

Dynamical Renormalization Group

with Jacob S. Møller and Matthias Westrich

–work in progress–

Volker Bach

TU Braunschweig

10-APR-2012

- 1 Weak Coupling Limit
- 2 Feshbach-Schur Map and Level-Shift Operator
- 3 Approximation at arbitrarily large Time Scales

- Let \mathfrak{H} be a Hilbert space, $H_g = H_0 + gW = H_g^*$ and $P = P^* = P^2$ on \mathfrak{H} such that $[P, H_0] = 0$ and $PWP = 0$.
- Define

$$\widehat{H}_g = H_0 + g^2 K_0 + gP^\perp WP^\perp$$

with $K_0 = PK_0P$ chosen later, so $[P, \widehat{H}_g] = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-itH_g} - e^{-it\widehat{H}_g} \\ = ig \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)\widehat{H}_g} (-igK_0 - PWP^\perp - P^\perp WP) e^{-isH_g} ds, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$Pe^{-itH_g} - e^{-it\hat{H}_g}P = ig \int_0^t e^{-i(t-r)\hat{H}_g}(-igK_0 - PWP^\perp)e^{-irH_g} dr,$$

$$P^\perp e^{-irH_g}P = -ig \int_0^r e^{-i(r-s)\hat{H}_g}P^\perp WPe^{-isH_g}P ds,$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} & Pe^{-itH_g}P - e^{-it\hat{H}_g}P \\ &= g^2 \int_0^t ds e^{-i(t-s)\hat{H}_g} \left[K_0 - \left(\int_0^{t-s} e^{ir\hat{H}_g} PWP^\perp e^{-ir\hat{H}_g} P^\perp WP dr \right) \right] Pe^{-isH_g}P. \end{aligned}$$

- Assuming the integrability of

$$r \mapsto e^{ir\hat{H}_g} PWP^\perp e^{-ir\hat{H}_g} P^\perp WP,$$

we set

$$K(T) := \int_T^\infty e^{ir\hat{H}_g} PWP^\perp e^{-is\hat{H}_g} P^\perp WPe^{-ir\hat{H}_g} dr$$

and $K_0 := K(0)$ (\Rightarrow implicit eq. for $K_0!$) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & Pe^{-itH_g} P - e^{-it\hat{H}_g} P \\ &= g^2 \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)\hat{H}_g} K(t-s) Pe^{-isH_g} P ds. \end{aligned}$$

- We rescale the time, i.e., we set $t := g^{-2}\tau$ and assume $C_1 < \tau < C_2$, for some universal constants C_1, C_2 . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & P e^{-ig^{-2}\tau H_g} P - e^{-ig^{-2}\tau \hat{H}_g} P \\ &= \int_0^\tau e^{-ig^{-2}(\tau-\sigma)\hat{H}_g} K\left(\frac{\tau-\sigma}{g^2}\right) P e^{-ig^{-2}\sigma H_g} P \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

and thanks to the integrability of K , we conclude that

$$P e^{-ig^{-2}\tau H_g} P \sim e^{-ig^{-2}\tau \hat{H}_g} P,$$

as $g \rightarrow 0$.

- Actually, one takes diagonal part of K_0 and defines it with H_0 .

- WCL goes back to [Van Hove 1951];
- Description above patterned after [Davies 1974];
- The WCL of the evolution operator appears in effective descriptions of quantum dynamics by Boltzmann equation [Erdős 2002, Erdős+Salmholfer+Yau 2004];
- The WCL also appears in effective descriptions of quantum dynamics of
 - free particle coupled to (many) heat baths [De Roeck+Fröhlich 2008],
 - spin-boson model [De Roeck+Kupiainen 2010].

- Simplify assumptions: Let E be an eigenvalue of H_0 and $P = \mathbf{1}[H_0 = E]$ the corresponding spectral projection. For $t > 0$,

$$e^{-itH_g} = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}+i\epsilon} e^{-itz} \frac{dz}{H_g - z},$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} P e^{-itH_g} P &= \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}+i\epsilon} e^{-itz} P (H_g - z)^{-1} P dz \\ &= \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}+i\epsilon} e^{-itz} \frac{dz}{F_P(H_g - z)} P, \end{aligned}$$

where F_P is the *Feshbach-Schur map* (recall $PWP = 0$),

$$\begin{aligned} F_P(H_g - z) &= (H_0 - z)P - g^2 PWP^\perp (H_0 - z + gP^\perp WP^\perp)^{-1} P^\perp WP \\ &= (E - z - g^2 M(z)) P, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$M(z) := PWP^\perp (H_0 - z + gP^\perp WP^\perp)^{-1} P^\perp WP.$$

being the *Level-Shift operator (LSO)*.

- We expect that $z \approx E + i\varepsilon$ gives the dominant contribution. So, we compare

$$\begin{aligned} & P e^{-itH_g} P - e^{-it(E-z-g^2M(z))} P \\ &= \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}+i\varepsilon} e^{-itz} \left\{ (E-z-g^2M(z))^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (E-z-g^2M(E+i\varepsilon))^{-1} \right\} P dz \\ &= \frac{-g^2}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}+i\varepsilon} e^{-itz} (E-z-g^2M(z))^{-1} \\ &\quad \left(M(E+i\varepsilon) - M(z) \right) (E-z-g^2M(E+i\varepsilon))^{-1} \Big\} P dz. \end{aligned}$$

- This idea and the relation between WCL and the LSO has been made precise in [Derezinski+Früboes 2005] and [Derezinski+de Roeck 2007].
- The models to which this has been applied are of Pauli-Fierz type (NR QED):
 - For the spin-boson model at positive temperature [Jaksic-Pillet 1996] and [B. Fröhlich+Sigal 2000];
 - For atoms at zero temperature [B. Fröhlich+Sigal 1999] and [Hasler+Huber+Herbst 2008];

- We also focus on the Spin-Boson model,

$$H_g = H_0 + gW, \quad H_0 = H_{\text{at}} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes H_f$$

$$H_{\text{at}} = \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & 0 \\ 0 & E_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_f = \int |k| a_k^* a_k d^3k,$$

$$W = \int \left\{ G(k) \otimes a_k^* + G^*(k) \otimes a_k \right\} d^3k,$$

$$G(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_0(k) \\ b_1(k) & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$P = \mathbf{1} \otimes P_\Omega.$$

- We assume that $\theta \mapsto H_g(\theta)$ is dilation analytic. Recall that $H_g(\theta) = U(\theta)H_gU^{-1}(\theta)$, where

$$U(\theta)(A_{\text{at}} \otimes a_k^*)U^{-1}(\theta) = A_{\text{at}} \otimes e^{3\theta/2}a_{e^{\theta}k}^*,$$

$$U(\theta)(\varphi_{\text{at}} \otimes \Omega) = \varphi_{\text{at}} \otimes \Omega.$$

- Note that $\theta \mapsto Pe^{-itH_g(\theta)}P \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ is analytic on $\{|\theta| < \theta_0\}$ and $Pe^{-itH_g(\theta)}P = Pe^{-itH_g(0)}P$, for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence,

$$\forall |\theta| < \theta_0 : Pe^{-itH_g(\theta)}P = Pe^{-itH_g(0)}P.$$

Thm. 1: Assume $G(k) \equiv G(|k|)$ to be dilation analytic and to obey $\|G(k)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H}_{\text{at}})} \leq |k|^{-1/2}$. Then, for all $0 < \mu < 2$, $0 < \nu < \min\{1, \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{2}\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mathbf{1}_{H_f < \rho} e^{-itH_g(\theta)} \mathbf{1}_{H_f < \rho} - e^{-itK_g(H_f, \theta)} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{H_f < \rho} \right\| \\ & \leq C_1 \left[e^{-c_2 t} + e^{C_1 g^2 \rho^\nu t} \left(\rho^{\frac{1-\nu}{2}} + g^{1/2} \rho^{-\frac{1}{2}(\nu + \frac{1}{2})} \right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho = g^\mu$ and $K_g(H_f, \theta)_{j\ell} = \delta_{j\ell} K_g^{(\ell)}(H_f, \theta)$.

Cor. 2: Choose $\mu := \frac{2}{3}$, $\nu := \frac{1}{2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| P e^{-ig^{-2}\tau H_g} P - e^{-i\tau K_g} \otimes P_\Omega \right\| \\ & \leq C_1 \left[e^{-c_2 g^{-2}\tau} + g^{\frac{1}{6}} e^{g^{1/3}\tau} \left(\rho^{\frac{1-\nu}{2}} + g^{1/2} \rho^{-\frac{1}{2}(\nu+\frac{1}{2})} \right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $(K_g)_{j\ell} = \delta_{j\ell} K_g^{(\ell)}$, $K_g^{(\ell)} = g^{-2} E_\ell - \Lambda_\ell + \mathcal{O}(g^{2/3})$, and

$$\Lambda_\ell = \int_0^\infty \frac{k^2 |b_\ell(k)|^2 dk}{E_{1-\ell} - E_\ell + |k| - i0}.$$

- Thm. 1 and Cor. 2 reproduce Davies' result and give quantitative bounds.
- Conceptual Difficulties:
 - Formulation of quantitative bounds in general?
 - Generalization of WCL to time scales $\sim g^{-n}\tau$, for arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$? (various proposals, e.g., Kossakowski)

- Study time evolution of effective Hamiltonian ($\theta = i\vartheta$, $\vartheta > 0$) on $\mathfrak{H}_{red} := \mathbf{1}_{H_f < \rho} \mathcal{F}$,

$$H = e^{-\theta} H_f + W,$$

$$W = \sum_{m+n \geq 1} \mathbf{1}_{H_f < \rho} W_{m,n} \mathbf{1}_{H_f < \rho}$$

$$W_{m,n} = \int_{B_1^{m+n}} a^*(k^{(m)}) w_{m,n}[H_f; k^{(m)}; \tilde{k}^{(n)}] a(\tilde{k}^{(n)}) d^m k d^n \tilde{k},$$

$$a(\tilde{k}^{(n)}) = a_{\tilde{k}_1} a_{\tilde{k}_1} \cdots a_{\tilde{k}_n},$$

with $\underline{w} = (w_{m,n})_{m+n \geq 1}$ obeying

$$\|\underline{w}\|_{\mu, \xi}^{\#} \leq g \leq \delta_0,$$

as defined in [BCFS] = [B.+Chen+Fröhlich+Sigal 2003].

- In [BCFS] it was proved that the isospectral RG-map \mathcal{R}_ρ based on the Feshbach-Schur map is applicable and yields a sequence of effective Hamiltonians:

$$H - z =: H_{(0)}[z] = T_{(0)}[H_f; z] + W_{(0)}[z] - E_{(0)}[z],$$

where $T_{(0)}[H_f; z] := e^{-\theta} H_f$, $W_{(0)}[z] := W$, $E_{(0)}[z] := z$, $|z| < \frac{1}{2}$, and

$$H_{(n+1)}[z] := \mathcal{R}_\rho(H_{(n+1)})[z].$$

Then [BCFS]

$$H_{(n)}[z] = T_{(n)}[H_f; z] + W_{(n)}[z] - E_{(n)}[z],$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{(n)}[r; z] - e^{-\theta} r\| &\leq 2g, \\ |E_{(n)}[z] - z| &\leq \rho^{\mu n} g, \quad \|\underline{w}_{(n)}\|_{\mu, \xi}^{\#} \leq \rho^{\mu n} g, \end{aligned}$$

for all $|z| \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Thm. 3: If $\tau \gg n |\ln(\rho)| \vartheta^{-1}$ then

$$\left\| \mathbf{1}_{H_f < \rho^n} e^{-i\rho^{-n}\tau H} \mathbf{1}_{H_f < \rho^n} - \frac{\rho^{-n}}{M_{(n)}(\rho^{-n}H_f)} e^{-i\rho^{-n}\tau Z_{(n)}(\rho^{-n}H_f)} \mathbf{1}_{H_f < \rho^n} \right\|$$

$$\leq C_1 e^{-\mu_{(n)}\rho^{-n}\tau} (e^{-c_2\tau} + g \rho^{\mu_{(n)}n-1} e^{C_1\rho\tau}),$$

for some $0 < c_2 \leq C_1 < \infty$, where $M_{(n)}$ and $Z_{(n)}$ are determined from $T_{(n)}$ and $E_{(n)}$ by a contour integral and

$$\mu_{(n)} := -\text{Im} \left\{ E_{(1)}^{-1} \left(\rho E_{(2)}^{-1} \left(\cdots \rho E_{(n-1)}^{-1} (0) \cdots \right) \right) \right\}$$

is the imaginary part of the n^{th} -order approximation to the (resonance) eigenvalue of H .

Work in progress and open problems:

- Generalization from 1- to N -level atom, yielding $H_{(0)}$ after elimination of field energies > 1 ,
- Estimates directly on the propagator without using Laplace transform.