

On the affine homotopy invariance of G -torsors

Anand Sawant

Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, München

April 4, 2016

Workshop: Generalizations of \mathbb{A}^1 -Homotopy Invariance in Algebraic
Geometry and Homotopy Theory, Zinnowitz/Usedom, Germany.
April 4-8, 2016

Serre's problem on projective modules

Question (Serre, 1955)

Let k be a field. Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer. Let P be a finitely generated projective module on $k[t_1, \dots, t_n]$. Is P free?

- ▶ Quillen - Suslin (1976) Yes.

Notation. k : field;

A : finite-type regular k -algebra;

P : finitely generated projective module on $A[t_1, \dots, t_n]$.

Question

Does there exist a projective A -module P' such that

$$P \simeq P' \otimes_A A[t_1, \dots, t_n]?$$

- ▶ Lindel (1981) Yes.

G -torsors

G : Reductive algebraic group over a field k ;

A : Smooth, finite-type k -algebra; write $U = \text{Spec } A$.

The projection map $pr : U \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow U$ induces a map

$$pr^* : H_{\text{Zar}}^1(U, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{Zar}}^1(U \times \mathbb{A}^1, G).$$

Question

When is pr^* an isomorphism for every smooth affine k -scheme U ?

- ▶ It is classically known that one has to put a **suitable isotropy hypothesis** on G for the above question to have an affirmative answer.
- ▶ First counterexamples when G is anisotropic were due to **Ojanguren-Sridharan** (1971) and **Parimala** (1978).

Isotropy hypothesis

(*) Every almost k -simple component of the derived group G_{der} of G contains a k -subgroup scheme isomorphic to \mathbb{G}_m .

- ▶ Asok-Hoyois-Wendt (2015) - Let k be an infinite field. If G satisfies (*), then the map

$$pr^* : H_{\text{Zar}}^1(U, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{Zar}}^1(U \times \mathbb{A}^1, G)$$

is a bijection, for every smooth affine scheme U over k .

- ▶ The case when U is the spectrum of a field was proved by Raghunathan (1989).
- ▶ Raghunathan also obtained counterexamples when G is anisotropic, absolutely almost simple, not of type F_4 or G_2 and such that in the central isogeny class of G there exists a group G' embedded in a reductive group H whose underlying variety is rational such that H/G' is a torus.

Main theorem

Theorem (Joint with Chetan Balwe, 2016)

Let k be an *infinite perfect* field and let G be a *reductive* algebraic group over k , which does not satisfy the isotropy hypothesis (*). Then the natural map

$$H_{\text{Zar}}^1(U, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{Zar}}^1(U \times \mathbb{A}^1, G)$$

cannot be a bijection for all smooth affine schemes U over k .

- ▶ Grothendieck-Serre conjecture (Colliot-Thélène-Ojanguren, Raghunathan, Fedorov-Panin) \Rightarrow We can replace Zar by Nis.
- ▶ Asok-Hoyois-Wendt: affine homotopy invariance of $H_{\text{Nis}}^1(-, G) \Rightarrow \text{Sing}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1} G$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -local.
- ▶ We will show that if G does not satisfy (*), then $\text{Sing}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1} G$ is **not** \mathbb{A}^1 -local.

\mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory

- ▶ \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory of schemes was developed by Morel and Voevodsky in the 1990's in which the role of the unit interval $[0, 1]$ in homotopy theory is played by the affine line \mathbb{A}^1 .
- ▶ One enlarges the category Sm/k of smooth schemes over a field k to $\Delta^{op}Sh(Sm/k)$, whose objects are simplicial sheaves of sets over the big Nisnevich site $(Sm/k)_{Nis}$.
- ▶ Localizing $\Delta^{op}Sh(Sm/k)$ at stalk-wise weak equivalences yields the simplicial homotopy category $\mathcal{H}_s(k)$.
- ▶ Its Bousfield localization with respect to the collection of maps of the form $\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is called the \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy category over k and is denoted by $\mathcal{H}(k)$.

The $\mathrm{Sing}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1}$ construction

For a scheme X over k , define $\mathrm{Sing}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1} X$ to be the simplicial sheaf given by

$$(\mathrm{Sing}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1} X)_n(U) = \mathrm{Hom}(U \times \mathbb{A}^n, X).$$

The “simplicial data” is given by the face and degeneracy maps on

$$\mathbb{A}^n \simeq \Delta_n = \mathrm{Spec} \left(\frac{k[x_0, \dots, x_n]}{(\sum_i x_i = 1)} \right).$$

There is a canonical map

$$X \rightarrow \mathrm{Sing}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1} X.$$

\mathbb{A}^1 -connected components

Let X be a scheme over k .

- ▶ The sheaf of \mathbb{A}^1 -chain connected components of X is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}(X) &:= a_{\text{Nis}} \left(U \mapsto \pi_0(\text{Sing}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1} X(U)) \right) \\ &= a_{\text{Nis}} \left(U \mapsto X(U) / \sim \right),\end{aligned}$$

where \sim denotes the equivalence relation generated by naive \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopies.

- ▶ The sheaf of \mathbb{A}^1 -connected components of X is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X) &:= a_{\text{Nis}} \left(U \mapsto \pi_0(L_{\mathbb{A}^1} X(U)) \right) \\ &= a_{\text{Nis}} \left(U \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}(k)}(U, X) \right),\end{aligned}$$

where $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ denotes the \mathbb{A}^1 -localization functor on $\mathcal{H}(k)$.

There are canonical epimorphisms $X \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{S}(X) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$.

\mathbb{A}^1 -locality

A simplicial sheaf \mathcal{X} on Sm/k is said to be \mathbb{A}^1 -local if for any $U \in Sm/k$, the projection map $U \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow U$ induces a bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_s(k)}(U, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_s(k)}(U \times \mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{X}).$$

- ▶ Examples of \mathbb{A}^1 -local objects: \mathbb{G}_m , algebraic tori, abelian varieties, curves of genus ≥ 1 , $\mathrm{Sing}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1} G$ if G satisfies $(*)$ etc.
- ▶ If $\mathrm{Sing}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1} X$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -local, then the natural map

$$\mathcal{S}(X) \rightarrow \pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$$

is an isomorphism.

- ▶ However, $\mathrm{Sing}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1} X$ is not \mathbb{A}^1 -local in general.

A method of showing non- \mathbb{A}^1 -locality of $\mathrm{Sing}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1} X$

One can iterate the \mathcal{S} -construction infinitely often:

$$X \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{S}(X) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{S}^2(X) \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow \varinjlim_n \mathcal{S}^n(X).$$

- ▶ The sheaf $\varinjlim_n \mathcal{S}^n(X)$ is always \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant.
- ▶ If $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant, then $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X) \simeq \varinjlim_n \mathcal{S}^n(X)$.
- ▶ For an algebraic group G , we know that $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(G)$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant [Choudhury \(2014\)](#).
- ▶ If we show that $\mathcal{S}(G) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{S}^2(G)$ is **not an isomorphism**, then we can conclude that $\mathrm{Sing}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1} G$ is not \mathbb{A}^1 -local.

Reductive groups

- ▶ All algebraic groups will be assumed to be connected over k .
- ▶ Every reductive group G over k admits a central isogeny

$$G_{\text{der}} \times \text{rad}(G) \rightarrow G,$$

where G_{der} is a **semisimple** group, called the **derived group** of G and $\text{rad}(G)$ is a **torus**, called the **radical** of G .

- ▶ There exist **almost k -simple** groups G_1, \dots, G_n and a central isogeny

$$G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n \rightarrow G_{\text{der}}.$$

- ▶ An algebraic group is said to be **almost k -simple** if it is smooth and admits no infinite normal k -subgroup.

Strategy to prove the main theorem

Theorem

Let k be an *infinite perfect field* and let G be a *reductive algebraic group* over k , which does not satisfy the isotropy hypothesis (*). Then $\mathrm{Sing}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1} G$ is not \mathbb{A}^1 -local.

(*) Every almost k -simple component of the derived group G_{der} of G contains a k -subgroup scheme isomorphic to \mathbb{G}_m .

- ▶ **Step 1.** Prove the theorem for semisimple, simply connected, almost k -simple and **anisotropic** groups.
- ▶ **Step 2.** Show that if we have a **central isogeny** $G' \rightarrow G$ of reductive groups and if $\mathrm{Sing}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1} G'$ is not \mathbb{A}^1 -local, then $\mathrm{Sing}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1} G$ is not \mathbb{A}^1 -local.

Ingredients in the proof of Step 1

Theorem (Borel-Tits)

Let G be a smooth affine group scheme over a perfect field k . Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) G admits no k -subgroup isomorphic to \mathbb{G}_a or \mathbb{G}_m .
- (2) G admits a G -equivariant compactification \bar{G} such that $G(k) = \bar{G}(k)$.

- ▶ As a consequence, anisotropic groups admit no nonconstant maps from \mathbb{A}^1 .
- ▶ In other words, we have $\mathcal{S}(G)(k) = G(k)$, for anisotropic G .
- ▶ Therefore, it suffices to obtain a pair of distinct k -points of G that map to the same element in $\mathcal{S}^2(G)(k)$.

Ingredients in the proof of Step 1

Let F/k be a field extension.

Let $G(F)^+ :=$ subgroup of $G(F)$ generated by the subsets $U(F)$, where U varies over all F -subgroups of G which are isomorphic to the additive group \mathbb{G}_a .

The group

$$W(F, G) := G(F)/G(F)^+$$

is called the **Whitehead group** of G over F .

Theorem (Monastyrnii-Platonov-Yanchevskii, P. Gille)

Suppose that G is a semisimple, simply connected, almost k -simple and isotropic group over k . Then $W(k, G) \simeq W(k(t), G)$.

Proof of Step 1

Recall: We want to show that $G(k) \simeq \mathcal{S}(G)(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2(G)(k)$ is not an isomorphism.

- ▶ **Grothendieck:** Every **reductive** group over a **perfect** field is **unirational**; that is, admits a dominant rational map from a projective space.
- ▶ Therefore, there exist two distinct points $x, y \in G(k)$ that are **R -equivalent**, that is, there exists a rational map $h : \mathbb{A}^1 \dashrightarrow X$ such that $h(0) = x$ and $h(1) = y$.
- ▶ Let U be the largest open subscheme of \mathbb{A}^1 on which h is defined; let $\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus U = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$.
- ▶ Let $k_i := k(p_i)$. **Borel-Tits** $\Rightarrow G_{k_i}$ is isotropic, for every i .
Reason: Because of the compactification $G \hookrightarrow \bar{G}$ such that $G(k) = \bar{G}(k)$, every rational map $h : \mathbb{P}^1 \dashrightarrow G$ is defined at all the k -rational points.

Proof of Step 1, continued...

- ▶ Consider the following Nisnevich cover of \mathbb{A}^1 :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \coprod_i \mathbb{A}_{k_i}^1 & \\ & \downarrow & \\ U & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_k^1 \end{array} \quad G \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(G)$$

- ▶ In order to show that x and y map to the same element in $\mathcal{S}^2(G)(k)$, that is, "connected" by an element of $\mathcal{S}(G)(\mathbb{A}_k^1)$, we need to define maps $U \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(G)$ and $V := \coprod_i \mathbb{A}_{k_i}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(G)$, which agree after restriction to $U \times_{\mathbb{A}^1} V$.
- ▶ It suffices to define maps $U \rightarrow G$ and $V \rightarrow G$ that are naively \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopic after restriction to $U \times_{\mathbb{A}^1} V$.

Proof of Step 1, continued...

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V = \coprod_i \mathbb{A}_{k_i}^1 & & \\ \downarrow & & \\ U \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1 & & G \end{array}$$

- ▶ The map $U \rightarrow G$ is just h . Since h is a rational map $\mathbb{P}^1 \dashrightarrow G$, it corresponds to a $k(t)$ -valued point of G , which we call η .
- ▶ Since G_{k_i} is **isotropic**, we have $W(k_i, G) \simeq W(k_i(t), G)$. Thus, the image of η in $G(k_i(t))$ can be connected to a k_i -rational point, say q_i , by naive \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopies. We choose the map $V \rightarrow G$ to be the disjoint union of constant maps $\coprod_i q_i$.
- ▶ **After replacing V by a suitable open subscheme**, we can ensure that the two restrictions to $U \times_{\mathbb{A}^1} V$ are naively \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopic.

This completes the proof of Step 1.

Ingredients in the proof of Step 2

Classifying spaces of groups of multiplicative type: $B_{fppf} G$.

- ▶ For a group sheaf G , we will denote by BG the pointed simplicial sheaf on the **big fppf site** of schemes over k , whose n -simplices are G^n with usual face and degeneracy maps.
- ▶ We define $B_{fppf} G$ to be the simplicial Nisnevich sheaf of sets on Sm/k defined by

$$B_{fppf}(G) := i^* \mathbf{R}_{fppf}(BG),$$

where \mathbf{R}_{fppf} denotes the fibrant replacement functor for the Čech injective *fppf*-local model structure and $i : Sm/k \rightarrow Sch/k$ denotes the inclusion functor.

Lemma

Let G be an algebraic group over a field k of multiplicative type. Then $B_{fppf} G$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -local.

Proof of Step 2

Let $G' \rightarrow G$ be a central isogeny of reductive groups with kernel μ . Since the center of a reductive group is of multiplicative type, it follows that $B_{\text{fppf}}\mu$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -local.

Facts:

- ▶ Classification of *fppf*-locally trivial torsors:

$$\pi_0(B_{\text{fppf}}\mu)(-) = H_{\text{fppf}}^1(-, \mu).$$

- ▶ The simplicial fiber sequence

$$G' \rightarrow G \rightarrow B_{\text{fppf}}\mu$$

is an \mathbb{A}^1 -fiber sequence.

Proof of Step 2, continued...

- ▶ Suppose, if possible, that $\text{Sing}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1} G$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -local.
- ▶ The long exact sequence of homotopy groups associated to the fiber sequence $G' \rightarrow G \rightarrow B_{\text{fppf}}\mu$ gives us the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \pi_{i+1}^s(\text{Sing}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1} G) & \rightarrow & \pi_{i+1}^s(\text{Sing}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1} B_{\text{fppf}}\mu) & \rightarrow & \pi_i^s(\text{Sing}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1} G') & \rightarrow & \pi_i^s(\text{Sing}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1} G) & \rightarrow & \pi_i^s(\text{Sing}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1} B_{\text{fppf}}\mu) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \pi_{i+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(G) & \rightarrow & \pi_{i+1}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(B_{\text{fppf}}\mu) & \rightarrow & \pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(G') & \rightarrow & \pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(G) & \rightarrow & \pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(B_{\text{fppf}}\mu). \end{array}$$

- ▶ By the [five lemma](#) and the [\$\mathbb{A}^1\$ -Whitehead theorem](#), this implies that $\text{Sing}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1} G'$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -local, a contradiction.

Some remarks

- ▶ The sheaf $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(G)$ of \mathbb{A}^1 -connected components of an algebraic group G is closely related to the group of R -equivalence classes of G .
- ▶ (Balwe - S , 2015) If G is a semisimple, simply connected, almost k -simple algebraic group, then we have $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(G)(k) \simeq G(k)/R$.
- ▶ (Balwe - S , 2016) This is no longer true if you drop the hypothesis of **simple-connectedness**. However, $G(k)/R$ is always a **sub-quotient** of $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(G)(k)$, for any reductive group G over k .
- ▶ We expect this fact to be helpful in answering questions about $G(k)/R$; in particular, about its **abelian-ness**.

Thank you very much!