

# $\Gamma$ -convergence: An Application

Maximilian Wank

LMU München

16.04.2014



## $\Gamma$ -convergence

$X$  topological space satisfying 1<sup>st</sup> axiom of countability,  $F_n, F : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ .

We say  $F = \Gamma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n$  iff

1.  $\forall (x_n)_n \subset X$  with  $x_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} x \in X$  it is

$$F(x) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x_n).$$

2.  $\forall x \in X$  there is  $(x_n)_n \subset X$  with  $x_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} x \in X$  s.t.

$$F(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x_n).$$

The sequence in 2. is called *recovering sequence*.

Remark: There is a more general definition for arbitrary topol. spaces  $X$  coinciding with this definition.

## $\Gamma$ -limits – an easy example

Set  $X := \mathbb{R}$  and  $F_n(x) := \sin(nx)$ . Then,

1.  $-1 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sin(nx_n)$  and
2. For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  define  $x_n$  as the nearest point with  $\sin(nx_n) = -1$ .  
 $\sin(n \cdot)$  is  $\frac{2\pi}{n}$ -periodic  $\implies |x_n - x| \leq \frac{\pi}{n} \implies x_n \rightarrow x$ .  
 Furthermore,  $-1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sin(nx_n)$ .

$$\implies \Gamma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sin(n \cdot) = -1.$$

We see:

1. Need additional assumptions for comparison with pointwise convergence.
2. This example points towards minimization problems!

## Coercivity, $\Gamma$ -limits and minimization

$X$  reflexive Banach space:

$F : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  coercive w.r.t. weak topology  $\iff F(x) \xrightarrow{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \infty$ .

$X$  topological space:

$(F_n)_n$  equi-coercive  $\iff \exists$  l.s.c. and coercive  $\Psi : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  s.t.  $F_n \geq \Psi$ .

### Theorem

$(F_n)_n$  equi-coercive,  $F = \Gamma\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n$ ,  $\exists! x_0 \in X$  minimizing  $F$ . Choose

$$x_n \in \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in X} F_n(x).$$

Then,  $x_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} x_0$  and  $(F_n(x_n))_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_0)$ .

## $p$ -Poisson equation

Strong formulation:  $u$  satisfies

$$-\Delta_p u := -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = f \text{ on } \Omega$$

$$u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

Weak formulation:  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and for all  $\xi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \xi \, dx = f(\xi).$$

$u$  strong solution  $\implies u$  weak solution  $\iff u$  is the unique minimizer of

$$\mathcal{J}(u) := \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dx - f(u).$$

## Splitted energy

Consider  $1 < p < 2$  and minimize

$$\mathcal{J}^s(u, a) := \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |a|^{p-2} |\nabla u|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right) |a|^p dx - f(u)$$

### Lemma

*Let  $(u, a)$  be a local minimizer of  $\mathcal{J}^s$ .*

*Then,  $u$  is a weak solution of  $p$ -Poisson equation and*

$$|a| = |\nabla u|.$$

Sketch of proof: Calculate first variation and use “right” test functions.

## Constrained splitted energy

For stability add constraint for  $a$ :

$$\mathcal{J}_{(\varepsilon)}^s(u, a) := \mathcal{J}^s(u, \varepsilon \vee |a| \wedge \frac{1}{\varepsilon})$$

### Lemma

For fixed  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ , the function  $a = \varepsilon \vee |\nabla u| \wedge \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$  is the unique minimum of  $\mathcal{J}_{(\varepsilon)}^s(u, \cdot)$  satisfying  $a = \varepsilon \vee a \wedge \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ .

So we want to use

$$u_{k+1} := \operatorname{argmin} \mathcal{J}_{(\varepsilon)}^s(\cdot, a_k) \quad \text{and} \quad a_{k+1} := \varepsilon \vee |\nabla u_{k+1}| \wedge \frac{1}{\varepsilon}.$$

## Constrained energy

How does  $\varepsilon$  affect the algorithm?

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{(\varepsilon)}(u) &:= \min_{a=\varepsilon \vee |a| \wedge \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \mathcal{J}_{(\varepsilon)}^s(u, a) = \mathcal{J}^s(u, \varepsilon \vee |\nabla u| \wedge \frac{1}{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \kappa_{(\varepsilon)}(|\nabla u|) dx - f(u) \end{aligned}$$

### Theorem

Let  $u$  be minimizer of  $\mathcal{J}$  and  $u_{\varepsilon}$  be minimizer of  $\mathcal{J}_{(\varepsilon)}$ .

Then,  $u_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup u$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  as  $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ .



## Outline of the proof

We need to check:

1. 1<sup>st</sup> axiom of countability,
2. equi-coercivity of  $\mathcal{J}_{(\varepsilon)}$  and
3.  $\mathcal{J} = \Gamma\text{-}\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \mathcal{J}_{(\varepsilon)}$ .
4. Finally, apply theorem from slide 4

Arising problems:

- no coercivity w.r.t. norm-topology  
 $\implies$  use weak topology
- no 1<sup>st</sup> axiom of countability on  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  w.r.t. weak topology

## Aproprate space

Choose the topological space

$$X := \{w \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : \mathcal{J}_{(0.5)}(w) \leq 0\} \cup \{w \in W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega) : \|\nabla w\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \gamma\}.$$

A priori estimates for  $u_\varepsilon$ , monotonicity of  $\mathcal{J}_{(\varepsilon)}$  in  $\varepsilon$

$\implies X$  is norm-bounded:

$$0 \geq \mathcal{J}_{(0.5)}(v) \geq \mathcal{J}(v) \geq \frac{1}{p} \|\nabla v\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p - c \|f\|_{W_0^{-1,p}(\Omega)} \cdot \|\nabla v\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$$

$\implies \mathcal{T}_{\text{weak}}$  is induced by a metric (since  $(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))^*$  is separable)

$\implies B_{\frac{1}{n}}(x_0)$  are countable basis of neighbourhoods of  $x_0$

i.e. 1<sup>st</sup> axiom of countability!

## Equi-coercivity

Need to find l.sc. and coercive  $\Psi : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $\mathcal{J}_{(\varepsilon)} \geq \Psi$ .

$\mathcal{J}$  does the job:

1.  $\mathcal{J}$  is lower semicontinuous
2. Use

$$\mathcal{J}(v) \geq \frac{1}{p} \|\nabla v\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p - c \|f\|_{W_0^{-1,p}(\Omega)} \cdot \|\nabla v\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$$

to see  $\mathcal{J}(v) \xrightarrow{\|\nabla v\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \rightarrow +\infty} +\infty$   
 $\implies \mathcal{J}$  is coercive w.r.t.  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{weak}}$

3.  $\mathcal{J}_{(\varepsilon)}$  monotonic increasing in  $\varepsilon$  and  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_{(0)}$   
 $\implies \mathcal{J}_{(\varepsilon)} \geq \mathcal{J}$

Overall:  $\mathcal{J}_{(\varepsilon)}$  is equi-coercive!

## lim inf-condition

Let  $v \in X$  and  $v_n \rightharpoonup v$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{J}_{(\varepsilon_n)}(v_n) \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{J}(v_n) \geq \mathcal{J}(v).$$

$\implies$  lim inf-condition is satisfied.

It remains to find *recovering sequences*.

For  $v \in \{w \in W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega) : \|\nabla w\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \gamma\}$  take  $v_n \equiv v$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{J}_{(\varepsilon_n)}(v_n) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{J}_{(\varepsilon_n)}(v) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \kappa_{(\varepsilon_n)}(|\nabla v|) dx - f(v) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p dx - f(v) = \mathcal{J}(v) \end{aligned}$$

## More definitions

For  $v \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  define the *Hardy-Littlewood maximal function*

$$M(v)(x) := \sup_{r>0} \int_{B_r(x)} |v(y)| dy.$$

$M$  continuous for  $p > 1$

$$\|Mv\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq c \|v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

and of weak type (1,1).

$$\sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda |\{M(v) > \lambda\}| \leq c \|v\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

We call

$$\mathcal{O}_\lambda(v) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : M(|\nabla v|)(x) > \lambda\}$$

the “*bad set*”. Due to lower semicontinuity of  $M(|\nabla v|)$ , it is open.

## Lipschitz truncation

### Theorem (Diening, Kreuzer, Süli)

Let  $\lambda > 0$  and  $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Then, there is  $v_\lambda \in W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$  with the following properties:

- (a)  $\{v \neq v_\lambda\} \subset \mathcal{O}_\lambda(v) \cap \Omega$ ,
- (b)  $\|v_\lambda\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq c_1 \|v\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$ ,
- (c)  $\|\nabla v_\lambda\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq c_2 \|\nabla v\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$  and
- (d)  $|\nabla v_\lambda| \leq c\lambda \chi_{\mathcal{O}_\lambda(v) \cap \Omega} + |\nabla v| \chi_{\mathcal{O}_\lambda(v)^c \cap \Omega} \leq c_3 \lambda$  almost everywhere.

For  $\varepsilon_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$  define  $\lambda_n := \frac{1}{c_3 \varepsilon_n} \implies |\nabla v_{\lambda_n}| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n}$  a.e.

## Recovering sequence

For  $v \in \{w \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : \mathcal{J}_{(0.5)}(w) \leq 0\}$  the Lipschitz truncations

$$v_n := v_{\lambda_n}$$

give an admissible recovering sequence. First of all,

$$\|\nabla v_n\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq c_2 \|\nabla v\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq c \left( \|f\|_{W_0^{-1,p}(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} =: \gamma$$

and  $(v_n)_n \subset W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ . Hence,  $(v_n)_n \subset X$ . It remains to show

$$\mathcal{J}_{(\varepsilon_n)}(v_n) - \mathcal{J}(v) = \int_{\Omega} \kappa_{(\varepsilon_n)}(|\nabla v_n|) - |\nabla v|^p dx - \langle f, v_n - v \rangle \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Therefore, split  $\Omega$  into  $\Omega_1 := \mathcal{O}_{\lambda_n}(v)^c \cap \Omega$  and  $\Omega_2 := \mathcal{O}_{\lambda_n}(v) \cap \Omega$ .

## Convergence on good set

On  $\Omega_1$  we have  $v \equiv v_n$ .

Furthermore  $|\nabla v_n| \leq c_3 \lambda_n = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n}$  and  
 additionally  $\kappa_{(\varepsilon_n)}(t) = t^p$  for  $t \in [\varepsilon_n, \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n}]$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \int_{\Omega_1} \kappa_{(\varepsilon_n)}(|\nabla v_n|) - \varphi(|\nabla v|) \, dx \right| &= \left| \int_{\Omega_1} \kappa_{(\varepsilon_n)}(|\nabla v_n|) - |\nabla v_n|^p \, dx \right| \\
 &= \left| \int_{\Omega_1 \cap \{|\nabla v_n| < \varepsilon_n\}} \kappa_{(\varepsilon_n)}(|\nabla v_n|) - |\nabla v_n|^p \, dx \right| \\
 &\leq 2|\Omega| \varepsilon_n^p \\
 &\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.
 \end{aligned}$$



## Convergence on bad set

Weak type (1,1) implies  $|\Omega_2| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ , so

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \int_{\Omega_2} \kappa_{(\varepsilon_n)}(|\nabla v_n|) - |\nabla v|^p dx \right| &\leq \int_{\Omega_2} \kappa_{(\varepsilon_n)}(|\nabla v_n|) + |\nabla v|^p dx \\
 &\leq \int_{\Omega_2} \varphi(c_3 \lambda) + |\nabla v|^p dx \\
 &\leq \int_{\Omega_2} \underbrace{c_3^p M(|\nabla v|)^p + |\nabla v|^p}_{\in L^1(\Omega)} dx \\
 &\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

## Alternative proof via relaxation

### 1. Define

$$\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{(\varepsilon)} : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$$

$$u \mapsto \begin{cases} \mathcal{J}_{(\varepsilon)}(u) & \text{for } u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \\ +\infty & \text{for } u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus W_0^{1,2}(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

and  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} := \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{(0)}$ .

2.  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{(\varepsilon)}$  converges pointwise and decreasing to  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$   
 $\implies \text{sc}^- \tilde{\mathcal{J}} = \Gamma\text{-}\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{(\varepsilon)}$
3.  $\text{sc}^- \tilde{\mathcal{J}} = \mathcal{J}$
4. Use theorem from slide 4

# Thank you for your attention.

## Literature:

- Dal Maso: “An Introduction to  $\Gamma$ -convergence”
- Dienes, Kreuzer, Süli: “Finite element approximation of steady flows of incompressible fluids with implicit power-law-like rheology”