

Free Quantum Gases

Let us analyse the KMS-states for the free quantum gases starting with Fermions.

Next, we start from a 1-particle Hilbert space \mathcal{h} and obtain creation and annihilation operators $a(f), a^*(g)$ for $f, g \in \mathcal{h}$.

$$\{a(f), a^*(g)\} = \langle f, g \rangle.$$

The time evolution is given by a Hamiltonian $H: \mathcal{h} \rightarrow \mathcal{h}$ or the 1-particle HS via (typically unbounded)

$$\alpha_t(a(f)) = a(e^{itH} f)$$

For a β -KMS state ω we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(a^*(f) a(g)) &\stackrel{\text{CAR}}{=} \omega(-a(g) a^*(f) + \langle g, f \rangle) \\ &\stackrel{\text{linearity}}{=} -\omega(a(g) a^*(f)) + \langle g, f \rangle \\ &\stackrel{\text{KMS}}{=} -\omega(\alpha_{-i\beta}(a^*(f)) a(g)) + \langle g, f \rangle \\ &= -\omega(a^*(e^{+\beta H} f) a(g)) + \langle g, f \rangle \end{aligned}$$

By linearity of ω and a^\dagger we thus have

$$\omega(a^\dagger((1 + e^{\beta H})f) a(g)) = \langle g, f \rangle$$

As $e^{-\beta H} > 0$, $(1 + e^{\beta H})$ is invertible and we can set $\xi = (1 + e^{\beta H})f$, $f = \frac{e^{-\beta H}}{1 + e^{-\beta H}} \xi$

and thus

$$\omega(a^\dagger(\xi) a(g)) = \langle g, \frac{e^{-\beta H}}{1 + e^{-\beta H}} \xi \rangle$$

In particular, for the number operator / occupation number in state g

$$N(g) = a^\dagger(g) a(g)$$

$$\omega(N(g)) = \langle g, \frac{e^{-\beta H}}{1 + e^{-\beta H}} g \rangle$$

NB: The RHS is computed in the 1-particle HS.

With a similar calculation, one can compute

$$\omega(a^\dagger(g_n) \dots a^\dagger(g_1) a(f_1) \dots a(f_N)) = \delta_{nN} \sum_{g \in S_N} \langle g_i, \frac{e^{-\beta H}}{1 + e^{-\beta H}} f_{\sigma(i)} \rangle$$

| This is Wick's theorem.

Obviously, this state is completely determined by the 2-pt function. Such a state (subject to Wick's theorem) is called quasi-free.

$$\omega(\dots) = \delta_{n,m} \det(\langle f_i, S g_j \rangle)$$

for some $0 \leq S = S^* \leq 11$. (1-particle density op)

Building the QNS-representation as above leads to the Araki-Yajima representations:

$$\mathcal{H}_S = \overline{F}_-(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \overline{F}_-(\mathcal{H})$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_S = \mathcal{Q}_0 \otimes \mathcal{Q}_0$$

$$a_S(f) := \pi_S(a(f)) = \underbrace{a_-(\sqrt{1-S}f)}_{\text{particles}} \otimes 1 + (-1)^N \otimes \underbrace{a_-(\sqrt{S}f)}_{\text{holes}}$$

Then This is quasi-equivalent to Fock iff S is trace-class.

non-trivial proof omitted.

Bosons & Bose-Einstein Condensation:

We can try the same calculations for \mathbb{C}^2
i.e. bosons.

To be able to compare directly with fermions,
we will at first assume, we are in a regular
representation and have creation and
annihilation operators (w/ operators follow later)
let us shift the one-particle Hamiltonian
by a chemical potential (energy per particle)
to μ and define $Z = e^{\beta \mu N}$ "activity" μ which
we can later adjust to fix the density
at a prescribed value so.

Let's go

$$\omega(a^\dagger(f) a(g)) \stackrel{\text{HMS}}{=} \omega(a(g) a^\dagger(e^{-\beta(\mu-\epsilon)} f))$$

$$\stackrel{\text{CCR}}{=} \omega(a^\dagger(e^{-\beta(\mu-\epsilon)} f) a(g)) + \langle g, e^{-\beta(\mu-\epsilon)} f \rangle$$

iterate N times

$$= \omega \left(a^\dagger \left(\underbrace{e^{-\beta(H-\mu)}}_f \right)^N f \right) a |g\rangle + \sum_{n=1}^N \langle g, (e^{-\beta(H-\mu)})^n f \rangle$$

as long as $\boxed{H-\mu > \epsilon I \text{ id} > 0}$

$$= \langle g, \frac{e^{-\beta(H-\mu)}}{1 - e^{-\beta(H-\mu)}} f \rangle$$

& again Wick's theorem.

This is simpler for Major operators:

Consider

$$\zeta(t) = \omega(W(f) W(e^{i\beta(H-\mu)} f))$$

$$= \omega(W((-1 + e^{i\beta(H-\mu)}) f)) e^{\frac{i}{2} \text{Im} \langle f, e^{i\beta(H-\mu)} f \rangle}$$

Define $\eta = (-1 + e^{i\beta(H-\mu)}) f$ and obtain

(as long as $(-1 + e^{i\beta(H-\mu)})$ is invertible)

$$\zeta(t) = \omega(W(\eta)) \exp \left[-\frac{1}{4} \langle \eta, (-1 + e^{-i\beta(H-\mu)})^{-1} \times \right. \\ \left. \times (e^{i\beta(H-\mu)} - e^{-i\beta(H-\mu)}) \right]$$

$$(-1 + e^{i\beta(H_T) + \gamma}) \gamma >$$

Now, analytically continue to $i\beta$
in the exponent gives

$$-\langle \eta, (-1 + e^{i\beta(H_T) + \gamma}) (e^{i\beta(H_T) - 1}) / (e^{-\beta(H_T) + 1}) (1 + e^{-i\beta(H_T) + \gamma}) \rangle$$

i.e.

$$\xi(i\beta) = \omega(\omega(\eta)) e^{-\frac{1}{4} \langle \eta, (1 + 2 \frac{1}{-1 + e^{i\beta(H_T) + \gamma}}) \eta \rangle}$$

$$\text{WTS: } \xi(i\beta) = \omega(\omega(\eta) \omega(-\eta)) = 1$$

$$\text{So } \omega(\omega(\eta)) = \frac{1}{4} \langle \eta, (1 + 2g) \eta \rangle$$

$$\text{for } g = \frac{1}{-1 + e^{-\beta(H_T) + \gamma}}$$

Again - we find a quasi-free as Gajda's side

$\log \omega(\omega(H))$ is quadratic in f , so no truncated correlation functions beyond $n=2$.

But in both CCR calculations, it was crucial that $H_{\mu\nu}$ was strictly positive.

This is not the case for $H = -\Delta$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In that case, there is an additional term projecting on "the 0-mode" "vib".

And for

$$\omega(a^\dagger(f) a(f)) = \dots + c \int |f|^2$$

\uparrow
 $\langle 1|f \rangle$

This is the Bose-Einstein condensate

In this case $\mu < 0$ ($z = e^{\beta\mu} < 1$)

still saves the day.

In a large but finite volume $|X|$, the density is given by

$$g(\mu, \beta) = \frac{N}{|\Lambda|} = \frac{\sum_n \omega(\omega^\dagger(\mu_n) \omega(\mu_n))}{|\Lambda|} \quad \text{for some ON basis } \mu_n$$

$$= \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \text{tr} \frac{e^{-\beta(H-\mu)}}{1 - e^{-\beta(H-\mu)}}$$

Clearly, this goes to ∞ for $\mu \rightarrow \sup(\sigma(H))$.

Further, $\frac{dg}{d\mu} > 0$ and $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow -\infty} g = 0$

So we can adjust any density by picking the appropriate $-\infty < \mu < \sup(\sigma(H))$.

In infinite volume, we can compute the trace in momentum space:

$$g(\mu, \beta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{z e^{-\beta p^2}}{1 - z e^{-\beta p^2}} d^d p$$

$$\stackrel{z \leq 1}{\leq} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int \frac{e^{-\beta p^2}}{1 - e^{-\beta p^2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(\sqrt{\pi\beta})^n} \int \frac{e^{-x^2}}{1 - e^{-x^2}} d^n x$$

goes exponentially to 0 for $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

At $x \approx 0$, $1 - e^{-x^2} \approx -x^2$, so this integral is finite for $n > 2$!

This suggests a maximum density

$$g_c(\beta) = \frac{1}{(\pi\sqrt{\beta})^n} \int \frac{e^{-x^2}}{1 - e^{-x^2}} d^n x$$

$$\stackrel{n=3}{=} \frac{1}{8\pi^{3/2}\sqrt{\beta}} g\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right).$$

How can this be reconciled with the TDL?

It turns out (HW!) that for finite (Λ) , the lowest mode of $-\Delta$ (w/ boundary cond.) and energy ϵ_1

$$\lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \frac{z_\Lambda e^{-\beta\epsilon_1}}{1 - z_\Lambda e^{-\beta\epsilon_1}} = \rho_0 - \rho_c.$$

"the remaining density is in the 0-mode".