

Proof of Weiestrass theorem via Bernstein polynomials

This is a concrete construction to prove that polynomials on $[0, 1]$ are dense in $C([0, 1])$.

To this aim define the polynomials $p_{n,k} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$p_{n,k}(x) := \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}, \quad \begin{array}{l} x \in [0, 1], \\ n, k \text{ integers} \\ \text{with } 0 \leq k \leq n. \end{array} \quad (1)$$

They satisfy the following identities:

$$\sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) = 1 \quad (2)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^n k p_{n,k}(x) = nx \quad (3)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^n k(k-1) p_{n,k}(x) = n(n-1)x^2. \quad (4)$$

Indeed, (2) is just the Binomial Theorem $(a+b)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a^k b^{n-k}$ applied to $a = x$ and $b = 1-x$, (3) follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n k p_{n,k}(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^n k \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} = nx \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k}{n} \binom{n}{k} x^{k-1} (1-x)^{n-k} \\ &= nx \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n-1}{k-1} x^{k-1} (1-x)^{n-k} = nx \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{r} x^r (1-x)^{n-1-r} \stackrel{(2)}{=} nx, \end{aligned}$$

and (4) follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n k(k-1) p_{n,k}(x) &= \sum_{k=2}^n k(k-1) \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \\ &= n(n-1)x^2 \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{k(k-1)}{n(n-1)} \binom{n}{k} x^{k-2} (1-x)^{n-k} \\ &= n(n-1)x^2 \sum_{k=2}^n \binom{n-2}{k-2} x^{k-2} (1-x)^{n-k} \\ &= n(n-1)x^2 \sum_{r=0}^{n-2} \binom{n-2}{r} x^r (1-x)^{n-2-r} \stackrel{(2)}{=} n(n-1)x^2. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, one has

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (k-nx)^2 p_{n,k}(x) = nx(1-x) \quad (5)$$

because

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (k-nx)^2 p_{n,k}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (k(k-1) - (2nx-1)k + n^2x^2) p_{n,k}(x) = nx(1-x)$$

where in the last step one uses (2), (3), and (4). Moreover, for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\sum_{k \text{ s.t. } |k-nx| \geq n\delta} p_{n,k}(x) \leq \frac{1}{4n\delta^2} \quad (6)$$

(in the inequality selecting k it is understood that k is an integer between 0 and n), because

$$\sum_{|k-nx| \geq n\delta} p_{n,k}(x) \leq \frac{1}{n^2\delta^2} \sum_{|k-nx| \geq n\delta} (k-nx)^2 p_{n,k}(x) \stackrel{(5)}{\leq} \frac{x(1-x)}{n\delta^2} \leq \frac{1}{4n\delta^2}$$

where last inequality is due to $x(1-x) \in [0, \frac{1}{4}]$ (since $x \in [0, 1]$). All the preliminaries are now completed.

Take now $f \in C([0, 1])$. Correspondingly, define the polynomials

$$B_n^{(f)}(x) := \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) p_{n,k}(x). \quad (7)$$

f is uniformly continuous, being a continuous function defined on a compact. That is, $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$ such that $|x - x'| \leq \delta$ implies $|f(x) - f(x')| \leq \varepsilon$ (uniformly in the choice of x, x'). Then one has

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - B_n^{(f)}(x)| &\stackrel{(2)}{\leq} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n (f(x) - f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)) p_{n,k}(x) \right| \leq \sum_{k=0}^n |f(x) - f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)| p_{n,k}(x) \\ &= \sum_{|k-nx| \leq n\delta} |f(x) - f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)| p_{n,k}(x) + \sum_{|k-nx| \geq n\delta} |f(x) - f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)| p_{n,k}(x) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) + 2\|f\|_\infty \sum_{|k-nx| \geq n\delta} p_{n,k}(x) \\ &\stackrel{(6)}{\leq} \varepsilon + \frac{\|f\|_\infty}{2n\delta^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |f(x) - B_n^{(f)}(x)| \leq \varepsilon$, uniformly in x . Last, ε being arbitrary, one concludes $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |f(x) - B_n^{(f)}(x)| = 0$. In other words,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - B_n^{(f)}\|_\infty = 0$$

i.e., the polynomial $B_n^{(f)}$'s associated with f approximate f uniformly.

Remark: polynomials $B_n^{(f)}$ ("Bernstein polynomials") were introduced first by S. Bernstein in the paper *Démonstration du théorème de Weierstrass, fondée sur le calcul des probabilités*, Comm. Soc. Math. Kharkow (2), 13 (1912), 1-2.

Alessandro