## **Functional Analysis II**

Institute of Mathematics, LMU Munich – Winter Term 2011/2012 Prof. T. Ø. Sørensen Ph.D, A. Michelangeli Ph.D

HOMEWORK ASSIGNMENT no. 7, issued on Wednesday 30 November 2011 Due: Wednesday 7 December 2011 by 2 pm in the designated "FA2" box on the 1st floor Info: www.math.lmu.de/~michel/WS11-12\_FA2.html

> Each exercise sheet is worth a full mark of 40 points. Correct answers without proofs are not accepted. Each step should be justified. You can hand in the solutions either in German or in English.

## Exercise 25.

- (i) Let N be a normal operator on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Show that if N has a one-sided inverse, then N is invertible.
- (ii) Consider the right shift  $R : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \to \ell^2(\mathbb{N}), T(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (0, x_1, x_2, \dots)$ . Prove that R cannot be equal to the product of a finite number of normal operators on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ . (*Hint:* go for a contradiction and use (i).)

**Exercise 26.** Consider the operators  $T_1 : \ell^1(\mathbb{N}) \to \ell^1(\mathbb{N})$  and  $T_2 : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \to \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  defined on the basis vectors of the form  $e_n = (\dots, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$  (that is, all entries are zero but the *n*-th one that is equal to one) by

$$T_1 e_n := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} t_{n,m} e_m, \qquad T_2 e_n := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} t_{n,m} e_m,$$

where in both cases

$$t_{n,m} := \begin{cases} \frac{n}{(m-1)m} & \text{if } m > n \\ 0 & \text{if } m \leqslant n \end{cases}$$

(note that the series converge both in  $\ell^1$  and in  $\ell^2$ ), and then are extended by linearity and boundedness to the whole  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ , respectively the whole  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ . (Boundedness is in fact proved in (i) here below.)

- (i) Prove that both  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are bounded:  $T_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^1(\mathbb{N})), T_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N})).$
- (ii) Prove that  $\sigma(T_2) \neq \sigma(T_1)$ . (*Hint:* compare  $||T_2||$  with the spectral radius  $r(T_1)$ .)

(Therefore: the knowledge of the action of an operator is not enough to determine its spectrum. An operator that acts the same way on different Banach spaces may have different spectra!) **Exercise 27.** (Spectral radius of a product and of a sum.) Let X be a Banach space and let  $T, S \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . Denote by r(T) the spectral radius of T.

- (i) Show that if  $[T, S] = \mathbb{O}$  then  $r(TS) \leq r(T)r(S)$ .
- (ii) Show that if  $[T, S] = \mathbb{O}$  then  $r(T + S) \leq r(T) + r(S)$ .
- (iii) Find counterexamples to the conclusions in (i) and (ii) when T and S do not commute.
- (iv) Show that  $r(T^n) = r(T)^n \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Exercise 28.** (The norm of a self-adjoint operator.) Let A be a self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Show that

$$||A|| = \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{H} \\ ||x||=1}} |\langle x, Ax \rangle|.$$

(*Hint:* polarisation and parallelogram law.)

Comment: compare the above result with the variational characterisation of the norm of a generic operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , that is,

$$||T|| = \sup_{\substack{x,y \in \mathcal{H} \\ ||x|| = ||y|| = 1}} |\langle x, Ty \rangle|.$$