu} \dot{h}^\mu \right) dt$$

Because of

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial v^\mu} h^\mu \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial v^\mu} \right) h^\mu + \frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial v^\mu} \dot{h}^\mu$$

we obtain by partial integration and the

$$\Rightarrow 0 = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left( \frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial q^\mu} h^\mu - \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial v^\mu} \right) h^\mu \right) dt = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left( \frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial q^\mu} h^\mu - \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial v^\mu} \right) \right) h^\mu dt$$

22-6

"boundary condition"  $h^\mu(t_0) = h^\mu(t_1) = 0$  that

$$0 = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left( \frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial q^\mu} h^\mu - \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial v^\mu} \right) h^\mu \right) dt = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left( \frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial q^\mu} - \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial v^\mu} \right) \right) h^\mu dt.$$

Since this has to hold for all such  $h$  we conclude

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial v^\mu} \right) = \frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial q^\mu}, \quad \mu = 1, \dots, n.$$

□

(22.4) PROPOSITION: Let  $(M, g)$  be a semi-Riemannian manifold with  $L(x) = \frac{1}{2} g(x, x)$ ,  $x \in TM$ , as its Lagrangian.

Then:

$\gamma$  is a motion of  $(M, L) \iff$  in local coordinates

$$\ddot{\gamma}^k + \Gamma_{ij}^k \dot{\gamma}^i \dot{\gamma}^j = 0.$$

where

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k := \frac{1}{2} g^{k\mu} (g_{\mu j, i} + g_{\mu i, j} - g_{ij, \mu}), \quad g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu} g_{\nu\epsilon}$$

with  $(g^{k\mu})$  being the inverse matrix of  $(g_{ij})$ .

$\Gamma_{ij}^k$  are the Christoffel symbols.

Proof: We have to check that

$$\ddot{y}^k + \Gamma_{ij}^k \dot{y}^i \dot{y}^j = 0$$

are the Euler-Lagrange equations for the local Lagrangian

$$\hat{L}(q, v) = \frac{1}{2} g_{ij}(q) v^i v^j :$$

$$\frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial v^\mu} = g_{\mu j} v^j \quad , \quad \frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial q^\mu} = \frac{1}{2} g_{ij, \mu}(q) v^i v^j$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial v^\mu} (x, \dot{x}) \right) = \frac{d}{dt} (g_{\mu j}(x) \dot{x}^j) = g_{\mu j, \nu}(x) \dot{x}^\nu \dot{x}^j + g_{\mu j}(x) \ddot{x}^j$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$g^{k\mu} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial v^\mu} (x, \dot{x}) \right) - \frac{\partial \hat{L}}{\partial q^\mu} \right) =$$

$$g^{k\mu} g_{\mu j, \nu} \dot{x}^\nu \dot{x}^j + g^{k\mu} g_{\mu j} \ddot{x}^j - \frac{1}{2} g^{k\mu} g_{ij, \mu}(q) \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \ddot{x}^j + \frac{1}{2} g^{k\mu} \left\{ g_{\mu j, i} + g_{i\mu, j} - g_{ij, \mu} \right\} \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j = 0 \quad \square$$

(22.5) Corollary: At every point  $a \in M$  and for all directions  $X \in T_a M$  there exists a unique motion  $\gamma$  of  $(M, L)$  with  $\gamma(0) = a$  and  $\dot{\gamma}(a) = X$ .

This is an immediate consequence of the

22-8

Picard-Lindelöf theorem on the existence and uniqueness of initial value problems with smooth coefficients.

(22.6) DEFINITION: Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian mfd.

1°  $\gamma: [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow M$  piecewise smooth is naturally parametrized if

$$I_{t_0}^t \gamma := \int_{t_0}^t \sqrt{g(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})} dt = t - t_0 \text{ for } t \in [t_0, t_1]$$

2°  $\gamma$  is a geodesic if  $\gamma$  nat. param. and if  $\gamma$  is a motion of  $(M, L^*)$ ,  $L^*(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{g(x, x)}$  (arclength).

(22.7) Lemma. 1°  $\gamma$  nat. param.  $\Leftrightarrow g(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) = 1$ .

2° If  $\gamma$  is regular, i.e. smooth and  $\dot{\gamma}(t) \neq 0$  always, then there is a param.  $\varphi: [s_0, s_1] \rightarrow [t_0, t_1]$  s. th  $\tilde{\gamma} := \gamma \circ \varphi$  is nat. param.

Proof: 1°  $\vee$  2°  $\beta(t) := \int_{t_0}^t \sqrt{g(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})} dt$ . Then  $\dot{\beta}(t) = \sqrt{g(\dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t))} > 0$ . Hence  $\beta: [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow [0, \beta]$ ,  $\beta = \beta(t_1)$ , is invertible. Let  $\varphi := \beta^{-1}: [0, \beta] \rightarrow [t_0, t_1]$  &  $\tilde{\gamma} := \gamma \circ \varphi$ . We obtain  $\dot{\tilde{\gamma}} = \dot{\gamma}(\varphi) \dot{\varphi} = \dot{\gamma}(\varphi) \frac{1}{\beta}$ ,  $g(\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}, \dot{\tilde{\gamma}}) = g(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) \frac{1}{\beta^2} = 1$



(22.8) PROPOSITION: Let  $\gamma: [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow M$  be a naturally parametrized curve in a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$ . Then

$$\gamma \text{ geodesic} \Leftrightarrow \gamma \text{ motion of } (M, \frac{1}{2}g)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \ddot{\gamma}^k + \Gamma_{ij}^k \dot{\gamma}^i \dot{\gamma}^j = 0$$

Proof:  $L = \frac{1}{2}g$  and  $L^* = \sqrt{g} = \sqrt{2L}$ .

$$\frac{\partial L^*}{\partial v} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{L^*} \cdot 2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial v} \text{ in general and } \frac{\partial L^*}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial v} \text{ for } L^* = 1$$

$$\frac{\partial L^*}{\partial q} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{L^*} \cdot 2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} \text{ " " } \frac{\partial L^*}{\partial q} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} \text{ " "}$$

□

Remark: 1° Note that the motions of  $L = \sqrt{g}$  and of  $\frac{1}{2}L^2$  are essentially the same!

2° Christoffel symbols are not tensors!

But they define a connection (a covariant derivative)!

Let  $\gamma(t)$  be a curve and  $Y(t)$  a vector field along  $\gamma(t)$ , i.e.  $Y(t) \in T_{\gamma(t)}M$ . Set

$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(t)} Y(t) := \left( L_{\dot{\gamma}^i} Y^k(t) + \Gamma_{ij}^k \dot{\gamma}^i Y^j \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial q^k}.$$

This defines a map

$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(t)} : \mathcal{W}(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(W) \text{ the } \underline{\text{covariant derivative}}.$$

22-10

(22.10) DEFINITION: An (affine) covariant derivative on a manifold  $M$  is a map

$\nabla: \mathcal{D}(W) \times \mathcal{D}(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(W)$  for all  $W \subset M$  open such that

- 1°  $X \mapsto \nabla_X Y := \nabla(X, Y)$  is  $\mathcal{E}(W)$ -linear for fixed  $Y \in \mathcal{D}(W)$ :  $\nabla_{fX+X'} Y = f \nabla_X Y + \nabla_{X'} Y$   
2°  $Y \mapsto \nabla_X Y$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear and

$$\nabla_X (fY) = (L_X f)Y + f \nabla_X Y$$

Moreover,  $\nabla$  is compatible to restrictions  $W' \subset W$ .

(22.11) Examples: 1°  $\nabla$  with the  $\Gamma_{ij}^k$  as above related

to  $(M, g)$ :  $\nabla_{X(t)} Y(t) = (L_X Y^k + \Gamma_{ij}^k X^i Y^j) \frac{\partial}{\partial t^k}$

- in  $X$   $\mathcal{E}(W)$ -lin, • in  $Y$  a derivation.

$\nabla = \nabla^g$  is called the Levi-Civita covariant derivative (resp. connection). Note, that the Levi-Civita connection

$\nabla = \nabla^g$  is completely determined by

$$\nabla_{\partial_i} \partial_j = \Gamma_{ij}^k \partial_k,$$

and it can be defined by this formula, once the

Christoffel symbols  $\Gamma_{ij}^k$  are given locally.

The Levi-Civita derivative respects the metric  $g$  in the following sense: For all vector fields  $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{D}(W)$ ,  $W \subset M$  open, we have

$$L_X g(Y, Z) = g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_X Z).$$

This has to be checked on  $\partial_i, \partial_j, \partial_k$  only:

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_{\partial_k} \partial_i, \partial_j) + g(\partial_i, \nabla_{\partial_k} \partial_j) &= \\ &= g(\Gamma_{ki}^l \partial_l, \partial_j) + g(\partial_i, \Gamma_{kj}^l \partial_l) = \Gamma_{ki}^l g_{lj} + \Gamma_{kj}^l g_{il}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Gamma_{ki}^l g_{lj} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\ell\mu} g_{\ell j} \{g_{k\mu,i} + g_{i\mu,k} - g_{\mu i,j}\} = \frac{1}{2} (g_{kji,i} + g_{ij,k} - g_{kij,j})$$

(since  $g^{\ell\mu} g_{\ell j} = \delta_j^\mu$ ). Therefore,

$$\Gamma_{ki}^l g_{lj} + \Gamma_{kj}^l g_{il} = g_{jik} = \partial_k g_{ij} = L_{\partial_k} g(\partial_i, \partial_j). \quad \square$$

2° Let  $M$  parallelizable, i.e.  $TM$  trivial. There are  $B_1, \dots, B_n \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ , such that  $(B_1, \dots, B_n)$  is a Basis of  $\mathcal{D}(M)$ : Each  $Y \in \mathcal{D}(M)$  is of the form  $Y = Y^j B_j$  with uniquely defined  $Y^j \in \mathcal{E}(M)$ .

22-12

$$\nabla_X Y := (L_X Y^k) B_k|_W$$

This defines a covariant derivative! It depends on the choice of the basis  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  of  $\mathcal{W}(M)$ . We see

$$\nabla_X B_k = 0 \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{W}(M):$$

$B_k$  is parallel!

(22.12) DEFINITION: 1° Given a covariant derivative  $\nabla$  on  $TM$  a section  $Y \in \mathcal{W}(W) = \Gamma(W, TM)$  is called parallel:  $\Leftrightarrow \nabla_X Y = 0 \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{W}(W)$ .

2° A vector field along a curve  $\gamma: [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow M$  is a (piecewise) smooth  $Y: [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow TM$  with  $\gamma = \tau \circ Y$ .  $Y(t)$  parallel along  $\gamma(t)$ :  $\Leftrightarrow \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} Y = 0$ .

3° A curve  $\gamma$  in  $M$  is called autoparallel with respect to a given covariant derivative if and only if

$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma} = 0,$$

i.e. if  $\dot{\gamma}$  is parallel along  $\gamma$ .

Remarks: 1° If  $Y$  is parallel,  $Y \in \mathcal{W}(W)$ . Then for every curve

$\gamma: [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow W \subset M$  the "lift"  $Y(t) := Y(\gamma(t))$  is parallel along  $\gamma$ .

2° If  $\gamma: [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow W$  is autoparallel it satisfies the equation

$$\ddot{\gamma}^k + \Gamma_{ij}^k \dot{\gamma}^i \dot{\gamma}^j = 0,$$

where  $\Gamma_{ij}^k$  is defined by  $\nabla_{\partial_i} \partial_j := \Gamma_{ij}^k \partial_k$ . Consequently, in the case of the Levi-Civita connection of a semi-Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  the autoparallel curves are the motions of  $(M, \frac{1}{2}g)$ , cf. 22.4.

(22.13) DEFINITION: Let  $\nabla$  be a cov. derivative. The torsion of  $\nabla$  is

$$T(X, Y) := \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X, Y], \text{ for } X, Y \in \mathcal{W}(M).$$

$T$  is a tensor  $\in J_2^0(M, TM) = \text{Hom}_{\Sigma(W)}(\mathcal{W}(M), \mathcal{W}(M); \mathcal{W}(M))$

(22.14) EXAMPLES: 1°  $\Gamma_{ij}^k$  given by  $\nabla_{\partial_i} \partial_j = \Gamma_{ij}^k \partial_k$  (locally)

$$T=0 \Leftrightarrow \Gamma_{ij}^k = \Gamma_{ji}^k \quad (\Leftrightarrow T(\partial_i, \partial_j) = 0)$$

2° Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla^g$ :  $\Gamma_{ij}^k = \Gamma_{ji}^k \Rightarrow T=0$ .

3°  $B_1, \dots, B_n$ :  $T=0 \Leftrightarrow T(B_i, B_j) = 0 \Leftrightarrow [B_i, B_j] = 0$

(e.g.  $B_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j}$  on  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open). But if

$$[B_j, B_k] \neq 0 : T \neq 0.$$

22-14

(22.15) FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM of semi-Riemannian geometry:

For a semi-Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  there exists exactly one torsion-free connection  $\nabla$  with

$$\boxed{L_X g(Y, Z) = g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_X Z)} \quad \text{for all } X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{D}(M).$$

Proof:  $A(X, Y, Z) := L_X g(Y, Z) + L_Y g(X, Z) - L_Z g(X, Y)$

Assume  $\nabla$  is such a connection. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(X, Y, Z) &= g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_X Z) + g(\nabla_Y X, Z) + g(X, \nabla_Y Z) \\ &\quad - g(\nabla_Z X, Y) - g(X, \nabla_Z Y) = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= 2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + g(\nabla_Y X - \nabla_X Y, Z) + g(\nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Z Y, X) \\ &\quad + g(\nabla_X Z - \nabla_Z X, Y) = \end{aligned}$$

$$= 2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + g([Y, X], Z) + g([X, Z], Y) + g([Y, Z], X)$$

$$\Rightarrow g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( A(X, Y, Z) + g([Y, X], Z) + g([X, Z], Y) + g([Y, Z], X) \right)$$

This implies the uniqueness of  $\nabla$  (or  $\nabla_X Y$ ), since  $g$  is non-degenerated.

At the same time the formula shows the existence since it may be used as a definition.  $\square$

Final remark: According to 22.11.1° the covariant derivative in the theorem is the Levi-Civita derivative.