Notiztitel

With all the ingredients -

a symplectic manifold (M, ω)

a pregnanture like boundle (L,V, H) and

a complex polarisation PCTMC -

one now can construct the quantum Hilbert space Ip on which the quantum observables attached to classical observables $F \in E(M)$ live as selfadjoint operators q(F).

(12.1) Kähle polerizations. Let us first conside the Kähle case. We then we have a Kähle polerization $P \subset TM^C$ on our symplectic manifold (M, ω) , that is $P \cap P = \{0\}$. In this situation, there exists a migue complex structure on the manifold M (that is the structuse of a complex manifold) such that P is the holomorphic polarization, i.e. for the local holomorphic chasts

 $\varphi = (z_1, z_2, ..., z_n) : \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow V \subset \mathbb{C}^4$, $\mathcal{U}, V_0 per$

of the complex structure we have

 $P_{a} = span_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{1}}, \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{n}} \right\} \subset \mathbb{T}_{a} M^{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{T}_{a} M + i \mathbb{T}_{a} M, \ \alpha \in \mathbb{U}.$ $\left(\text{cf. } M. W. 2^{\circ} \right)$

The complex structure on M can also be described by the family

Ja: TM →TM, a∈M,

where Ja is multiplication with i e C coming from the unique complex scale multiplication

C×Tak → Tak, a∈K,

induced by the bolomorphic chets.

In fact, in our case of a Kähle polerization, the pointerise polarizations P_a , $a \in M$, include an almost complex structure (see last techon SM.C,D) $P_a: T_aM \rightarrow T_aM$ for each $a \in M$, and cleftine the tentor field $P_a: T_aM \rightarrow T_aM$ for each $P_a: T_aM \rightarrow T_aM$ for $P_a: T_aM \rightarrow T_aM$ for all somethically compatible at $P_a: T_aM \rightarrow T_aM$ for all $P_a: T_aM \rightarrow T_aM$ involutive: $P_a: T_aM \rightarrow T_aM$ for all $P_a: T_aM$ for all $P_a: T_aM \rightarrow T_aM$ for all $P_a: T_aM \rightarrow T_aM$ for all $P_a: T_aM \rightarrow T_aM$ for all $P_a: T_aM$ for all

he adelition, if we have a prequentum bundle (L,V,H) on the complex manifold M, then there exists exactly one complex structure on L

compatible with (L,V,H) (cf. (8.6)). Hence, L is a holomorphic like boundle in a natural way. And the polerized sections s: H > L are nothing else them the holomorphic sections.

The symplectic form w induces a natural volume ε on M (cf. section 9), and the pre Hilbert space is

 $\{s \in T_{hol}(M,L) \mid \int_{M} \langle s,s \rangle d\varepsilon < \infty \}.$

It can be completed in order to yield a (separable) quantum Hilbert space Hp. Hp is a closed subspace of the full tribert space of square integrable smooth sections of L considered previously (cf. section 9, in peticule page 8).

However, the condition for s to be polented is not a lineer property. It might be (and it happens in general) that Is is no longer polerited even it s is polerited. As a consequence, for a given $F \in E(M)$ the operator q(F) is not well-defined on (a suitable elente subspace of) Hp. The natural approach to overcome this difficulty is to focus on a certain Lie tub algebra or of the Poisson algebra E(M) of clamical observables and to restict to

 $D = \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{H} \mid \varphi(F) \varphi \in \mathcal{H} \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{O} \}.$

The previously given to is replaced by the closure of D in Hp. As a result, the quantum Hilbert space can be quite small.

Or will not discuss these matters further but rather explain the necessity to make the "meta-plectic correction".

(12.2) EXXMPLE: We come back to the the example of $M = T^*R^n = C^n$ with the holomorphic coordinates

$$g = p_j + iq_j$$

of. (11.10) and the polerization $P = span_{1} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}} \right\} \subset TM^{C}$.

We find a potential \propto for ω , $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \sum \overline{z}_i dz_i$

with the property that $\alpha(X) = 0$ for all poleriseel $X \in \mathcal{N}_{\bar{p}}(M)$. Our pregnantum bundle L is trivial and we can check that

 $s_{\lambda}(a) = (a, \exp\{-\frac{1}{4t_{\lambda}}(\sum_{j=1}^{n}p_{j}^{2} + q^{j2} - 2ip_{j}q^{j})\}\}, h > 0,$ fatisfies $\nabla_{X}s_{1} = +\frac{2\pi i}{a} \times (X)s_{1}$ with respect to the connection given by $\frac{1}{a} \times \text{resp.}$ Curv $(L, \nabla) = \frac{1}{a} \omega$.

Hence, s_1 is a mowhere zero polerized section. Each section s satisfies $s = fs_1$ and the polerized sections are those which have a holomorphic $f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}f = 0\right)$. Consequently, the quantum Hilbert space γ_0 is

$$\mathcal{H}_{p} = \left\{ f_{s_{1}} \left| \int \left| f \right|^{2} \exp \left(-\frac{\left| z \right|^{2}}{\hbar} \right) d_{z}^{n} d_{\overline{z}}^{n} < \infty \right\}.$$

Note, that this is a complete space already.

This is the BARGHANN-FOCK REPRESENTION. It is equivalent to the Schrödinger representation and also to the Heisenberg representation.

The Bargmann-Fock representiation is of town use for the quantization of the isotopic oscillator in a dimensions and of a simplified model of the Bose-Einstein field.

(12.3) EXAMPLE: Harmonic Ossilator. La continue the above example and consider the hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{9} z_k \overline{a_k}$$

of the harmonic oscillator. Again with $\frac{1}{4}xk\frac{1}{4}w$ we obtain $q(H) = t_1 \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} - the Euler operator - as the quantized <math>H$ on H_p .

Eigenvalues? $tr\left(\sum z_k \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} f\right) = Ef$

So the eigenvalues are $E_N = Nti$ (the homogenous complex polynomials are in the domain of q(H)), This is not quite correct. The observed eigenvalues are $(N + \frac{\pi}{2})ti$ instead. Fo $\frac{\pi}{2}ti$ is missing (which is the zero point energy).

By comparison we see that as a correct quantized operator q(H) one should take

$$q(H):= ti\left(3\frac{2}{32}+\frac{4}{2}\right).$$

This can be achieved by replacing L with the line bundle L&S where $S \to M$ is a geometrically induced complex line bundle over M reflecting the symplectic geometry of (M, ω) .

This correction is necessary in other examples, too. A appear quite general in the representation theory of Lie groups. It is called the metaplectic correction.

In order to explain the metaplectic correction in general we need some machinery. The batic concept is the metaplectic structure of a symplectic manifold which is similes to a spin structure of a Riemannian manifold.

Metaplectic Ameture:

Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold. A SYMPLECTIC FRAME at $a \in M$ is an order basis

$$(u_j v) = (u_i) \dots u_i j_i v_i \dots v_n$$
 of $T_a M$

Such that $\omega(u_i, v_j) = \delta_{ij} \& \omega(u_i, u_j) = \omega(v_k, v_e) = 0$, $i_{j,k}, e \in \mathbb{N}$. ("cononical coordinates")

The collection BM_a of symplectic frames at $a \in M$ is in 1-to-1 correspondence to the symplectic group Sp(u, IR)

Sp(n, IR) as a concrete matrix group is nothing else than the group of 2n×2n real block matrices

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
, A,B,C,D uxu matrices,

satisfying

$$ST\sigma S = \sigma$$
 with $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, i.e.
 $ATD - CTB = 1$, $ATC = CTA$, $DTB = BTC$.

There is a natural right action of Sp(n,R) on BH_a $(u_jv)\times\begin{pmatrix}A&B\\C&0\end{pmatrix}\longmapsto\begin{pmatrix}uA+vC_j&uB+vD\end{pmatrix}$

which gives the bijection $Sp(u,R) \rightarrow BM_a$ by

12-8

fixing a frame $(u_j v^\circ) \in \mathcal{BH}_a$: For each $(u_j v) \in \mathcal{BH}_a$ there exists exactly one $S = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in Sp(u_i R)$ with $(u_j^\circ v^\circ) S = (u_j v)$.

The symplectic group is homeomorphic to the product of the mintery group U(u) and a enclidean space. Therefore, the fundamental group is $\frac{1}{2}$. Let Mp(u,R) denote the universal covering group of Sp(u,R) which is again a Lie group and let

 $g: Mp(n,R) \longrightarrow Sp(u,R)$

be the 2-to-1 covering homomorphism. Mp(u,R) is called the METAPLECTIC GROUP.

The collection of all the tymplectic frames over a symplectic manifold (M,ω) defines the symplectic frame boundle

$$BM = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{H}} BM_{\alpha} \longrightarrow M.$$

BM is a right principal bundle ove M with Anchere group Sp (u,R). This fibre bundle comes automati-cally with the structure of a symplectic manifold.

(12.4) DEFINITION: À METAPLECTIC STRUCTURE on $(M_1\omega)$ is a right principal bunchle $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}M$ over M with structure group $M_p(u, \mathbb{R})$ together with a smooth map $\tau: \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}M \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}M$

Such that the following diagramm commutes $\widetilde{SM} \times Mp(u,R) \longrightarrow \widetilde{BM}$ $\downarrow \tau \times g \qquad \qquad \downarrow \tau$ $BM \times Sp(u,R) \longrightarrow BM$

where the horizontal arrows denote the group actions.

The notion of a metaplectic structure is analogous to the notion of a spin structure of an oriented Riemennian menifold (M,g). Let BMa the set of oriented orthonormal bases (en, ... en) of TaM.

Then BMa is in 1-to-1 correspondence to the special orthogonal group $SO(n_1R)$, with a right action of $SO(n_1R)$ on BMa.

The BHa fit together to yield the orthonormal frame boundle

BH = UBM, -> M

which is a right principal fibre boundle over M with structure group SO(u,R). We know $T_{s}(SO(u,R)) = \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

Now, let g: Spin(u) -> SO(u,R) the miretal covering, a 2-to-1 covering. A spin structure on (M,g) is a right principal fibre boundle BM over M with structure group Spin(u) together with a smooth T: BM -> BM such that the following diagram commutes:

he an analogous manne one can elephe the bundle LM of Lagranjan frames on M on which Sp(u,R) acts from the left.

If a metaplectic of vacture $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(M)$ has been chosen (in case it it exists at all) it induces a 2-1 cover $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}M \to \mathcal{L}M$ called the brunelle of metalone Lagrangian frames.

For each complex polarization PCTM on M there is a natural bundle of (complex) frames RM of the complex, rank is vector boundle P-> M (Reperbondel), which is a $GL(u, \mathbb{C})$ - principal fibre bundle, the bundle of complex linear frames of P.

RP is a subbrundle of X(M) since all P_a , $a \in M$, are maximally isotropic. RP is invariant needs the action of $GL(u, \mathbb{C})$.

We now use the natural 2-to-1 covering $ML(u,C) \xrightarrow{f} GL(u,C)$

"in Ficle" $Mp(u,R) \Rightarrow Sp(u,R)$. Consequently, the metaplectic structure induces a bundle of metalinee frames $\mathbb{R}P$ as a subbundle of $\mathbb{K}M$, and as an $ML(u,\mathbb{C})$ -principal fibre bundle. Again there is the map $\tau: \mathbb{R}P \to \mathbb{R}P$ such that we have the commutative diagram

 $\mathcal{R}P \times ML(u,C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}P$ $\sqrt{\tau} \times g$ $\mathcal{R}P \times GL(u,C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}P$

Associated to QP is the complex line brudle $K:= \bigwedge^{h}P$ in which we are interested. (K is anociated to the representation

det: $GL(u,C) \longrightarrow GL(C) = C^{x}$.

MP is the uth-exterior product of P, it is called

12-12

the CANONICAL buncle. A section $S \in \Gamma(M, K)$ can be represented by a function S' on RP satisfying $* S'(W,g) = \det(\bar{g}^1) S'(W)$.

for all frames $w = (w_1, ... w_n)$ and $g \in GL(u, \mathbb{C})$ And with $E^{GL}(\mathcal{R}P) = \int s^v \in \mathcal{R}P / *$ for all $g \in \mathcal{L}w$ we have a natural isomosphitm

 $\mathcal{E}^{GL}(\mathcal{RP}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}(M,K),$

 $s' \longmapsto s$, $s(a) = s'(w_1, ... w_n) w_1 \wedge ... \wedge w_n$,

Let TK be a line brudle ove M with

 $K = \sqrt[2]{K} \otimes \sqrt[2]{K} ,$

it can be described using the square root χ of the cheacter elet of of ML(u,t) with $\chi(1)=1$.

Finally, the sections requestion which one uses as the sterring point for the quantum Hilbert space are sections of the line brundle

L & 7/K .

So, TK is the brundle S mentioned above.
On TK one defines a "perial" connection respective only the polenitation P, i.e. differentiating in the directions of P. Together with the connection V

on L we get a connection on L&TK and, now, the CORRECTED QUANTUM HILBERT SPACE is the space Ip of square integrable polerited sections of L&ZVK.

Remorkable: In the case of the ossillator and the Keple problem the correction

L /--> LOTK

leads to the right answers.

Existence and mignenes: The line brunelle K defines a (Chern) class $c_r = [K] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ which is the same as the Chen class $c_q = c_q(M) = [\Lambda^m TM]$, hence independent of the choice of P.

K has a square root $\sqrt[3]{K} = \frac{N}{L}$ if and only if there is $C \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ with $2C = C_1$. Hence, the canonical map

 $\star : H^2(M_1 \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^2(M_1 \mathbb{Z}_2)$

induced by $Z \rightarrow Z_Z$ maps c_1 to $0: \overline{q}:=\pi(q)=0$. In case there is a squere root of K, i.e. $\pi(q)=0$, the equivalence clastes of such L with $L^Z=K$ are parametrized by by $H^1(M_1Z_2)$. Hence, if M is simply connected the square root of K is unique up to equivalence.