

# Functional Analysis II

Institute of Mathematics, LMU Munich – Winter Term 2011/2012

Prof. T. Ø. Sørensen Ph.D, A. Michelangeli Ph.D

**HOMEWORK ASSIGNMENT no. 4**, issued on Wednesday 9 November 2011

**Due:** Wednesday 16 November 2011 by 2 pm in the designated “FA2” box on the 1st floor

**Info:** [www.math.lmu.de/~michel/WS11-12\\_FA2.html](http://www.math.lmu.de/~michel/WS11-12_FA2.html)

Each exercise sheet is worth a full mark of 40 points. Correct answers without proofs are not accepted. Each step should be justified. You can hand in the solutions either in German or in English.

**Exercise 13.** (The Volterra integral operator on a Hilbert space – III)

Consider the operator  $V : L^2[0, 1] \rightarrow L^2[0, 1]$ ,  $(Vf)(x) := \int_0^x f(y)dy$  for almost all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . In this exercise you are asked to compute the resolvent  $(\lambda\mathbb{1} - V)^{-1}$  ( $\lambda \neq 0$ ) in two alternative ways. You may use the results of Exercises 10 and 11 without re-proving them.

• First way:

- (i) Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $g \in C^1([0, 1])$ . Show that the equation  $\lambda f - Vf = g$  in  $L^2[0, 1]$  has a unique solution  $f \in L^2[0, 1]$  and determine it.
- (ii) Release the differentiability assumption on  $g$  in (i): take  $g \in L^2[0, 1]$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0$ , and determine the solution  $f$  to the problem  $\lambda f - Vf = g$  in  $L^2[0, 1]$ , thus obtaining the explicit expression for the action of the resolvent  $(\lambda\mathbb{1} - V)^{-1}$ . (*Hint:* a density argument.)

• Second way:

- (iii) Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f \in L^2[0, 1]$ . Show that  $(V^n f)(x) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^x (x-y)^{n-1} f(y) dy$  for a.e.  $x$ .
- (iv) Compute the resolvent  $(\lambda\mathbb{1} - V)^{-1} \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  by means of the resolvent identity  $(\lambda\mathbb{1} - V)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-n-1} V^n$ . (*Warning:* this identity holds only for  $|\lambda| > \|V\|$ , make sure your final result holds for all non-zero  $\lambda$ 's.)

**Exercise 14.** (Perturbation of the spectrum with compact operators.)

- (i) Let  $X$  be a Banach space and let  $T, S \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  be two operators such that their difference  $T - S$  is compact. Show that their spectra are the same except for eigenvalues, i.e.,  $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_p(T) \subset \sigma(S)$ . (*Hint:* Fredholm alternative.)
- (ii) Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space and let  $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be a unitary operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Show that  $\sigma(U) \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| = 1\}$ . (*Hint:* Problem 10 in class.)
- (iii) The fact proved in (i) does not exclude that the two spectra look considerably different. As an example, produce a bounded operator  $U$  and a compact operator  $K$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  such that
  - $\sigma(U) \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| = 1\}$ ,
  - $K$  is compact,
  - $\sigma(U + K) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| \leq 1\}$ .

(*Hint:* part (ii) and Problem 8 in class.)

**Exercise 15.** (Canonical form of compact operators on a Hilbert space.)

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space. Let  $A$  be a compact, self-adjoint operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Consider the collection of all eigenvalues of  $A$ .

(Recall that they form a discrete family, finite or infinite, they are all real (Problem 12(i)), the non-zero ones (if any) have finite degeneracy (Exercise 7), and if they are infinite they accumulate to zero only.)

Pick a (finite) orthonormal basis in each eigenspace with non-zero eigenvalue, and a (possibly infinite, possibly uncountable) orthonormal basis in the kernel of  $A$ , if it is non-trivial. Denote by  $\{\phi_n\}_{n \in \mathcal{I}}$  the union of all such eigenvectors, i.e.,  $A\phi_n = \lambda_n\phi_n \forall n \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $\langle \phi_n, \phi_m \rangle = \delta_{n,m}$ .

(Note that in this notation the  $\lambda_n$ 's are repeated with degeneracy and that  $n$  runs in an index set  $\mathcal{I} \supset \{1, \dots, N\}$ , where  $N$  is finite or infinite.  $\mathcal{I}$  is uncountable if  $\text{Ker}A$  is not separable. Thus, in this notation  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathcal{I}}$  is a-priori uncountable, but it is still a fact that there are at most countably many *distinct*  $\lambda_n$ 's.)

The set  $\{\phi_n\}_{n \in \mathcal{I}}$  is by construction and by Problem 12(iii) an orthonormal system of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

- (i) Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the closure in  $\mathcal{H}$  of the span of  $\{\phi_n\}_{n \in \mathcal{I}}$ . Show that  $A\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$  and  $A\mathcal{M}^\perp \subset \mathcal{M}^\perp$ .
- (ii) Show that the spectrum of the operator  $A|_{\mathcal{M}^\perp} : \mathcal{M}^\perp \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^\perp$  is  $\sigma(A|_{\mathcal{M}^\perp}) = \{0\}$ .
- (iii) Deduce from (ii) and from some other fact that  $\mathcal{M}^\perp = \{0\}$  and therefore that  $\{\phi_n\}_{n \in \mathcal{I}}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

This proves that *a compact, self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space admits an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors*. Note that this answers Exercise 11(ii) without the (somewhat tedious) check by inspection that the closure of  $\{\phi_n\}_{n \in \mathcal{I}}$  spans the whole  $\mathcal{H}$ . (In that case  $\text{Ker}V = \{0\}$ .)

Consider now a compact operator  $C$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

- (iv) Show that the non-zero eigenvalues of  $C^*C$  form a family  $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^N$  of positive real numbers, possibly repeated with degeneracy, where  $N$  can be finite or infinite.
- (v) Show that there exist two orthonormal system  $\{\psi_n\}_{n=1}^N$  and  $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^N$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  and a collection of positive numbers  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^N$ , where  $N$  can be finite or infinite, such that

$$C = \sum_{n=1}^N \lambda_n \langle \psi_n, \cdot \rangle \phi_n$$

(or  $C = \sum_{n=1}^N \lambda_n |\phi_n\rangle\langle \psi_n|$  in bra-ket notation) where if  $N = \infty$  the series converges in operator norm. (*Hint:* take  $\{\psi_n\}_{n=1}^N$  to be the family of normalised eigenvectors corresponding to  $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^N$  considered in (iv).)

**Exercise 16.** Consider the operator  $T : L^2(S^1) \rightarrow L^2(S^1)$ ,  $(Tf)(x) = (h * f)(x)$  for almost all  $x \in [0, 2\pi]$  where  $h \in L^2(S^1)$  is given. Recall that  $(h * f)(x) := \int_0^{2\pi} h(x-y)f(y) dy$  and that in this case the integral makes sense almost everywhere in  $x$  thanks to Hölder's inequality.

- (i) Show that  $T$  is a bounded, compact operator on  $L^2(S^1)$ .
- (ii) Show that  $T$  is normal, i.e.,  $T^*T = TT^*$ .
- (iii) Find an explicit orthonormal system  $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^N$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  and a collection  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^N$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  (where  $N$  is finite or infinite depending on  $h$ ) such that

$$T = \sum_{n=1}^N \lambda_n \langle \phi_n, \cdot \rangle \phi_n$$

(or  $T = \sum_{n=1}^N \lambda_n |\phi_n\rangle\langle \phi_n|$  in bra-ket notation) where if  $N = \infty$  the series converges in operator norm.