

QUESTION TIME

(7 Dec 2011)

Alessandro

- ① X, Y Banach. $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$, injective, with bdd inverse on $\text{Ran } T$, and $\text{Ran } T$ dense in Y .

Why can one define T^{-1} on the whole Y ?

[MANUEL]

Answer: it's an application of the Bounded Extension Principle:

$T^{-1}: \overline{\text{Ran } T} \rightarrow X$ is bdd and $\overline{\text{Ran } T} = X$, whence T^{-1} can be uniquely extended to $Y \rightarrow X$ with the same norm.

Explicitly: let $y \in Y$, then $y \leftarrow'' y_n = Tx_n \in \text{Ran } T$. $\{x_n\}_n$ is Cauchy in X because $\{y_n\}_n$ is Cauchy in Y and T^{-1} is bdd $\text{Ran } T \rightarrow Y$.

($\|x_n - x_m\|_X \leq \|T^{-1}\| \|y_n - y_m\|$). Thus (completeness) $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x \in X$.

Such x is uniquely identified by y . Indeed if $y \leftarrow \tilde{y}_n = T\tilde{x}_n$ then $\tilde{x}_{n_k} \rightarrow \tilde{x} \in X$ and $\|x - \tilde{x}\|_X \leq \|x - x_{n_k}\| + \|x_{n_k} - \tilde{x}_{n_k}\| + \| \tilde{x}_{n_k} - \tilde{x}\| \leq \|x - x_{n_k}\| + \|T^{-1}\| \|y_{n_k} - \tilde{y}_{n_k}\| + \|\tilde{x}_{n_k} - \tilde{x}\| \rightarrow 0$

as $k, h \rightarrow \infty$, whence $x = \tilde{x}$. Set $Sy := x$.

Standard check: S is linear.

$$\leq \|T^{-1}\| \|y\|.$$

S is bdd b/c $\|Sy\| = \|x\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_k}\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^{-1}y_{n_k}\| \leq \|T^{-1}\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_{n_k}\|$

S agrees with T^{-1} on $\text{Ran } T$ (obvious). $S(Tx) = x \quad \forall x \in X$ is obvious.

$$T(Sy) = Tx = T(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_{n_k} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T T^{-1} y_{n_k} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n_k} = y.$$

Thus S inverts T on the whole Y .

(2) In class $T \in B(X, Y)$ was defined to be compact

if $\overline{TB_1}$ is compact in Y ($B_1 = \{x \in X, \|x\| < 1\}$)

Whereas Alessandro uses $\overline{TB_1}$ ($B_1 = \{x \in X, \|x\| \leq 1\}$).

Is this the same?

[MANUEL, MARIUS]

Answer : sure!

Assume $\overline{TB_1}$ compact in Y . Since $\overline{TB_1} \subset \overline{TB_1}$ obviously and thus $\overline{TB_1}$ is a closed subset of a compact, then $\overline{TB_1}$ too is compact.

Vice versa: assume $\overline{TB_1}$ compact.

Take $y \in \overline{TB_1}$, ie. $y \leftarrow Tx_n$, $\|x_n\| \leq 1$.

Set $\tilde{x}_n := \frac{n-1}{n} x_n$. $\tilde{x}_n \in B_1$ b/c $\|\tilde{x}_n\| = \frac{n-1}{n} \|x_n\| < 1$.

Then $\|y - T\tilde{x}_n\| \leq \|y - Tx_n\| + \|Tx_n - T\tilde{x}_n\|$

$$\leq \|y - Tx_n\| + \frac{1}{n} \|\underbrace{x_n}_{\leq 1}\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

whence $y \in \overline{TB_1}$.

Conclusion: $\overline{TB_1} = \overline{TB_1}$.

③ Examples of projections on a Hilbert space that are not orthogonal? [NARIUS]

Answer Enjoy first Problem in class no. 11 (part (iv)).

Otherwise, consider e.g. $P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$: $P^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = P$
but $P \neq P^*$.

④ The spectrum of V^*V ($V: L^2[0,1] \rightarrow L^2[0,1]$, Volterra)
looks like the spectrum of the Laplacian in a box. Is there
any "physical" link between Volterra and Schrödinger? [NARIUS]

Answer $\sigma(V^*V) = \left\{ \frac{1}{\pi^2(n+\frac{1}{2})^2} \right\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \cup \{0\}$ (\rightarrow Exercise 11)

so it's rather reminiscent of $\frac{1}{\sigma(-\Delta)}$. Which is understandable,

because $V^*Vf = \lambda f \Rightarrow -\Delta f = \frac{1}{\lambda} f$ (in 1 dim).

In other words, informally speaking, V is the anti-derivative
 $V^*V \sim (-\Delta)^{-1}$.

⑤ Is there a Weyl criterion for the continuous spectrum? [NARIVS]

Answer I recall that $\sigma_{\text{cont}}(T)$ has different (non-equivalent) definitions in the literature. Let's take here the def. given in class:

$$\sigma_{\text{cont}}(T) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \begin{array}{l} (\lambda-T) \text{ is injective} \\ (\lambda-T) \text{ is not surjective} \\ \text{Ran}(\lambda-T) \text{ dense in } X \end{array} \right\}. \text{ Let } \lambda \in \sigma_{\text{cont}}(T).$$

Since $(\lambda-T)$ is injective, then it is invertible on its range:

$\exists (\lambda-T)^{-1} : \text{Ran}(\lambda-T) \rightarrow X$. Necessarily $\|(\lambda-T)^{-1}\| = \infty$, because otherwise $(\lambda-T)^{-1}$ could be extended to a bounded operator on the whole X , contradicting the assumption that $(\lambda-T)$ is not surjective.

Therefore $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \ \exists y_n = (\lambda-T)x_n \in \text{Ran}(\lambda-T)$ such that

$$\frac{\|(\lambda-T)^{-1}y_n\|}{\|y_n\|} \geq n. \text{ This implies } \frac{\|(\lambda-T)x_n\|}{\|x_n\|} \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

Set $z_n := \frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|}$. Then $\{z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Weyl sequence for T at λ

because $\|z_n\| = 1$ and $\|Tz_n - \lambda z_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.