

Formally, the Fourier transform is defined as

(1)

$$(x) \quad \hat{f}(\xi) = \int e^{-i\langle x, \xi \rangle} f(x) dx$$

(converges on 2π 's)

Then (!)

$$(x+1) \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

(xxx) Let $e_{\xi}(x) := e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} \notin L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ $\forall p \in [1, \infty)$
(!).

(x) is formally $\hat{f}(\xi) = \langle e_{\xi}, f \rangle$ (scalar product in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ - but set (xxx) !!)

and (x+1) is formally

$$f = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e_{\xi} \langle e_{\xi}, f \rangle d\xi$$

$$\sim \sum_{\xi} \langle e_{\xi}, f \rangle e_{\xi}$$

" f expressed in the basis $\{e_{\xi}\}_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n}$ "

(2)

From (**): formally

$$(\partial_x^\alpha f)(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (i\xi)^\alpha \hat{f}(\xi) e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} d\xi \quad (\square)$$

I.e. for PDO $P = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha(x) \partial^\alpha$

(i.e. $(Pf)(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha(x) (\partial^\alpha f)(x)$), we have

$$(Pf)(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha(x) (i\xi)^\alpha \right) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

$$\equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} p(x, \xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

with $p(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha(x) (i\xi)^\alpha \quad (\square)$

This seminar is about how to generalize this formula, to ~~more~~ more general functions $p(x, \xi)$ than the one in (\square) , to obtain more general operators than PDO. - Why one wants to do this, and what it is good for (mostly, to study PDE's), I will tell you about next time.

Note: (\square) is $Pf \sim \sum_{\xi} \langle e_\xi, f \rangle P e_\xi$ - and $P e_\xi$ is "easy", just mult. by p : P is diag. in basis e_ξ .

Today, I will give overview of the themes / topics / talks, and we will distribute them - according to who signed up first (need: volunteers to give two talks → Hauptseminar!).

First: Need to study class of functions, \mathcal{F} , for which $(*)$ + $(**)$ makes sense - rather, one class.

Note: $(*)$ says " $\partial_x f \in \mathcal{F}$ "

- similarly, " $\partial_z \hat{f} \in \mathcal{F}$ "

Turns out: Natural to ask $\partial_x^\alpha f$ exists for all α - and still decays such that can integrate

⇒ Schwartz-functions:

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n : \exists C = C_{\alpha, \beta} : |x^\alpha \partial_x^\beta f(x)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}$$

Shall define topology on \mathcal{S} - in fact, metric - and study the dual space of \mathcal{S} : space of linear functionals on \mathcal{S} , cont. in this top.:

$$\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ u: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid u \text{ is linear \& cont.} \right\}$$

For normed spaces X , $u \in X' \Leftrightarrow$
 $\exists c > 0 \quad |u(x)| \leq c \|x\| \quad \forall x \in X$

- for the topology on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, given by family of semi-norms

$$\|f\|_{\alpha, \beta} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |x^\alpha \partial^\beta f(x)|$$

- (*) gets replaced by ~~other~~ other ineq.'s
 Not essential to know - is distribution theory/
theory of local convex top. vector spaces.

$\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$: tempered distribution

Shall study Fourier-transform on \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}' (via duality):
 (i.e. define & study properties)

~~As seen in the relation between \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}' there is a relation~~

① | Fourier-transf. & distr. in \mathbb{R}^n . |

As seen in ~~the relation between \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}'~~ there is relation
 (□) (a analog $\partial_x \hat{f}$)

smoothness of $f \quad \leftarrow \quad$ decay of \hat{f}

$(i\xi)^\alpha \hat{f}(\xi)$ needs to be
 in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, so \hat{f}

This is studied in detail in must get small at $+\infty$

② | Payley-Wiener-Schwartz Theorem. |

One then studies certain subspaces of $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, namely those where \hat{u} has good integrability properties:

$$u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \Leftrightarrow (1+|\xi|^2)^{s/2} \hat{u} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

(note: related - somehow! - to regularity of u - since about decay of \hat{u} !!)

This is

(3) Sobolev spaces

Having studied the spaces of functions (and distributions) that the op.'s should work on, we go on to study the symbols: the generalization of the function p in (II): We will - eventually! - define ~~the~~ pseudodiff. op.'s as

$$(A) \quad [\mathcal{Q}(x, \partial) f](x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} a(x, \xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

for certain a (and, first, for $f \in \mathcal{S}$, then $f \in \mathcal{S}'$).

First:

(4) Def. and approx. of symbols. (the a 's in (A))

To study (A) in big generality, we need to study non-absolute conv. integrals - i.e. some, when $|a(x, \cdot) \hat{f}| \notin L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. They will converge in some sense as improper integrals

(think of Fresnel's integrals $\int_0^{\infty} \sin(t^2) dt$:
 $= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^x \sin(t^2) dt$)

- the oscillations of $e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle}$

$$= \cos(\langle x, \xi \rangle) + i \sin(\langle x, \xi \rangle)$$

gives cancellation, hence convergence - this is in:

⑤ Oscillatory integrals

A main idea of the study of PDO's is that
 (complicated) operations on operators should be replaced
 ? by "simple" operations on their symbols:

Obvious, $a(x, \partial) + b(x, \partial)$ is an op with
 symbol $a + b$.

- But how to find symbol of adjoint $a^*(x, \partial)$?
 - act of composition of op.'s $a(x, \partial) \circ b(x, \partial)$

This is

⑥ Operations on symbols

(to get a ring
 of operators)

For symbols, a last important operator is inversion

- this is related to existence & uniqueness of
 sol.'s to $a(x, \partial)u = f$ (now next time!

This is in

⑦ Ellipticity

Finally, we are ready to study/define the operators:

8) 4 DO's: Action on S and S'

9) Action in Sobolev spaces

(i.e. define the op.'s & show continuous - i.e. "bounded op.'s").

Finally, a last relation between self op.'s & their symbols is:

If a symbol is positive (a positive fct) do we get a positive operator?

$$A \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \langle x, Ax \rangle \geq 0$$

Not quite - but almost - this is studied in

10) Gårding's inequality.

In the book there is more stuff - but we have no more time! - If strong wish, I can possibly, in the last week of semester, give an overview of some of the applications discussed in Chap. 4.

Until next time! read some (!) of the overviews, to get an idea "what all good for".