

An approach to variable binding using de Bruijn indices and named variables

Wilfried Buchholz

Universität München

buchholz@mathematik.uni-muenchen.de

Version: 30 August 2002

In these notes we present a method for representing syntax with variable binding which combines the advantages of the de Bruijn approach and the conventional approach via named bound variables. Unfortunately, after having produced a first version of these notes we had to notice that this method is not new; it was first introduced in

A. D. Gordon. A mechanisation of name-carrying syntax up to alpha-conversion. In J. J. Joyce and C.-J. H. Seger (Eds.), *Higher Order Logic Theorem Proving and its Applications. Proceedings, 1993*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science Vol. 780, pp. 414-426. Springer-Verlag, 1994.

We cite the abstract of this paper:

Abstract. We present a new strategy for representing syntax in a mechanised logic. We define an underlying type of de Bruijn terms, define an operation of named lambda-abstraction, and hence inductively define a set of conventional name-carrying terms. The result is a mechanisation of the practice of most authors studying formal calculi: to work with conventional name-carrying notation and substitution, but to identify terms up to alpha-conversion. This strategy falls between most previous works, which either treat bound variable names literally or dispense with them altogether. The theory has been implemented in the Cambridge HOL system and used in an experimental application.

Our emphasis here is not so much on mechanised logics but rather on showing that the approach in question is very well suited for a neat representation of syntax in ordinary logic texts. To underpin this claim we develop in detail some basics of the untyped λ -calculus, the system $\lambda 2$ in Church style, and 1st order predicate logic.

§1 A general framework for variable binding and substitution

We assume the following pairwise disjoint sets of *basic symbols*.

Vars : infinite set of *variables*, denoted by x, y, z, \dots ;

$\{\circ_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$: set of *de Bruijn indices*;

\mathcal{F} : set of *function symbols*, denoted by f ;

\mathcal{B} : set of *binding symbols (binders)*, denoted by b .

For every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ an *arity* $\#(f) \in \mathbb{N}$ is fixed; further we set $\#(\circ_k) := 0$ and $\#(b) := 1$.

$\mathcal{F}' := \{\circ_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{F}'_m := \{h \in \mathcal{F}' : \#(h) = m\}$, $\mathcal{F}_m := \mathcal{F}'_m \cap \mathcal{F}$.

Inductive Definition of the set $\mathcal{T}' = \mathcal{T}'(\text{Vars}; \mathcal{F}; \mathcal{B})$ of quasiterms

1. $\text{Vars} \subseteq \mathcal{T}'$;
2. $h \in \mathcal{F}'_m$ & $t_1, \dots, t_m \in \mathcal{T}' \implies ht_1 \dots t_m \in \mathcal{T}'$.

Notation: We use r, s, t to denote quasiterms.

Definition.

$\text{FV}(t) :=$ set of all variables occurring in t ,

$\text{lh}(t) :=$ length of t as string of basic symbols.

Definition of $t_x[n] \in \mathcal{T}'$ for $t \in \mathcal{T}'$

1. For $t \in \text{Vars} \cup \{\circ_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$: $t_x[n] := \begin{cases} \circ_n & \text{if } t = x \\ t & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$;
2. $(ft_1 \dots t_m)_x[n] := f(t_1)_x[n] \dots (t_m)_x[n]$;
3. $(br)_x[n] := br_x[n+1]$.

Definition. $bx.r := br_x[0]$.

Corollary. (B0) $\text{FV}(bx.r) = \text{FV}(r) \setminus \{x\}$.

Proof: $\text{FV}(bx.r) = \text{FV}(br_x[0]) = \text{FV}(r_x[0]) = \text{FV}(r) \setminus \{x\}$.

Inductive Definition of the set $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(\text{Vars}; \mathcal{F}; \mathcal{B})$ of terms

1. $\text{Vars} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$;
2. $f \in \mathcal{F}_m \ \& \ t_1, \dots, t_m \in \mathcal{T} \implies ft_1 \dots t_m \in \mathcal{T}$;
3. $b \in \mathcal{B} \ \& \ r \in \mathcal{T} \implies br.r \in \mathcal{T}$.

Definition

A *substitution* is a mapping $\theta : \mathcal{T}' \rightarrow \mathcal{T}'$, $t \mapsto t\theta$ such that

- (i) $x\theta \in \mathcal{T}$ for all $x \in \text{Vars}$,
- (ii) $(ht_1 \dots t_m)\theta = h(t_1\theta) \dots (t_m\theta)$ for all $ht_1 \dots t_m \in \mathcal{T}' \setminus \text{Vars}$.

SUB := set of all substitutions. $\epsilon := \text{id}_{\mathcal{T}'}$.

We use θ, θ' to denote substitutions.

Lemma 1.1.

(S0) $\forall t \in \mathcal{T} (t\theta \in \mathcal{T})$;

(S1) $\forall x \in \text{FV}(t) (x\theta = x\theta') \iff t\theta = t\theta'$;

(S2) $\epsilon \in \text{SUB}$;

(S3) $\theta, \theta' \in \text{SUB} \implies \theta \circ \theta' \in \text{SUB}$.

(S4) For every $\theta \in \text{SUB}$, $x \in \text{Vars}$, $s \in \mathcal{T}$ there is a unique $\theta_x^s \in \text{SUB}$ with $y\theta_x^s := \begin{cases} s & \text{if } y = x \\ y\theta & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$.

The proof of (S0) will be given below. The other statements are easily seen, where for (S3) one uses (S0).

Remark. $\forall x \in \text{Vars} (x\theta = x\theta') \implies \theta = \theta'$. [cf. (S1)]

Notation: $t_x(s) := t(x/s) := t\epsilon_x^s$

Remark. $x \notin \text{FV}(t) \implies t_x(s) = t$. [cf. (S1),(S2)]

Lemma 1.2.

(a) $x \neq y \implies (x \in \text{FV}(t) \iff t\epsilon_x^y \neq t)$.

(b) $\text{FV}(t\theta) = \bigcup_{z \in \text{FV}(t)} \text{FV}(z\theta)$.

(c) $y \notin (bx.r)\theta \implies r\theta_x^y\epsilon_y^s = r\theta_x^s$.

(d) $x \in \text{FV}(t) \implies \text{FV}(t_x(s)) = (\text{FV}(t) \setminus \{x\}) \cup \text{FV}(s)$.

(e) $r_x(s)\theta = r\theta_x^{s\theta}$.

(f) $y \notin \text{FV}(bx.r)\theta \implies r_x(s)\theta = (r\theta_x^y)_y(s\theta)$.

Proof:

- (a) $x \notin \text{FV}(t) \Leftrightarrow \forall z \in \text{FV}(t)(z \neq x) \stackrel{\text{Def. } \epsilon_x^y}{\Leftrightarrow} \forall z \in \text{FV}(t)(z\epsilon_x^y = z) \stackrel{(S1),(S2)}{\Leftrightarrow} t\epsilon_x^y = t$.
- (b) Let $x \neq y$. Then: $x \notin \text{FV}(t\theta) \stackrel{(a)}{\Leftrightarrow} t\theta\epsilon_x^y = t\theta \stackrel{(S1),(S3)}{\Leftrightarrow} \forall z \in \text{FV}(t)(z\theta\epsilon_x^y = z\theta) \stackrel{(a)}{\Leftrightarrow} \forall z \in \text{FV}(t)(x \notin \text{FV}(z\theta))$.
- (c) 1. $x\theta\epsilon_x^y\epsilon_y^s = y\epsilon_y^s = s = x\theta_x^s$.
2. $x \neq z \in \text{FV}(r) \stackrel{(B0)}{\Rightarrow} z \in \text{FV}(bx.r) \stackrel{(b)}{\Rightarrow} y \notin \text{FV}(z\theta) \Rightarrow z\theta\epsilon_x^y\epsilon_y^s = z\theta\epsilon_y^s \stackrel{(S1),(S2)}{\stackrel{(a)}{=}} z\theta = z\theta_x^s$.
- Now the claim follows by (S1),(S3).
- (d) $\text{FV}(t_x(s)) \stackrel{(b)}{=} \bigcup_{z \in \text{FV}(t)} \text{FV}(z_x(s)) = \bigcup_{z \in \text{FV}(t) \setminus \{x\}} \{z\} \cup \text{FV}(s) = (\text{FV}(t) \setminus \{x\}) \cup \text{FV}(s)$.
- (e) 1. $x_x(s)\theta = s\theta = x\theta_x^{s\theta}$. 2. $y \neq x \Rightarrow y_x(s)\theta = y\theta = y\theta_x^{s\theta}$. Now the claim follows by (S1),(S3).
- (f) $r_x(s)\theta \stackrel{(e)}{=} r\theta_x^{s\theta} \stackrel{(c)}{=} r\theta\epsilon_x^y\epsilon_y^s$.

Lemma 1.3. For $r, r' \in \mathcal{T}$ the following holds

- (B1) $bx.r = bx.r' \implies r = r'$.
- (B2) $y \notin \text{FV}((bx.r)\theta) \implies (bx.r)\theta = by.r\theta_x^y$.

The proof will be given below.

Lemma 1.4. For $r, r' \in \mathcal{T}$ we have

- (a) $y \notin \text{FV}(bx.r) \implies bx.r = by.r_x(y)$.
- (b) $bx.r = by.r' \implies r' = r_x(y)$.
- (c) $bx.r = by.r' \iff \forall s \in \mathcal{T}(r_x(s) = r'_y(s))$.

Proof:

- (a) follows from (B2) with $\theta := \epsilon$.
- (b) $bx.r = by.r' \stackrel{(B0)}{\implies} y \notin \text{FV}(bx.r) \stackrel{(a)}{\implies} by.r' = bx.r = by.r_x(y) \stackrel{(B1)}{\implies} r' = r_x(y)$.
- (c) “ \implies ”: $bx.r = by.r' \stackrel{(B0),(b)}{\implies} y \notin (bx.r) \& r_x(y) = r' \stackrel{L1.1^{2c}}{\implies} r_x(s) = r_x(y)_y(s) = r'_y(s)$.
- “ \impliedby ”: $r = r_x(x) = r'_y(x) \stackrel{L1.2^d}{\implies} \text{FV}(r) = \text{FV}(r'_y(x)) \subseteq (\text{FV}(r') \setminus \{y\}) \cup \{x\} \Rightarrow$
 $\Rightarrow y \notin \text{FV}(r) \setminus \{x\} \stackrel{(B0)}{=} \text{FV}(bx.r) \stackrel{(a)}{\implies} bx.r = by.r_x(y) = by.r'_y(y) = by.r'$.

Definition.

$$\mathfrak{b}\mathcal{T} := \{bx.r : x \in \text{Vars} \& r \in \mathcal{T}\}$$

$$\beta : \mathfrak{b}\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}, \beta(bx.r, s) := r_x(s) \quad (\text{due to Lemma 1.4c, } \beta \text{ is well defined})$$

Lemma 1.5.

- (a) $t \in \mathcal{T} \Rightarrow t\theta \in \mathcal{T}$ and $(bx.t)\theta \in \mathfrak{b}\mathcal{T}$.
- (b) $r, s \in \mathcal{T} \Rightarrow \beta((bx.r)\theta, s) = r\theta_x^s$.
- (c) $t \in \mathfrak{b}\mathcal{T} \& y \notin \text{FV}(t) \Rightarrow t = by.\beta(t, y)$.
- (d) $t \in \mathfrak{b}\mathcal{T} \& s \in \mathcal{T} \Rightarrow \beta(t, s)\theta = \beta(t\theta, s\theta)$.

Proof:

- (a) Proof of $t\theta \in \mathcal{T}$ by induction on $\text{lh}(t)$. Assume $t = bx.r$ with $r \in \mathcal{T}$. Take $y \notin \text{FV}(t\theta)$. Then by I.H. $r\theta_x^y \in \mathcal{T}$ and thus $t\theta \stackrel{(B2)}{=} by.r\theta_x^y \in \mathcal{T}$.
- (b) Let $y \notin \text{FV}((bx.r)\theta)$. Then $\beta((bx.r)\theta, s) \stackrel{(B2)}{=} \beta(by.r\theta_x^y, s) = (r\theta_x^y)_y(s) \stackrel{L1.2^c}{=} r\theta_x^s$.
- (c) Let $t = bx.r$. Then $t = by.r_x(y) = by.\beta(t, y)$.
- (d) Let $t = bx.r$. $\beta((bx.r)\theta, s\theta) \stackrel{(b)}{=} r\theta_x^{s\theta} \stackrel{1.2^e}{=} r_x(s)\theta = \beta(bx.r, s)\theta$.

Proof of (S0), (B1), (B2)

Inductive definition of sets $\mathcal{T}_n = \mathcal{T}_n(\text{Vars}; \mathcal{F}; \mathcal{B})$ of quasiterms

1. $\text{Vars} \cup \{\circ_k : k < n\} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_n$;
2. $f \in \mathcal{F}_m \ \& \ t_1, \dots, t_m \in \mathcal{T}_n \implies f t_1 \dots t_m \in \mathcal{T}_n$;
3. $r \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \ \& \ b \in \mathcal{B} \implies b r \in \mathcal{T}_n$.

Remark. $n < m \implies \mathcal{T}_n \subseteq \mathcal{T}_m$. $\mathcal{T} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{T}_n$.

Lemma 1.6.

- (a) $t \in \mathcal{T}_n \implies t_x[n] \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1}$.
- (b) $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_0$.
- (c) $t \in \mathcal{T}_n \implies t\theta \in \mathcal{T}_n$.
- (d) $t, t' \in \mathcal{T}_n \ \& \ t_x[n] = t'_x[n] \implies t = t'$.
- (e) $t \in \mathcal{T}_n \ \& \ y = x\theta \notin \bigcup_{z \in \text{FV}(t) \setminus \{x\}} \text{FV}(z\theta) \implies t_x[n]\theta = (t\theta)_y[n]$.

Proof:

(a) obvious.

(b) “ $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_0$ ”: $r \in \mathcal{T}_0 \stackrel{(a)}{\implies} r_x[0] \in \mathcal{T}_1 \implies b x.r = b r_x[0] \in \mathcal{T}_0$.

“ $\mathcal{T}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ ”: One easily proves: (*) $t' \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \ \& \ x \notin \text{FV}(t') \implies \exists t \in \mathcal{T}_n (t' = t_x[n])$.

Now by induction on $\text{lh}(t)$ one proves ($t \in \mathcal{T}_0 \implies t \in \mathcal{T}$):

Let $t = b r'$ with $r' \in \mathcal{T}_1$. Take $x \notin \text{FV}(r')$. Then by (*) there is an $r \in \mathcal{T}_0$ with $r' = r_x[0]$.

Now $\text{lh}(r) = \text{lh}(r') < \text{lh}(t)$ and therefore by I.H. $r \in \mathcal{T}$ and thus $t = b r_x[0] = b x.r \in \mathcal{T}$.

(c) follows from (b) by induction on \mathcal{T}_n .

(d) 1. $t \in \text{Vars} \cup \{\circ_k : k < n\}$: Then also $t' \in \text{Vars} \cup \{\circ_k : k < n\}$.

1.1. $t = x$: $t'_x[n] = t_x[n] = \circ_n \implies t' = x$.

1.2. $t \neq x$: $t'_x[n] = t_x[n] = t \neq \circ_n \implies t' = t'_x[n] = t$.

2. $t = b r$ with $r \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1}$: Then $t' = b r'$ with $r' \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1}$ and $b r_x[n+1] = t_x[n] = t'_x[n] = b r'_x[n+1]$.

Hence $r_x[n+1] = r'_x[n+1]$ and by I.H. $r = r'$ which yields $t = t'$.

(e) 1. $t = x$: $t_x[n]\theta = \circ_n = y_y[n] = (x\theta)_y[n]$.

2. $x \neq t \in \text{Vars} \cup \{\circ_k : k < n\}$: $t_x[n]\theta = t\theta = (t\theta)_y[n]$, since $y \notin \text{FV}(t\theta)$.

3. $t = b r$ with $r \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1}$: $t_x[n]\theta = b r_x[n+1]\theta \stackrel{\text{IH}}{=} b (r\theta)_y[n+1] = (b (r\theta))_y[n] = (t\theta)_y[n]$.

(S0) $t \in \mathcal{T} \stackrel{1.6b}{\implies} t \in \mathcal{T}_0 \stackrel{1.6c}{\implies} t\theta \in \mathcal{T}_0 \stackrel{1.6b}{\implies} t\theta \in \mathcal{T}$.

(B1) $r, r' \in \mathcal{T} \ \& \ b x.r = b x.r' \stackrel{1.6b}{\implies} r, r' \in \mathcal{T}_0 \ \& \ r_x[0] = r'_x[0] \stackrel{1.6d}{\implies} r = r'$.

(B2) $y \notin \text{FV}((b x.r)\theta) \stackrel{\text{L.1.2b}}{=} \bigcup_{z \in \text{FV}(b x.r)} \text{FV}(z\theta) \stackrel{(\text{B0})}{=} \bigcup_{z \in \text{FV}(r) \setminus \{x\}} \text{FV}(z\theta) \implies$
 $\implies (b x.r)\theta \stackrel{(\text{B0}), (\text{S1})}{=} (b x.r)\theta_x^y = b r_x[0]\theta_x^y \stackrel{1.6b, e}{=} b (r\theta_x^y)_y[0] = b y.r\theta_x^y$.

Remark.

Under computational aspects it is useful to have a direct algorithm for the operation $\beta : \flat\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$. This is achieved as follows.

Definition of $t_n[s]$ for $t \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1}, s \in \mathcal{T}_0$

1. For $t \in \text{Vars} \cup \{\circ_k : k \leq n\}$: $t_n[s] := \begin{cases} s & \text{if } t = \circ_n \\ t & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$;
2. $(ft_1 \dots t_m)_n[s] := f(t_1)_n[s] \dots (t_m)_n[s]$;
3. $(\flat r)_n[s] := \flat r_{n+1}[s]$.

Lemma 1.7

- (a) $t \in \mathcal{T}_n \Rightarrow t_x[n]_n[s] = t_x(s)$.
- (b) $\flat \tilde{r} \in \mathcal{T}_0 \Rightarrow \beta(\flat \tilde{r}, s) = \tilde{r}_0[s]$.

Proof:

- (a) 1. $t = x$: $t_x[n]_n[s] = (\circ_n)_n[s] = s = t_x(s)$.
 2. $x \neq t \in \text{Vars} \cup \{\circ_k : k < n\}$: $t_x[n]_n[s] = t_n[s] = t = t_x(s)$.
 3. $t = \flat r$ with $r \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1}$: $t_x[n]_n[s] = (\flat r_x[n+1])_n[s] = \flat r_x[n+1]_{n+1}[s] \stackrel{\text{IH}}{=} \flat r_x(s) = t_x(s)$.
- (b) Since $\mathcal{T}_0 = \mathcal{T}$, we have $\flat \tilde{r} = \flat x.r = \flat r_x[0]$ for some $x \in \text{Vars}, r \in \mathcal{T}_0$.
Hence $\beta(\flat \tilde{r}, s) = r_x(s) \stackrel{\text{(a)}}{=} r_x[0]_0[s] = \tilde{r}_0[s]$.

Remark.

The above introduced set of terms $\mathcal{T}(\text{Vars}; \mathcal{F}; \mathcal{B})$ can be seen as a concrete realization of a more general kind of structure $(\mathcal{T}, \text{Vars}, \text{FV}, \text{SUB}, \mathcal{B})$ where

- \mathcal{T} is a set,
- Vars is an infinite subset of \mathcal{T} ,
- for each $t \in \mathcal{T}$, $\text{FV}(t) \subseteq \text{Vars}$,
- SUB is a set of mappings $\theta : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}, t \mapsto t\theta$,
- for each $\flat \in \mathcal{B}$, $(x, r) \mapsto \flat x.r$ is a mapping from $\text{Vars} \times \mathcal{T}$ to \mathcal{T} .

From the proofs of Lemmata 1.2, 1.4, 1.5 one can derive the following

Theorem. If $(\mathcal{T}, \text{Vars}, \text{FV}, \text{SUB}, \mathcal{B})$ is a structure as described above which satisfies the axioms (S1)-(S4),(B1),(B2) then also (B0) and the Lemmata 1.2, 1.4, 1.5 hold, where in 1.5b one has to add the premise $\text{Vars} \setminus \text{FV}((\flat x.r)\theta) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof of (B0):

Note that by L.1.2a, $\text{FV}(y) = \{y\}$ for each $y \in \text{Vars}$.

1. We choose some $z \neq x$.
L.1.2b $\Rightarrow x \notin \text{FV}((\flat x.r)\epsilon_x^z) \stackrel{\text{(B2)}}{\Rightarrow} (\flat x.r)\epsilon_x^z = \flat x.r(\epsilon_x^z)_x = \flat x.r \stackrel{1.2a}{\Rightarrow} x \notin \text{FV}(\flat x.r)$.
2. Let $y \neq x$. We choose some $z \notin \{x, y\}$. By 1. we have $x \notin \text{FV}(\flat x.r)$.

Hence (by L.1.2b) $x \notin \text{FV}((\flat x.r)_y(z))$ which by (B2) yields $(\flat x.r)_y(z) = \flat x.r_y(z)$. Now we get:
 $y \notin \text{FV}(\flat x.r) \stackrel{1.2a}{\Leftrightarrow} \flat x.r = (\flat x.r)_y(z) \Leftrightarrow \flat x.r = \flat x.r_y(z) \stackrel{\text{(B1)}}{\Leftrightarrow} r = r_y(z) \stackrel{1.2a}{\Leftrightarrow} y \notin \text{FV}(r)$.

§2 Untyped λ -calculus

Let Vars be an infinite set of *variables* (denoted by x, y, z, \dots) and App, λ constructor symbols.

Inductive definition of the set Λ

1. $\text{Vars} \subseteq \Lambda$;
2. $r, s \in \Lambda \implies \text{App } rs \in \Lambda$;
3. $r \in \Lambda \implies \lambda x.r \in \Lambda$.

This definition is to be understood in the sense of §1,

i.e. $\Lambda := \mathcal{T}(\text{Vars}; \mathcal{F}; \mathcal{B})$ with $\mathcal{F} = \{\text{App}\}$, $\mathcal{B} = \{\lambda\}$ and $\#(\text{App}) = 2$.

We set $\text{b}\Lambda := \{\lambda x.r : x \in \text{Vars} \ \& \ r \in \Lambda\}$.

In the following r, s, t, r', \dots always denote elements of Λ .

As usual we write rs or (rs) for App , and $rs_1 \dots s_n$ for $(\dots((rs_1)s_2)\dots)$.

Inductive definition of $t \rightarrow_\beta t'$

- $(\rightarrow_\beta 1)$ $rs \rightarrow_\beta \beta(r, s)$, if $r \in \text{b}\Lambda$;
- $(\rightarrow_\beta 2)$ $r \rightarrow_\beta r' \implies rs \rightarrow_\beta r's$,
 $s \rightarrow_\beta s' \implies rs \rightarrow_\beta rs'$;
- $(\rightarrow_\beta 3)$ $r \rightarrow_\beta r' \implies \lambda x.r \rightarrow_\beta \lambda x.r'$.

Remark. (i) $t \rightarrow_\beta t' \implies \text{FV}(t') \subseteq \text{FV}(t)$; (ii) $t \rightarrow_\beta t' \ \& \ t \in \text{b}\Lambda \implies t' \in \text{b}\Lambda$.

Definition. \rightarrow_β^* denotes the reflexive and transitive closure of \rightarrow_β .

Lemma 2.1.

- (a) $t \rightarrow_\beta t' \implies t\theta \rightarrow_\beta t'\theta$.
- (b) If $\lambda x.r \rightarrow_\beta t'$ then $t' = \lambda x.r'$ with $r \rightarrow_\beta r'$.
- (c) $\forall x \in \text{FV}(t)(x\theta \rightarrow_\beta^* x\theta') \implies t\theta \rightarrow_\beta^* t\theta'$.
- (d) $r \in \text{b}\Lambda \ \& \ r \rightarrow_\beta r' \ \& \ s \rightarrow_\beta s' \implies \beta(r, s) \rightarrow_\beta^* \beta(r', s')$.

Proof:

(a) Induction on \rightarrow_β :

$(\rightarrow_\beta 1)$ $t = rs \ \& \ r \in \text{b}\Lambda \ \& \ t' = \beta(r, s) \implies t\theta = (r\theta)(s\theta) \ \& \ r\theta \in \text{b}\Lambda \ \& \ t'\theta = \beta(r\theta, s\theta)$.

$(\rightarrow_\beta 2)$ immediate by I.H.

$(\rightarrow_\beta 3)$ Assume $t = \lambda x.r$ and $t' = \lambda x.r'$ with $r \rightarrow_\beta r'$. Take some $y \notin \text{FV}(t\theta, t'\theta)$.

Then by I.H. $r\theta_x^y \rightarrow_\beta r'\theta_x^y$ and thus $t\theta = \lambda y.r\theta_x^y \rightarrow_\beta \lambda y.r'\theta_x^y = t'\theta$.

(b) We have $\lambda x.r = \lambda y.\tilde{r}$ and $t' = \lambda y.\tilde{r}'$ with $\tilde{r} \rightarrow_\beta \tilde{r}'$.

Now by (a) we obtain $r = \tilde{r}_y(x) \rightarrow_\beta \tilde{r}'_y(x) =: r'$ and $t' = \lambda y.\tilde{r}' \stackrel{x \notin \text{FV}(t')}{=} \lambda x.r'$.

(c) Induction on $\text{lh}(t)$:

1. $t \in \text{Vars}$: trivial.

2. $t = (rs)$: By I.H. $r\theta \rightarrow_\beta^* r'\theta$ and $s\theta \rightarrow_\beta^* s'\theta$. Hence $t\theta \rightarrow_\beta^* r'\theta s'\theta \rightarrow_\beta^* r'\theta s'\theta = t'\theta$.

3. $t = \lambda x.r$: Let $y \notin \text{FV}(t\theta, t'\theta)$. Then by I.H. $r\theta_x^y \rightarrow_\beta^* r'\theta_x^y$. Hence $t\theta = \lambda y.r\theta_x^y \rightarrow_\beta^* \lambda y.r'\theta_x^y = t'\theta$.

(d) We have $r = \lambda x.\tilde{r}$ and $r' = \lambda x.\tilde{r}'$ with $\tilde{r} \rightarrow_\beta \tilde{r}'$.

Hence by (a) and (c) $\beta(r, s) = \tilde{r}_x(s) \rightarrow_\beta \tilde{r}'_x(s) \rightarrow_\beta^* \tilde{r}'_x(s') = \beta(r', s')$.

Inductive Definition of Λ_{nf}

($\Lambda_{nf}1$) $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \Lambda_{nf} \implies xs_1\dots s_n \in \Lambda_{nf}$;

($\Lambda_{nf}2$) $r \in \Lambda_{nf} \implies \lambda x.r \in \Lambda_{nf}$.

Lemma 2.2.

(a) $t \in \Lambda_{nf} \ \& \ \forall x \in \text{FV}(t)(x\theta \in \text{Vars}) \implies t\theta \in \Lambda_{nf}$;

(b) $\lambda x.r \in \Lambda_{nf} \implies r \in \Lambda_{nf}$.

Proof as for 2.1a,b:

(a) Assume $t = \lambda x.r$ with $r \in \Lambda_{nf}$, and let $y \notin \text{FV}(t\theta)$. Then by I.H. $r\theta_x^y \in \Lambda_{nf}$ and thus $t\theta = \lambda y.r\theta_x^y \in \Lambda_{nf}$.

(b) We have $\lambda x.r = \lambda y.\tilde{r}$ with $\tilde{r} \in \Lambda_{nf}$. Now by (a) we obtain $r = \tilde{r}_y(x) \in \Lambda_{nf}$.

Lemma 2.3. $t \notin \Lambda_{nf} \iff \exists t'(t \rightarrow_\beta t')$.

Proof:

1. $t \in \text{b}\Lambda$:

“ \Rightarrow ”: $t = \lambda x.r \notin \Lambda_{nf} \Rightarrow r \notin \Lambda_{nf} \stackrel{\text{IH}}{\implies} r \rightarrow_\beta r' \Rightarrow t \rightarrow_\beta \lambda x.r'$.

“ \Leftarrow ”: $t = \lambda x.r$ and $t' = \lambda x.r'$ with $r \rightarrow_\beta r' \stackrel{\text{IH}}{\implies} r \notin \Lambda_{nf} \stackrel{\text{L.2.2b}}{\implies} t = \lambda x.r \notin \Lambda_{nf}$.

2. $t = (\lambda x.r)s\vec{s}$: Then $t \notin \Lambda_{nf}$ and $t \rightarrow_\beta r_x(s)\vec{s}$.

3. $t = xs_1\dots s_k$: $t \notin \Lambda_{nf} \iff \exists i(s_i \notin \Lambda_{nf}) \stackrel{\text{IH}}{\iff} \exists i, s'(s_i \rightarrow_\beta s') \iff \exists t'(t \rightarrow_\beta t')$.

Inductive Definition of $t \rightarrow_p t'$

- (\rightarrow_p 1) $r \rightarrow_p r' \ \& \ s \rightarrow_p s' \ \& \ r \in \mathfrak{b}\Lambda \implies rs \rightarrow_p \beta(r', s')$;
- (\rightarrow_p 2) $r \rightarrow_p r' \ \& \ s \rightarrow_p s' \implies rs \rightarrow_p r's'$;
- (\rightarrow_p 3) $r \rightarrow_p r' \implies \lambda x.r \rightarrow_p \lambda x.r'$;
- (\rightarrow_p 4) $x \rightarrow_p x$.

Remark.

- (a) $t \rightarrow_p t' \ \& \ t \in \mathfrak{b}\Lambda \implies t' \in \mathfrak{b}\Lambda$.
- (b) $t \rightarrow_p t' \implies \text{FV}(t') \subseteq \text{FV}(t)$.
- (c) $t \rightarrow_p t$.
- (d) $t \rightarrow_\beta t' \implies t \rightarrow_p t'$.
- (e) $t \rightarrow_p t' \implies t \rightarrow_\beta^* t'$. [cf. Lemma 2.1d]

Lemma 2.4.

- (a) $t \rightarrow_p t' \ \& \ \forall x \in \text{FV}(t)(x\theta \rightarrow_p x\theta') \implies t\theta \rightarrow_p t'\theta'$.
- (b) If $\lambda x.r \rightarrow_p t'$ then $t' = \lambda x.r'$ with $r \rightarrow_p r'$.
- (c) $t \rightarrow_p t' \ \& \ s \rightarrow_p s' \ \& \ t \in \mathfrak{b}\Lambda \implies \beta(t, s) \rightarrow_p \beta(t', s')$.

Proof:

(a) Induction on \rightarrow_p :

$$(\rightarrow_p1) \ r \rightarrow_p r' \ \& \ s \rightarrow_p s' \ \& \ r \in \mathfrak{b}\Lambda \xrightarrow{\text{IH}} r\theta \rightarrow_p r'\theta' \ \& \ s\theta \rightarrow_p s'\theta' \ \& \ r\theta \in \mathfrak{b}\Lambda \implies \\ \implies (rs)\theta = (r\theta)(s\theta) \rightarrow_p \beta(r'\theta', s'\theta') = \beta(r', s')\theta'.$$

(\rightarrow_p 2) Immediate by I.H.

(\rightarrow_p 3) As in the proof of 2.1a.

(\rightarrow_p 4) trivial.

(b) As 2.1b.

(c) We have $t = \lambda x.r \ \& \ t' = \lambda x.r'$ with $r \rightarrow_p r'$. Hence by (a) $\beta(t, s) = r_x(s) \rightarrow_p r'_x(s') = \beta(t', s')$.

Lemma 2.5 $t \rightarrow_p t' \ \& \ t \rightarrow_p t'' \implies \exists \tilde{t}(t' \rightarrow_p \tilde{t} \ \& \ t'' \rightarrow_p \tilde{t})$.

Proof by induction on $\text{lh}(t)$:

1. $t = rs$, $t' = \beta(r', s')$ with $r \in \mathfrak{b}\Lambda$, $r \rightarrow_p r'$ and $s \rightarrow_p s'$:

Then $t'' = \beta(r'', s'')$ [or $t'' = r''s''$] with $r \rightarrow_p r''$ and $s \rightarrow_p s''$.

By I.H. there are \tilde{r}, \tilde{s} such that $r' \rightarrow_p \tilde{r}$, $r'' \rightarrow_p \tilde{r}$, $s' \rightarrow_p \tilde{s}$, $s'' \rightarrow_p \tilde{s}$. Hence by Lemma 2.4c

$t' = \beta(r', s') \rightarrow_p \beta(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})$ and $t'' = \beta(r'', s'') \rightarrow_p \beta(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})$ [or $t'' = r''s'' \rightarrow_p \beta(\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})$].

2. $t = rs$, $t' = r's'$, $t'' = r''s''$: immediate by I.H.

3. $t = \lambda x.r$, $t' = \lambda x.r'$ with $r \rightarrow_p r'$: By Lemma 2.4b we then have $t'' = \lambda x.r''$ with $r \rightarrow_p r''$, and the claim follows immediately by the I.H.

4. $t = x$: trivial.

If one wants to define a function on Λ by recursion over the inductive definition of Λ one is confronted with the problem that for $t = \lambda x.r$ one cannot refer to x and r , since these data are not determined by t . To overcome this difficulty we introduce some function $v : \Lambda \rightarrow \text{Vars}$ such that $v(t) \notin \text{FV}(t)$ for each t . Then every $t \in \text{b}\Lambda$ can be uniquely written as $t = \lambda x.r$ with $x := v(t)$.

As an example we consider the operation $t \mapsto t^\ell$ ($t \notin \Lambda_{nf}$) where t^ℓ results from t by carrying out the leftmost β -reduction in t .

Definition of t^ℓ for $t \notin \Lambda_{nf}$

$$((\lambda x.r)s\vec{s})^\ell := r_x(s)\vec{s} = \beta(\lambda x.r, s)\vec{s},$$

$$(\lambda x.r)^\ell := \lambda x.r^\ell \text{ if } x = v(\lambda x.r),$$

$$(xs_1\dots s_k) := xs_1\dots s_{i-1}s_i^\ell s_{i+1}\dots s_k \text{ where } i \text{ is minimal such that } s_i \notin \Lambda_{nf}.$$

Remark: $\text{FV}(t^\ell) \subseteq \text{FV}(t)$.

Lemma 2.6.

$$(a) \forall x \in \text{FV}(t)(x\theta \in \text{Vars}) \implies (t\theta)^\ell = t^\ell\theta.$$

$$(b) (\lambda x.r)^\ell = \lambda x.r^\ell.$$

Proof:

$$(a) 1. t = rs\vec{s} \text{ with } r \in \text{b}\Lambda: (t\theta)^\ell = (r\theta s\theta\vec{s}\theta)^\ell = \beta(r\theta, s\theta)\vec{s}\theta = (\beta(r, s)\vec{s})\theta = t^\ell\theta.$$

$$2. t = \lambda x.r \text{ with } x := v(t): \text{ Then } t\theta = \lambda y.r\theta_x^y \text{ with } y := v(t\theta). \text{ Hence } (t\theta)^\ell = \lambda y.(r\theta_x^y)^\ell \stackrel{\text{IH}}{=} \lambda y.r^\ell\theta_x^y \stackrel{(*)}{=} (\lambda x.r^\ell)\theta = t^\ell\theta. \quad (*) \text{ FV}(t^\ell) \subseteq \text{FV}(t) \implies \text{FV}(t^\ell\theta) \subseteq \text{FV}(t\theta) \implies y \notin \text{FV}(t^\ell\theta).$$

$$(a) 2. t = \lambda x.r \text{ with } x := v(t): \text{ Then } t\theta = \lambda y.r\theta_x^y \text{ with } y := v(t\theta). \text{ Hence } (t\theta)^\ell = \lambda y.(r\theta_x^y)^\ell \stackrel{\text{IH}}{=} \lambda y.r^\ell\theta_x^y \stackrel{(*)}{=} (\lambda x.r^\ell)\theta = t^\ell\theta. \quad (*) \text{ FV}(t^\ell) \subseteq \text{FV}(t) \implies \text{FV}(t^\ell\theta) \subseteq \text{FV}(t\theta) \implies y \notin \text{FV}(t^\ell\theta).$$

$$3. t = xs_1\dots s_k \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq k \text{ with } s_i \notin \Lambda_{nf} \text{ and } s_1, \dots, s_{i-1} \in \Lambda_{nf}:$$

Let $z := z\theta$. Then $t\theta = z(s_1\theta)\dots(s_k\theta)$ and $s_i\theta \notin \Lambda_{nf}$ (by L.2.1a,2.3) and $s_1\theta, \dots, s_{i-1}\theta \in \Lambda_{nf}$ (by L.2.2).

$$\text{Hence } (t\theta)^\ell = z(s_1\theta)\dots(s_{i-1}\theta)(s_i\theta)^\ell(s_{i+1}\theta)\dots(s_k\theta) \stackrel{\text{IH}}{=} z(s_1\theta)\dots(s_{i-1}\theta)(s_i^\ell)\theta(s_{i+1}\theta)\dots(s_k\theta) =$$

$$= (xs_1\dots s_{i-1}s_i^\ell s_{i+1}\dots s_k)\theta = t^\ell\theta.$$

$$(b) \text{ Let } y := v(\lambda x.r). \text{ Then } (\lambda x.r)^\ell = (\lambda y.r_x(y))^\ell = \lambda y.r_x(y)^\ell \stackrel{(a)}{=} \lambda y.(r^\ell)_x(y) = \lambda x.r^\ell.$$

§3 The system $\lambda 2$

Typevars: α, β, \dots ; Termvars: x, y, z, \dots

Vars := Typevars \cup Termvars, $\mathcal{B} := \{\Lambda, \forall, \mathbf{l}\}$, $\mathcal{F} := \{\text{App}, \rightarrow, \lambda^*\}$ (where App, \rightarrow, λ^* all have arity 2).

Inductive Definition of Types

1. Typevars \subseteq Types;
2. $A, B \in \text{Types} \implies \rightarrow AB \in \text{Types}$;
3. $A \in \text{Types} \implies \forall \alpha. A \in \text{Types}$.

This is to be understood in the sense that $\text{Types} = \mathcal{T}(\text{Typevars}; \{\rightarrow\}; \{\forall\}) \subseteq \mathcal{T}(\text{Vars}; \mathcal{F}; \mathcal{B})$.

Types are denoted by A, B, \dots ; we write $(A \rightarrow B)$ for $\rightarrow AB$.

Abbreviation: $\lambda x^A. r := \lambda^* A \mathbf{l}x.r$, $(rs) := \text{App } rs$

r, s, t range over elements of $\mathcal{T}(\text{Vars}; \mathcal{F}; \mathcal{B})$.

θ ranges over substitutions such that $\forall \alpha \in \text{Typevars} (\alpha \theta \in \text{Types})$; then also $\forall A \in \text{Types} (A \theta \in \text{Types})$.

We extend the operation β by: $\beta(\lambda x^A. r, s) := \beta(\mathbf{l}x.r, s) = r_x(s)$.

Then $\beta((\lambda x^A. r)\theta, s\theta) = \beta(\lambda^* A \theta (\mathbf{l}x.r)\theta, s\theta) = \beta((\mathbf{l}x.r)\theta, s\theta) = \beta(\mathbf{l}x.r, s)\theta = \beta(\lambda x^A. r, s)\theta$.

Proposition.

- (a) $\text{FV}(\lambda x^A. r) = \text{FV}(A) \cup (\text{FV}(r) \setminus \{x\})$.
- (b) $\text{FV}((\lambda x^A. r)\theta) = \text{FV}(A\theta) \cup \text{FV}((\mathbf{l}x.r)\theta)$.
- (c) $y \notin \text{FV}((\lambda x^A. r)\theta) \implies (\lambda x^A. r)\theta = \lambda y^{A\theta}. r\theta_x^y$.

Proof:

(b) $y \notin \text{FV}((\lambda x^A. r)\theta) \implies y \notin \text{FV}((\mathbf{l}x.r)\theta) \implies (\lambda x^A. r)\theta = \lambda^* A \theta (\mathbf{l}x.r)\theta = \lambda^* A \theta \mathbf{l}y. r\theta_x^y = \lambda y^{A\theta}. r\theta_x^y$.

A *context* is a finite set of pairs $x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_n : A_n$ where the term variables x_1, \dots, x_n are all distinct.

Contexts are denoted by Γ, Γ', \dots

Inductive Definition of $\Gamma \vdash t : C$

0. $x : A \in \Gamma \implies \Gamma \vdash x : A$;
1. $\Gamma \vdash r : A \rightarrow B$ & $\Gamma \vdash s : A \implies \Gamma \vdash (rs) : B$;
2. $\Gamma, x : A \vdash r : B \implies \Gamma \vdash \lambda x^A. r : A \rightarrow B$;
3. $\Gamma \vdash r : \forall \alpha. A \implies \Gamma \vdash (rB) : A_\alpha(B)$;
4. $\Gamma \vdash r : A$ & $\alpha \notin \text{FV}(\Gamma) \implies \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. r : \forall \alpha. A$.

In 2. it is understood that $x : A \notin \Gamma$.

Corollary.

- (1) $\Gamma \vdash t : C \implies t \notin \text{Types}$.
- (2) $\Gamma \vdash t : C$ & $\Gamma \vdash t : C' \implies C = C'$.

Lemma 3.1.

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_m : A_m \vdash t : C \text{ \& } \\ \Delta' \vdash x_i \theta : A_i \theta \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m \text{ and all } \Delta' \supseteq \Delta \end{array} \right\} \implies \Delta \vdash t \theta : C \theta.$$

Proof:

Let $\Gamma := x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_m : A_m$.

0. $t = x_i, C = A_i$: By assumption $\Delta \vdash x_i \theta : A_i \theta$.

1. $\Delta \vdash r \theta : A \theta \rightarrow C \theta$ & $\Delta \vdash s \theta : A \theta \implies \Delta \vdash (rs) \theta : C \theta$.

2. $t = \lambda x^A. r, C = A \rightarrow B$, and $\Gamma, x : A \vdash r : B$:

Choose $y \notin \text{FV}(t\theta) \cup \text{FV}(\Delta)$; then $t\theta = \lambda y^{A\theta}. r\theta_x^y$ and,

$\Delta' \vdash x_i \theta_x^y : A_i \theta$ and $\Delta' \vdash x \theta_x^y : A\theta$, for all $\Delta' \supseteq \Delta, y : A\theta$.

Hence, by I.H., $\Delta, y : A\theta \vdash r\theta_x^y : B\theta_x^y$; this yields $\Delta \vdash \lambda y^{A\theta}. r\theta_x^y : A\theta \rightarrow B\theta$, i.e., $\Delta \vdash t\theta : C\theta$.

3. $t = (rB)$ with $\Gamma \vdash r : A$, and $C = \beta(A, B)$:

IH $\implies \Delta \vdash r\theta : A\theta \implies \Delta \vdash (r\theta)(B\theta) : \beta(A\theta, B\theta) \implies \Delta \vdash (rB)\theta : \beta(A, B)\theta$.

4. $t = \Lambda \alpha. r$ with $\Gamma \vdash r : A$, $\alpha \notin \text{FV}(\Gamma)$, and $C = \forall \alpha. A$: Choose $\beta \notin \text{FV}(t\theta) \cup \text{FV}(C\theta) \cup \text{FV}(\Delta)$.

Then $t\theta = \Lambda \beta. r\theta_\alpha^\beta$, $C\theta = \forall \beta. A\theta_\alpha^\beta$, and $\Delta' \vdash x_i \theta_\alpha^\beta : A_i \theta_\alpha^\beta$ (since $\alpha \notin \text{FV}(\Gamma)$).

I.H. $\implies \Delta \vdash r\theta_\alpha^\beta : A\theta_\alpha^\beta \implies \Delta \vdash \Lambda \beta. r\theta_\alpha^\beta : \forall \beta. A\theta_\alpha^\beta$, i.e., $\Delta \vdash t\theta : C\theta$.

Corollary 3.2. $\Gamma \vdash t : C$ & $\Gamma \subseteq \Delta \implies \Delta \vdash t : C$.

Proof: Let $\Gamma = x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_m : A_m$.

$\Gamma \subseteq \Delta \implies \Delta' \vdash x_i : A_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and all $\Delta' \supseteq \Delta \implies \Delta \vdash t : C$.

Corollary 3.3.

$x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_m : A_m \vdash t : C$ & $\Delta \vdash x_i \theta : A_i \theta$ for $i = 1, \dots, m \implies \Delta \vdash t \theta : C \theta$.

Proof: Immediate from Theorem and Corollary 3.2.

Corollary 3.4. $\Gamma \vdash t : C$ & $\forall x \in \text{Termvars}(x\theta = x) \implies \Gamma \theta \vdash t \theta : C \theta$.

Proof:

Let $\Gamma = x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_m : A_m$. Then $\Gamma \theta \vdash x_i \theta : A_i \theta$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Hence $\Gamma \theta \vdash t \theta : C \theta$ by Corollary 3.3.

 β -reduction**Definition of \rightarrow_β**

1.1. $(\lambda x^A. r)s \rightarrow_\beta r_x(s)$ [$= \beta(\lambda x^A. r, s)$]

1.2. $(\Lambda \alpha. r)B \rightarrow_\beta r_\alpha(B)$ [$= \beta(\Lambda \alpha. r, B)$]

2.1. $r \rightarrow_\beta r' \implies (rs) \rightarrow_\beta (r's)$

2.2. $s \rightarrow_\beta s' \implies (rs) \rightarrow_\beta (rs')$

3.1. $r \rightarrow_\beta r' \implies \lambda x^A. r \rightarrow_\beta \lambda x^A. r'$

3.2. $r \rightarrow_\beta r' \implies \Lambda \alpha. r \rightarrow_\beta \Lambda \alpha. r'$.

Corollary. $t \rightarrow_\beta t' \implies \text{FV}(t') \subseteq \text{FV}(t)$.

Lemma 3.5.

- (a) $t \rightarrow_\beta t' \implies t\theta \rightarrow_\beta t'\theta$ if θ correct;
- (b) (i) $\lambda x^A.r \rightarrow_\beta t' \implies t' = \lambda x^A.r'$ with $r \rightarrow_\beta r'$;
- (ii) $\Lambda\alpha.r \rightarrow_\beta t' \implies t' = \Lambda\alpha.r'$ with $r \rightarrow_\beta r'$.

Proof: As for Lemma 2.1a,b.

(a) Induction on \rightarrow_β :

1.1. $t = (\lambda x^A.r)s$ & $t' = \beta(\lambda x^A.r, s) \implies t\theta = ((\lambda x^A.r)\theta)(s\theta) \rightarrow_\beta \beta((\lambda x^A.r)\theta, s\theta) = t'\theta$.

1.2. $t = (\Lambda\alpha.r)B$ & $t' = \beta(\Lambda\alpha.r, B) \implies t\theta = ((\Lambda\alpha.r)\theta)(B\theta) \rightarrow_\beta \beta((\Lambda\alpha.r)\theta, B\theta) = t'\theta$.

2.1. and 2.2.: immediate by I.H.

3.1. $t = \lambda x^A.r$ and $t' = \lambda x^A.r'$ with $r \rightarrow_\beta r'$: Take some $y \notin \text{FV}(t\theta, t'\theta)$.

Then by I.H. $r\theta_x^y \rightarrow_\beta r'\theta_x^y$ and thus $t\theta = \lambda y^{A\theta}.r\theta_x^y \rightarrow_\beta \lambda y^{A\theta}.r'\theta_x^y = t'\theta$.

3.2. $t = \Lambda\alpha.r$ and $t' = \Lambda\alpha.r'$ with $r \rightarrow_\beta r'$: analogous to 3.1.

(b) (i) We have $\lambda x^A.r = \lambda y^A.\tilde{r}$ and $t' = \lambda y^A.\tilde{r}'$ with $\tilde{r} \rightarrow_\beta \tilde{r}'$.

Now by (a) we obtain $r = \tilde{r}_y(x) \rightarrow_\beta \tilde{r}'_y(x) =: r'$ and $t' = \lambda y^A.\tilde{r}' \stackrel{x \notin \text{FV}(t')}{=} \lambda x^A.r'$.

(ii): analogous to (i).

Lemma 3.6 (Subject reduction). $\Gamma \vdash t : C$ & $t \rightarrow_\beta t' \implies \Gamma \vdash t' : C$.

Proof by induction on $\text{lh}(t)$:

1. $t = (\tilde{t}s)$ and $t' = \beta(\tilde{t}, s)$:

1.1. $\tilde{t} = \lambda x^A.r$ with $\Gamma, x : A \vdash r : C$ and $\Gamma \vdash s : A$:

By Corollary 3.3 (with $\Delta := \Gamma$ and $\theta := \epsilon_x^s$) we obtain $\Gamma \vdash r_x(s) : C$, i.e. $\Gamma \vdash t' : C$.

1.2. $\tilde{t} = \Lambda\alpha.r$, $s \in \text{Types}$, $C = \beta(\forall\alpha.A, s)$ and $\Gamma \vdash r : A$ with $\alpha \notin \text{FV}(\Gamma)$:

By Corollary 4.4 (with $\theta := \epsilon_\alpha^s$) we obtain $\Gamma \vdash r_\alpha(s) : A_\alpha(s)$, i.e. $\Gamma \vdash t' : C$.

2.1. $t = (rs)$ and $t' = (r's)$ with $r \rightarrow_\beta r'$: Then one of the following two cases holds.

(i) $\Gamma \vdash r : A \rightarrow C$ and $\Gamma \vdash s : A$; (ii) $\Gamma \vdash r : \forall\alpha.A$, $s \in \text{Types}$ and $C = A_\alpha(s)$.

In both cases the claim follows immediately from the I.H.

2.2. $t = (rs)$ and $t' = (rs')$ with $s \rightarrow_\beta s'$:

Then $\Gamma \vdash r : A \rightarrow C$ & $\Gamma \vdash s : A$, and the claim follows immediately from the I.H.

3.1. $t \rightarrow_\beta t'$ holds by 3.1 in the definition of \rightarrow_β :

Then $t = \lambda x^A.r$ with $\Gamma, x : A \vdash r : B$ and $C = A \rightarrow B$.

By Lemma 3.5b we obtain $t' = \lambda x^A.r'$ with $r \rightarrow_\beta r'$.

Hence by I.H., $\Gamma, x : A \vdash r' : B$ which implies $\Gamma \vdash \lambda x^A.r' : A \rightarrow B$.

3.2. $t \rightarrow_\beta t'$ holds by 3.2 in the definition of \rightarrow_β : analogous to 3.1.

§4 1st order predicate logic

Let \mathcal{L} be a 1st order language, i.e., a set of function and relation symbols where each symbol $p \in \mathcal{L}$ has a fixed arity $\#(p) \in \mathbb{N}$.

Inductive Definition of \mathcal{L} -terms

1. Every $x \in \text{Vars}$ is a \mathcal{L} -term.
2. If $h \in \mathcal{L}$ is an n -ary function symbol and t_1, \dots, t_n are \mathcal{L} -terms then $ht_1\dots t_n$ is an \mathcal{L} -term.

Inductive Definition of \mathcal{L} -formulas

1. If $p \in \mathcal{L}$ is an n -ary relation symbol, and t_1, \dots, t_n are \mathcal{L} -terms then $pt_1\dots t_n$ is an \mathcal{L} -formula.
2. If A, B are \mathcal{L} -formulas then $\neg A$ and $\forall AB$ are \mathcal{L} -formulas.
3. If A is an \mathcal{L} -formula and $x \in \text{Vars}$ then $\forall x.A$ and $\exists x.A$ are \mathcal{L} -formulas.

These definitions are to be understood so that \mathcal{L} -terms and \mathcal{L} -formulas are elements of $\mathcal{T}(\text{Vars}; \mathcal{F}; \mathcal{B})$ with $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{L} \cup \{\neg, \forall\}$ and $\mathcal{B} := \{\forall, \exists\}$.

In the following, θ ranges over substitutions having the property that $x\theta$ is an \mathcal{L} -term for each $x \in \text{Vars}$.

Lemma 4.1.

- (a) If t is an \mathcal{L} -term then $t\theta$ is an \mathcal{L} -term.
- (b) If C is an \mathcal{L} -formula then $C\theta$ is an \mathcal{L} -formula.

Proof of (b) by induction on the inductive definition of \mathcal{L} -formulas (or by induction on $\text{lh}(C)$):

1. For $C = pt_1\dots t_n$ the claim follows from (a).
2. Let $C = \forall x.A$. Choose $y \notin \text{FV}(C\theta)$. Then $C\theta = \forall y.A\theta_x^y$, and by I.H. $A\theta_x^y$ is an \mathcal{L} -formula. This yields the claim.

In the following, let \mathcal{M} be an \mathcal{L} -structure with universe M , and let ξ, η range over \mathcal{M} -assignments, i.e., functions $\xi : \text{Vars} \rightarrow M$.

For each assignment ξ , the *value* $\llbracket t \rrbracket_\xi \in M$ of an \mathcal{L} -term t and the *truth value* $\llbracket A \rrbracket_\xi \in \{0, 1\}$ of an \mathcal{L} -formula are define as usual. Only the quantifier case requires some additional care; here (as at the end of §2) make use of some previously fixed function ν which assigns to each formula C a variable $\nu(C) \notin \text{FV}(C)$:

Def.: If $C = \forall x.A$ with $x = \nu(C)$ then $\llbracket C \rrbracket_\xi^{\mathcal{M}} := \min_{\max} \{ \llbracket A \rrbracket_{\xi_x^a} : a \in M \}$.

Lemma 4.2. $\forall z \in \text{FV}(C)(\xi(z) = \eta(z)) \implies \llbracket C \rrbracket_\xi = \llbracket C \rrbracket_\eta$.

Proof:

$$\llbracket \forall x.A \rrbracket_\xi = \min \{ \llbracket A \rrbracket_{\xi_x^a} : a \in M \} \stackrel{\text{IH}}{=} \min \{ \llbracket A \rrbracket_{\eta_x^a} : a \in M \} = \llbracket \forall x.A \rrbracket_\eta.$$

Lemma 4.3. $\forall z \in \text{FV}(C) (\llbracket z\theta \rrbracket_\xi = \llbracket z \rrbracket_\eta) \implies \llbracket C\theta \rrbracket_\xi = \llbracket C \rrbracket_\eta.$

Proof:

Let $C = \forall x.A$ with $x = \nu(C)$; then $C\theta = \forall y.A\theta_x^y$ with $y = \nu(C\theta)$.

$$\llbracket C\theta \rrbracket_\xi = \llbracket \forall y.A\theta_x^y \rrbracket_\xi = \min\{\llbracket A\theta_x^y \rrbracket_{\xi_y^a} : a \in M\} \stackrel{\text{IH}+(\ast)}{=} \min\{\llbracket A \rrbracket_{\eta_x^a} : a \in M\} = \llbracket C \rrbracket_\eta.$$

(\ast) 1. $\llbracket x\theta_x^y \rrbracket_{\xi_y^a} = a = \llbracket x \rrbracket_{\eta_x^a}.$

2. If $z \in \text{FV}(A) \setminus \{x\} = \text{FV}(C)$ then $y \notin \text{FV}(z\theta)$ (since $y \notin \text{FV}(C\theta)$) and thus

$$\llbracket z\theta_x^y \rrbracket_{\xi_y^a} = \llbracket z\theta \rrbracket_{\xi_y^a} \stackrel{\text{L.4.2}}{=} \llbracket z\theta \rrbracket_\xi = \llbracket z \rrbracket_\eta = \llbracket z \rrbracket_{\eta_x^a}.$$

Lemma 4.4. $\llbracket \forall x.A \rrbracket_\xi = \min\{\llbracket A \rrbracket_{\xi_x^a} : a \in M\}$

Proof:

Let $y := \nu(\forall x.A)$. Then $\forall x.A = \forall y.A_x(y)$, and thus

$$\llbracket \forall x.A \rrbracket_\xi = \min\{\llbracket A_x(y) \rrbracket_{\xi_y^a} : a \in M\} \stackrel{\text{L.4.3}+(\ast)}{=} \min\{\llbracket A \rrbracket_{\xi_x^a} : a \in M\}.$$

(\ast): cf. (\ast) with $\theta := \epsilon$ in the proof of 4.3.